 Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM	Document 57-1	Filed 12/15/21	Page 1 of 283	<u> </u>
				2021 Dec-15 PM (4:53 U.S. DISTRICT COURT N.D. OF ALAE AMA
E.	chib	4 4		
		ILI		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

EVAN MILLIGAN, et al.,)

CIVIL CASE NO.

Plaintiffs,) 2:21-CV-01530-AMM

VS.) VIDEO DEPOSITION OF:

JOHN MERRILL, et al.,) RANDY HINAMAN

Defendants.)

STIPULATIONS

IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties through their respective counsel, that the deposition of:

RANDY HINAMAN,

may be taken before LeAnn Maroney, Notary Public,
State at Large, at the law offices of Balch &
Bingham, 105 Tallapoosa Street, Montgomery,
Alabama, 36104, on December 9, 2021, commencing at
9:13 a.m.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1. Filed 12/15/21 Page 3 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that
2	the signature to and reading of the deposition by
3	the witness is waived, the deposition to have the
4	same force and effect as if full compliance had
5	been had with all laws and rules of Court relating
6	to the taking of depositions.
7	
8	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that
9	it shall not be necessary for any objections to be
10	made by counsel to any questions, except as to form
11	or leading questions, and that counsel for the
12	parties may make objections and assign grounds at
13	the time of the trial, or at the time said
14	deposition is offered in evidence, or prior
15	thereto.
16	
17	
18	***
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 4 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE MILLIGAN PLAINTIFFS:
4	MICHAEL L. TURRILL
5	Attorney at Law
6	Hogan Lovells US LLP
7	1999 Avenue of the Stars, Ste. 1400
8	Los Angeles, California 90067
9	michael.turrill@hoganlovells.com
10	
11	BLAYNE R. THOMPSON
12	Attorney at Law
13	Hogan Lovells US LLP
14	609 Main Street, Ste. 4200
15	Houston, Texas 77002
16	blayne.thompson@hoganlovells.com
17	
18	DEUEL ROSS (Via Zoom)
19	Attorney at Law
20	NAACP Legal Defense & Educational Fund
21	700 14th Street N.W., Ste. 600
22	Washington, DC 20005
23	dross@naacpldf.org
24	
25	

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 5 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1	DAVIN M. ROSBOROUGH (Via Zoom)
2	JULIE A. EBENSTEIN
3	Attorneys at Law
4	American Civil Liberties Union Foundation
5	125 Broad Street
6	New York, New York 10004
7	drosborough@aclu.org
8	
9	LaTISHA GOTELL FAULKS (Via Zoom)
10	Attorney at Law
11	American Civil Liberties Union of Alabama
12	P.O. Box 6179
13	Montgomery, Alabama 36106
14	tgfaulks@aclualabama.org
15	
16	FOR THE SINGLETON PLAINTIFFS: (Via Zoom)
17	JAMES URIAH BLACKSHER
18	Attorney at Law
19	825 Linwood Road
20	Birmingham, Alabama 35222
21	jublacksher@gmail.com
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 6 of 283 Randy Hinaman

December 09, 2021

```
MYRON C. PENN
 1
 2
     Attorney at Law
 3
     Penn & Seaborn
 4
     1971 Berry Chase Place
 5
     Montgomery, Alabama
                           36117
 6
     myronpenn28@hotmail.com
 7
     ELI J. HARE
 8
 9
     Attorney at Law
10
     Dicello Levitt Gutzler
11
     420 20th Street North, Ste. 2525
     Birmingham, Alabama
12
                           35203
13
     Ehare@dicellolevitt.com
14
15
     HENRY C. QUILLEN (Via Zoom)
16
     Attorney at Law
17
     Whatley Kallas, LLP
     159 Middle Street, Ste. 2C
18
19
     Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801
20
     hquillen@whatleykallas.com
21
22
23
24
25
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 7 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1	
1	FOR THE CASTER PLAINTIFFS: (Via Zoom)
2	LALI MADDURI
3	Attorney at Law
4	Elias Law Group
5	10 G Street NE, Ste. 600
6	Washington, DC 20002
7	lmadduri@elias.law
8	
9	FOR DEFENDANT JOHN H. MERRILL:
10	JIM DAVIS
11	Assistant Attorney General
12	Office of the Attorney General
13	501 Washington Avenue
14	Montgomery, Alabama 36130
15	jim.davis@alabamaag.gov
16	
17	FOR THE DEFENDANTS JIM McCLENDON & CHRIS PRINGLE:
18	DORMAN WALKER
19	Attorney at Law
20	Balch & Bingham
21	105 Tallapoosa Street, Ste. 200
22	Montgomery, Alabama 36104
23	dwalker@balch.com
24	
25	

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 8 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1	ALSO PRESENT:
2	Paige Ali, Videographer
3	Elizabeth Baggett
4	
5	
6	INDEX
7	MR. THOMPSON: 11-197
8	MR. BLACKSHER: 197-229
9	
10	
11	EXHIBIT LIST
12	PAGE
13	Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 - 14
14	(Depo notice)
15	Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 - 14
16	(Subpoena)
17	Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 - 21
18	(CV)
19	Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 - 25
20	(Declaration)
21	Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 - 92
22	(2021 Alabama Congressional Plan, RC 000553)
23	Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 - 93
24	(2011 Congressional Districts)
25	Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 - 135

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 9 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1	(5-5-21 Reapportionment Committee
2	Redistricting Guidelines)
3	Plaintiff's Exhibit 8 - 160
4	(District 1-7 maps, RC 000556-562)
5	Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 - 179
6	(List of 2021 congressional plans)
7	Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 - 201
8	(State of AL v. US Department of Commerce
9	Introduction)
10	Plaintiff's Exhibit 11 - 203
11	(9-1-21 public hearing transcript excerpt)
12	Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 - 208
13	(Whole County Plan)
14	Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 - 213
15	(Tuscaloosa and Montgomery Whole)
16	Plaintiff's Exhibit 14 - 213
17	(Data table)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 10 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 I, LeAnn Maroney, a Court Reporter of Birmingham, Alabama, and a Notary Public for the 2 3 State of Alabama at Large, acting as commissioner, certify that on this date, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the foregoing stipulation of counsel, there came before me on December 9, 2021, RANDY HINAMAN, witness in the 8 above cause, for oral examination, whereupon the following proceedings were had: 9 10 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning of the deposition of Randy Hinaman in the 12 13 matter of Evan Milligan, et al, versus John H. 14 Merrill, et al., Civil Case Number 2:21-CV-01530-AMM 15 filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. The date is December 16 17 9, 2021. The time is 9:13 a.m 18 All attorneys present, will you please state your names and whom you represent. 19 20 MR. HARE: Eli Hare on behalf of the 21 Singleton plaintiffs. 22 MR. DAVIS: Jim Davis for Secretary Merrill. 23 MR. WALKER: Dorman Walker for the 24 25 Committee Chairs, Senator Jim McClendon and

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 11 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Representative Chris Pringle. 1 MR. PENN: Myron Penn for the Singleton 2 3 plaintiffs. MR. TURRILL: Mike Turrill for the 4 5 Milligan plaintiffs. 6 MR. THOMPSON: And Blain Thompson for 7 the Milligan plaintiffs. MR. BLACKSHER: And Jim Blacksher for 8 the Singleton plaintiffs. I'll be asking questions 9 10 virtually. MS. MADDURI: Lali Madduri for the 11 Caster plaintiffs. 12 13 MR. QUILLEN: Henry Quillen for the 14 Singleton plaintiffs. 15 MR. ROSS: Deuel Ross for the Milligan plaintiffs. 16 17 MR. ROSBOROUGH: Davin Rosborough for 18 the Milligan plaintiffs. 19 MS. EBENSTEIN: Good morning. Julie Ebenstein for the Milligan plaintiffs. 20 21 MS. FAULKS: Good morning. Tish Faulks 22 for the Milligan plaintiffs. 23 MS. BAGGETT: Good morning. Elizabeth Baggett for the Milligan plaintiffs. 24 a law clerk, not an attorney. 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 12 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
1
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
                                    Court Reporter, will
 2
    you please swear in the witness.
 3
                       RANDY HINAMAN,
    having been duly sworn, was examined and testified
 5
                        as follows:
                 THE REPORTER: Usual stipulations?
 6
                 MR. WALKER: The ones that we've just
    discussed.
 8
                 MR. THOMPSON:
                                 Yes.
 9
                 Mr. Walker, did you want to say
10
11
    something before we begin?
12
                 MR. WALKER: Yes. I'd like to put on
13
    the record that the committee chair, Senator Jim
14
    McClendon, and Representative Chris Pringle have
15
    asserted their legislative privilege and immunity in
    this case. Of course, the Court has not yet ruled
16
17
    on that.
              Thank you.
18
    EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:
19
                 Good morning, sir.
    Q.
20
    Α.
                 Good morning.
21
    Q.
                 Please state your name for the record.
22
   Α.
                 Randy Hinaman.
23
                 Mr. Hinaman, you understand that you're
    Q.
    testifying under oath right now?
24
25
                 I do.
   Α.
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 13 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 Q. Is there anything that might prevent you from understanding my questions or answering truthfully today? 3 Α. No. 5 Q. Are you being represented by a lawyer today? Α. Dorman Walker with the reapportionment committee. 8 9 Are you paying Mr. Walker to be your Q. lawyer today? 10 11 Α. I am not. Do you assume that plaintiffs or the 12 Q. 13 State of Alabama is paying Mr. Walker to be your 14 lawyer today? 15 Α. I do. 16 Have you ever been deposed before? Q. 17 Α. I have. 18 How many times? Q. Once is all I remember, not 19 Α. Once. counting trial. 20 And was that in the ALBC versus the 21 Q. State of Alabama lawsuit? 22 23 Α. Yes, sir. All right. So I'll go over a few of the 24 Q. 25 key rules.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 14 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 I think that last deposition was about 2 eight years ago. Is that correct? 3 Yes, sir. Α. Ο. Okay. So I'll be asking questions today. And then after I'm done, there will be several other people asking questions, as well. 7 If you don't understand a question, just let me know. Is that okay? 8 Α. 9 Yes, sir. 10 If you answer a question, I will assume Q. 11 that you understood it. Is that fair? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Also, as you can see, we have a court Ο. 14 reporter here who is doing an amazing job typing 15 everything that we say as we go. But it's very important, because she's typing it, that we both 16 17 speak one at a time. So I'll do my best to wait until you're done answering questions. And if you 18 can do the same, that will help her out a lot. 19 20 that all right? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. And then we'll take a break about every 23 If you need a break before then, just let us know, and we can do that as long as there's not a 24 25 question pending. Fair?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 15 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
1
   Α.
                 Very well.
 2
 3
                  (Plaintiff's Exhibits 1&2
              were marked for identification.)
 4
 5
                  I'm handing you what's been marked as
 6
    Q.
    Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2.
                   MR. THOMPSON: I've got copies for
 8
 9
    everyone else to the extent you would like one.
                  This is a copy of the deposition notice
10
11
    and subpoena.
                  MR. WALKER: Which one is which?
12
13
                  MR. THOMPSON: Exhibit 1 is the notice.
14
                   MR. WALKER: Okay.
15
                   MR. THOMPSON: And Exhibit 2 is the
    subpoena.
16
17
                  MR. WALKER: Thanks.
18
                  Have you seen a copy of these documents
    Q.
    before today?
19
                  I have.
20
    Α.
                 Both of them?
21
    Ο.
                 Yes, sir.
22
    Α.
23
    Q.
                  Who provided them to you?
24
    Α.
                  Dorman Walker.
25
                  And when was that?
    Q.
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 16 of 283 Randy Hinaman

- December 09, 2021 Α. The end of last week. Friday maybe. 1 2 Q. All right. You can set those aside. 3 Without disclosing the content of any discussions that you had with your attorneys, what did you do to prepare for your deposition today? I met with Dorman Walker and Jim Davis Α. and others and did some -- just reviewed numbers and talked about the process we followed. 8 9 Ο. When did you meet with them? Monday and Tuesday, Monday morning and 10 Α. -- Monday afternoon really and Tuesday morning of 11 this week. 12 13 About how long would you say you met Ο. 14 with them? 15 I quess about four -- four or five hours Α. on Monday. We also had lunch in there. And three 16 17 hours on Tuesday. Did you meet with anyone who was not an 18 Q. 19 attorney? No, I don't believe so. 20 Α. Q. Did you review any documents in
- 21
- 22 preparation for today?
- 23 I just reviewed some of the census Α.
- numbers and the guidelines, the committee 24
- quidelines. That would be about it. 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 17 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Did you review any of the complaints in 1 Q. this lawsuit? 3 No, I didn't. Α. Ο. Did you review any maps? 5 Α. Yeah. I looked -- I looked at the current -- the map that was passed. And I also looked briefly at some of the other maps that were offered to the legislature. 8 9 Ο. Which other maps did you look at? 10 The Singleton --Α. 11 MR. BLACKSHER: Randy needs to speak up 12 a little bit, please. 13 THE WITNESS: Sure. 14 The Singleton maps, the Coleman map, and Α. 15 the Hatcher map, I believe. Had you reviewed those maps, any of 16 Q. 17 those maps, before preparing for your deposition? MR. WALKER: Objection to form. 18 You mentioned that you reviewed several 19 Q. 20 of those maps in preparation for your deposition, 21 correct? 22 Α. Correct. 23 Before then, had you reviewed any of Q. 24 those maps? 25 Α. I looked at them when they were offered

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 18 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

on the floor of either -- whatever body they were 1 offered in. 2 3 Other than in preparation for your Q. deposition last Monday and Tuesday, have you discussed this lawsuit with anyone? Α. No. 6 7 Did you do anything else to prepare for Q. your deposition today? 8 Α. I did not. 9 Are you being compensated by anyone for 10 Q. 11 being here today? I assume I am. I haven't -- I haven't 12 Α. billed anybody yet. But I'm planning to. 13 14 Ο. And who do you plan to bill for today? 15 Α. The attorney general's office. How much do you plan to bill the 16 Q. attorney general's office for your time today? 17 18 Α. \$400 an hour. Is that pursuant to some agreement that 19 Q. you have with the attorney general's office? 20 21 Well, we really haven't even discussed 22 it, honestly. I guess I'll send them the bill, and 23 we'll see if they pay it. 24 Q. Fair enough. Similarly, do you expect to be 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 19 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

compensated in any way to testify at trial? 1 2 Α. I would assume the same arrangement. 3 Q. By the attorney general's office, as well? 5 Α. Yes. 6 All right. Taking a step back and just Q. talking about your background a little bit, can you 8 please state your date of birth? 9 Α. 5-5-57. What's your address? 10 Q. 11 Α. 33267 River Road, Orange Beach, Alabama, 12 36561. 13 Q. Is that your full-time address now here 14 in Alabama? 15 Α. Yes, sir. You previously lived in Virginia; is 16 Q. 17 that correct? 18 Α. That's correct. 19 Q. When did you make that move? 20 Α. I bought this property about five years 21 But I really technically moved probably about 22 three years ago. 23 Q. Do you have a telephone number? 24 Α. Just my cell phone. 25 What's that number? Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 20 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. (703)598-8383. 1 2 Do you have an email account? Q. 3 I do. Α. What is that? Ο. Sharh1@comcast.net. 5 Α. 6 Do you have any other email addresses? Q. 7 I do not. Α. 8 Have you ever been involved in any other Q. lawsuits? 9 I mean, not as a witness or -- no. 10 Α. No. 11 What's the highest level of education Q. 12 you've completed? 13 Α. I attended Cornell University. 14 Ο. Was that for undergraduate? 15 Α. Yes. Did you graduate? 16 Q. I did not. 17 Α. 18 What did you study at Cornell? Q. 19 Α. Political science. Really they called 20 it government. 21 MR. WALKER: Called it what? 22 THE WITNESS: Government. Anywhere else 23 on earth, it would be political science. And if you don't mind me asking, you 24 Q. said you did not graduate. Is there a reason why? 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 21 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

In the middle of that, I was Α. 1 Yeah. 2 offered a position with the Reagan campaign, which 3 was sort of my dream job to work for his presidential race. So I left to take on that 5 responsibility for the national field director for the Reagan Youth Campaign. How far along had you gotten in your Q. 8 studies when you left? 9 Α. Two years. Do you have any other -- excuse me. 10 Q. 11 you have any educational certificates or anything like that? 12 13 Α. No. 14 Do you have any certain specializations Q. 15 in anything? 16 Α. No. 17 Q. Mr. Hinaman, what do you do for a 18 living? 19 Α. I do political consulting and lobbying. Where do you work? 20 Q. 21 Α. I work for my own company out of my 22 residence in Orange Beach. 23 Q. What's the name of that company? 24 Α. R. Hinaman, LLC. 25 And what is your -- do you have a formal Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 22 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
title within R. Hinaman, LLC?
1
 2
   Α.
                 I quess I would be the president of R.
 3
   Hinaman, LLC.
    Q.
                 Are there other employees of that
 5
    company?
   Α.
                 There are not.
 7
                 If you can, explain to me briefly what
    Q.
   you do as a political consultant and lobbyist.
9
   Α.
                 Sure. On the political consulting
    front, I usually do -- I consult political
10
11
    campaigns, usually on the federal level, mostly
12
    congress, put together the campaign team for various
13
    candidates to get elected to those offices.
14
                 On the lobbying side, which I'm doing
15
    less and less and less of, I did lobbying on the
    federal level for various companies and
16
17
    organizations.
18
                (Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 was
19
                marked for identification.)
20
21
                 I think I can short-circuit our
22
    Q.
23
    discussion about your background a little bit here.
2.4
    This is Exhibit 3.
25
                 MR. THOMPSON: I can get you a copy, as
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 23 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

well, Mr. Walker. 1 And I'll state for the record that this 2 is a copy of your resume that was shown to you in a prior deposition that you gave on June 25, 2013. believe this was PX3 in that deposition. Do you recognize this document? 6 7 I do. Α. 8 Does this appear to be a true and Ο. correct copy of your resume as of June 25, 2013? 9 10 It does. Α. 11 Q. Is this resume up to date? It is not. 12 Α. 13 Ο. What has changed? 14 Well, technically, the name of my Α. 15 company changed because I moved from Virginia to Alabama. Obviously, my address has changed, again 16 17 because of moving. Obviously, I've had some 18 additional clients since 2013. 19 Ο. Who have your additional clients been? I was afraid you would ask me that. 20 Α. 21 Congressman Ben Cline, I did his 22 campaign to replace Bob Goodlatte who retired in Let's see. The American Dental Association 23 is on there. 24 25 That's the major one. I can't say there

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 24 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

wasn't another campaign in there. 1 2 On here, it says that your company name Q. is Hinaman & Company, Inc. Did that change at some point? 5 Α. Yeah, when I moved. That was an LLC in Virginia. And when I moved to Alabama, I formed a new LLC. And when was that? 8 Q. Again, approximately about three years 9 Α. 10 ago. 11 Q. Does a more current version of your resume exist anywhere? 12 13 Α. Yeah, I'm sure it does. 14 Is that something that you could produce Q. 15 in this case if you were asked to? 16 Α. Yes. 17 What experience do you have working with Q. 18 redistricting? Obviously, I drew three of the four maps 19 Α. for Alabama ten years ago, 2011, 2012. I drew the 20 21 congressional maps and the two legislative maps. 22 also worked for the republican congressmen in 23 Virginia to draw their map in 2012. 24 And before that, I worked with 25 Congressman Callahan, who was my -- I was his chief

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 25 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 of staff at one point and then his consultant in
- 2 | Alabama, and helped draw a map in 1992 which was
- 3 | then put into practice by a federal court.
- 4 | Q. Anything beyond that?
- 5 A. No. I mean, I assisted the majority
- 6 | leader of the Virginia senate in some of his efforts
- 7 on redistricting ten years ago. Actually, it was
- 8 | more like 20 years ago. But I wasn't really the
- 9 | lead on it. I was just assisting his office.
- 10 Q. Outside of Alabama and Virginia, have
- 11 | you ever worked in redistricting for any other
- 12 | states?
- 13 A. I have not.
- 14 Q. How did you get involved in drawing maps
- 15 | originally?
- 16 A. Well, my first effort, I quess, was way
- 17 back in 1992 when the legislature failed to draw a
- 18 map for congress in Alabama. I was working for
- 19 | Congressman Callahan. And with him and some of the
- 20 other members of the delegation, we decided that we
- 21 | needed to file a lawsuit to remedy that situation.
- 22 | And so I helped produce a map that was filed with
- 23 | that lawsuit. That was my first endeavor.
- 24 | Q. Had you ever drawn a map before then?
- 25 A. I had not.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 26 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
Q.
 1
                 So how did they come about saying,
    "Randy, we want you to draw this map"?
 3
                 I guess we drew straws and I lost.
   Α.
    Ο.
                 Fair enough.
 5
 6
                 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 was
                marked for identification.)
 8
 9
                 I'm going to hand you another exhibit
    Q.
           This is being marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit
10
        This is also from the ALBC versus Alabama
11
    lawsuit.
12
              This is a declaration that was signed by
13
   you.
14
                 And you can see at the top there,
15
    there's a date that says this was filed on June 17,
    2013, in the Alabama Legislative Black Caucus for
16
17
    the State of Alabama lawsuit. Do you see that?
18
   Α.
                 I do.
19
                 Do you recognize this document?
    Q.
20
    Α.
                 Not particularly.
21
    Q.
                 If you can, flip to Page 7. Do you see
22
    there's a signature?
23
   Α.
                 Yes.
24
    Q.
                 And your name?
25
   Α.
                 Yes.
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 27 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 Q. Does that appear to be your signature? 2 Α. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Does this appear to be a true and correct copy of your declaration? 5 Α. Again, it doesn't ring a bill. But I have no reason to believe it isn't. Take a look at paragraph two. Q. states, "I have substantial experience in drafting 9 redistricting plans in Alabama, including drawing the congressional plan adopted by the three-judge 10 11 federal district court in Mobile in 1992 and work on 12 the 2011 congressional plan." Excuse me. "And work 13 on the 2001 congressional plan. In 2011, I 14 developed the redistricting plan for the Alabama 15 congressional delegation. In that work, I worked within the guidelines for redistricting adopted by 16 17 the reapportionment committee." 18 Do you see that? 19 Α. I do. 20 Q. Is that an accurate description of your 21 experience in drafting redistricting plans in 22 Alabama? 23 It is. I mean, I don't know what that Α. -- the sentence on 2001, I did not draft the 2001 24 plans. But I did work with the leaders in the 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 28 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 legislature who did draft those plans. I didn't
- 2 | want it to imply that I drew those maps. I don't
- 3 | know that it does imply that.
- 4 Q. Okay. Well, let's go to the first part
- 5 | there where you said that you -- your experience did
- 6 | include drawing the congressional plan adopted in
- 7 | 1992. Does that mean that you did draw that map?
- 8 A. I did, yes.
- 9 Q. Is that the map that was used for the
- 10 Alabama congressional elections in the '90s?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Did that map serve as the starting
- 13 point, then, for the congressional map that was
- 14 drafted for 2001?
- 15 A. I didn't draw that map.
- 16 Q. You said you worked on drawing that map.
- 17 What does that mean?
- 18 A. The legislature at that time was
- 19 | controlled by the democrats, and I was representing
- 20 some republican Congressman in just interacting with
- 21 | them. But they -- they drew the map. I was just
- 22 | trying to give our point of view to it.
- 23 | Q. Are you familiar at all with how that
- 24 map was drawn in 2001?
- 25 | A. Vaguely, but not -- not the specifics of

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 29 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

it. 1 2 What's your understanding? Q. 3 Well, it was essentially a continuation Α. of the 1992 map, just updated for the most part for population shift. And you said you were working with the Q. republican legislators? 8 I was working with Congressman Callahan Α. at that point. 9 Did you have any role whatsoever in 10 drawing that map in 2001? 11 I had no official role other than I was 12 Α. 13 working with the leaders -- the democratic leaders 14 who were working on that map. I would occasionally, 15 you know, talk to them about the changes that were made, and for especially Congressman Callahan's 16 17 district. But I didn't -- I didn't have control of the process, if that makes any sense. 18 19 Q. Do you know who did draw the map? Senator Enfinger, I believe. 20 Α. Did he --21 Q. 22 Α. Well, that's who the -- he was the -- I 23 don't know who he hired. That's who I interfaced Let's put it that way. 24 with. Understood. That was going to be my 25 Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 30 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

next question. 1 You said you spoke to several members of 2 3 the legislature. Do you remember who you spoke to? Α. In 2001? Q. Yes. My primary -- my primary interface on Α. that map was Senator Enfinger. 8 When you spoke with Senator Enfinger, Q. did you provide any sort of input or recommendations 9 about how the map should be drawn? 10 11 Α. Only as to how -- he had a draft, I believe, and was talking about the changes he wanted 12 13 to make in various districts. And my primary focus 14 was the first district because I was working for 15 Congressman Callahan. 16 So he had come with some suggestions, 17 and we just talked about those. They were not -- I don't think I had any tremendously substantive 18 changes to recommend. So I think it was pretty much 19 what he had drawn, we were comfortable with. 20 21 Ο. Did you provide any other sort of 22 feedback in drawing the 2001 congressional map 23 beyond what you just mentioned with District 1? I did not. 24 Α. Do you know if it was a goal in the 2001 25 Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 31 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

congressional map to make sure that District 7 1 2 remained a majority black district? 3 I do not. Α. 4 Ο. Do you know if it was considered in 2001 to draw two majority black districts? Α. I do not, no. 6 7 Let's go back to the 1992 congressional Q. Because you said you did draw that one, 8 9 correct? 10 Yes, sir. Α. The 1992 congressional map created the 11 Q. first majority black congressional district in 12 13 Alabama history; is that correct? 14 Α. I believe so, yes. 15 Ο. And you said you drafted that map? I did. 16 Α. 17 Q. So you drafted District 7 as it stood in 18 1992? Yes, sir. 19 Α. 20 Q. Who asked you to draw that map? 21 I was working for Congressman Callahan and some of the other members of the Alabama 22 23 delegation. 24 Q. Did you work with Senator Larry Dixon in 25 drafting the map?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 32 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. 1 Probably, yes. I will point out that this was 30 years 2 So if you ask me a specific question, it's 3 probably going to be hard for me to answer. 5 Q. Understood. Do you remember any other legislators 6 that you worked with directly in drafting the 1992 8 map? 9 Α. I do not. As you know, the legislature did not ultimately pass a map. So we went -- it was 10 11 a court action that imposed this map. 12 Q. Were you asked to create a majority 13 black district in drawing the 1992 map? 14 Α. I quess -- I quess I was, yeah. 15 Who asked you to do that? Ο. I think the -- well, Congressman 16 Α. Callahan and the delegation probably in concert with 17 18 the NRCC. Do you know why you were asked to do 19 Q. that? 20 21 Α. At the time, I believe they thought that was the proper thing to do under the Voting Rights 22 23 Act. Did you receive any instructions from 24 Q. 25 the court?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 33 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. No, sir. 1 Did you draw District 7 with the intent 2 Q. to make it a majority black district? I did. Α. 5 Q. How did you make sure that District 7 would have a majority black voting age population? I just included areas of high Α. concentration of African American voters. How did you do that? 9 Ο. By assigning counties and precincts that 10 Α. fit that definition. 11 Did you have a particular percentage of 12 Q. 13 black voters that you were shooting for? 14 Α. I did not. 15 How did you go about choosing District 7 Ο. to be the district that has the majority black 16 17 voting age population? 18 Α. I don't -- I mean, I think it was a function of geography, I mean, where areas with 19 concentration of black voters were. 20 And how did you gather that information? 21 Q. 22 Α. Census data. 23 What specifically? Q. Just the census data from the -- related 24 Α.

to population and race.

25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 34 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 Q. So when you were drawing it, you were able to pull up and see black voters, white voters in different areas? Α. Yes. 5 MR. WALKER: Objection to form. How did you see that information when 6 Q. you were drawing the map in 1992? I'm not sure I understand your question. 8 Α. 9 Did you use a software to draw the map Q. in 1992? 10 11 As I remember -- again, it was 30 years Α. 12 ago -- I believe I used the computers at the Alabama 13 reapportionment office to draw the map. So I don't 14 know what their software was, to be honest with you. 15 What specific racial data did you have Q. in front of you when you were drawing that map? 16 17 I would have total pop, total African --Α. total black, and voting age data. 18 19 Q. Was that broken down by county, 20 precinct, neighborhood, block? 21 Α. County, precinct, block, yes. Yes, sir. And I realize it was 30 years ago. How 22 Q. 23 did you go about drawing District 7 in 1992? Again, it was 30 years ago. 24 Α. I don't 25 remember the machinations that went into drawing the

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 35 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 map. Did you have in your mind a certain 2 Q. black voting age population that you were shooting for? 5 Α. No. 6 So you just drew general lines and you Q. found that it came to a certain percentage of black voting age population, and you thought that was good? 9 10 Obviously, I was -- I had in my mind 11 that we wanted it to be majority black district. But in terms of above 50 percent, I didn't have a 12 13 specific number in mind. 14 Did you take into account any other Q. 15 characteristics of the black voting age population that you were looking at when you drew that map in 16 17 1992? 18 Α. Such as? 19 For instance, did you look at any Q. socioeconomic factors? 20 21 I did not. Α. 22 Q. Did you look at attitudes? 23 Α. I did not. Interests? 24 Q. 25 (Witness shakes head). Α.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 36 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Type of employment? 1 Q. 2 Α. I did not. 3 Income? Q. I did not. Α. Educational level? 5 Q. Α. No. 6 7 Voter turnout? Q. 8 No. Α. 9 Election results to assess party Q. affiliation amongst the black voting age population? 10 11 Α. No, I don't believe so. 12 Q. When you drew District 7 in 1992, did 13 you determine that to be a community of interest? 14 Α. Yeah. Well, I think it included most of 15 the black belt. I would say they had a community of interest along -- yeah. 16 So yes. 17 And what was the basis for that Q. determination? 18 19 Α. Well, geography and like demographics. And race? 20 Q. 21 Α. And race. 22 Q. Was race the main factor you considered 23 in drawing District 7? It was a major factor. 24 Α. Was there a more predominant factor than 25 Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 37 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

race? 1 Other than geography and deviation. 2 Α. Those would be the top -- obviously, things had to be contiquous. 5 Q. If District 7 did not have a majority black population, would it have passed? Α. Passed what? Would it have been approved? 8 Ο. You're asking me to question what three 9 Α. federal judges would approve? 10 11 Q. You were asked to draw a map that had a majority black district, correct? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 If you had turned in a map that did not Ο. have a majority black district, would you have done 15 what you were asked to do? 16 17 Α. You mean turned into Congressman Callahan? 18 19 Q. Correct. I think our goal was to draw a 20 Α. No. majority black district. 21 22 Q. Why did you draw only one majority black district? 23 That was our -- that was our goal, to 24 Α. 25 draw a district.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 38 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

December 09, 2021 Your goal was to draw only one district? 1 Q. 2 Well, I'm not sure at that -- I don't Α. remember the numbers exactly. I'm not sure -- I'm 3 not sure whether it would have been possible to draw two or not. I don't know that it would have. Did you consider drawing two majority 6 Q. black districts? I did not. 8 Α. Did anyone suggest to you to draw that? 9 Q. 10 They did not. Α. 11 Did you review or comment on any other Q. maps that contained two majority black districts at 12 the time? 13 14 Α. I don't --15 MR. WALKER: Objection to form. I don't remember seeing any majority two 16 Α. 17 district maps. Did you consider race in drawing any of 18 Q. the other districts in 1992? 19 I did not. I mean, other than -- I did 20 Α. not, no. 21 22 Q. Skipping ahead to the 2011 congressional 23 You also drew that map, correct? But may I go back just one? 24 Α. Yes.

25

Q.

Sure.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 39 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. Obviously, we drew this map -- I drew 1 this map, and it was submitted in a lawsuit. no idea what would happen to it from there. not like I -- you know, I didn't know whether the judges would change it or what would happen. That's a good point. Did the judges 6 Q. change it after you submitted it? 8 Α. I don't -- no, I don't believe they did. 9 Sorry. Go ahead. So you stated that you also drew the 10 Q. 2011 congressional map, correct? 11 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 That one is a little bit more recent, Ο. 14 ten years ago. Do you recall the general method 15 that you used in drawing that map? I mean, essentially it was 16 Α. Yeah. 17 updating the 2001 map based on demographic changes that had happened over the last ten years and 18 working with the -- all of the -- I was hired by all 19 20 of the members to update the map and submit a --21 submit a map to the legislature for approval. 22 Q. So correct me if I'm wrong. 23 generally when you're drawing these maps, it's more 24 of a redrawing than a drawing from scratch. Is that 25 fair to say?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 40 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 A. That is fair to say.
- 2 Q. So the general process is that you will
- 3 use the existing map from the prior census data and
- 4 update it with the new census data, correct?
- 5 A. That's correct. And obviously, whether
- 6 | it's a congressional map or any other maps, you have
- 7 officeholders who have an interest in, for the most
- 8 part, keeping the voters that they've had for the
- 9 | last ten years. So, most of them would not go into
- 10 a redistricting process looking for wholesale
- 11 | change.
- 12 Q. So the 2021 map, for instance, can be
- 13 traced back to the 2011 map, the 2001 map, and the
- 14 | 1992 map in that order, correct?
- 15 A. Yeah. Preserving cores of existing
- 16 districts was a quideline for the 2021 map.
- 17 Q. For instance, the 2001 map used the 1992
- 18 map as a starting point, true?
- 19 | A. I didn't draw that map.
- 20 Q. Do you have any other understanding of
- 21 | how that map was drawn?
- 22 | A. I mean, if you look at it, it looks like
- 23 | it was continuing that map, yes. But I didn't --
- 24 | the democratic legislature drew that map.
- $25 \mid Q$. Is it a fair assumption to say that they

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 41 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

probably used the 1992 map in drawing the 2001 map? 1 2 Α. That's an -- a fair assumption, I quess. 3 And the 2011 map then that you drew used Q. the 2001 map as its starting point? 5 Α. Yes, sir. And then the 2021 map that you drew used 6 Q. the 2011 map as its starting point? Yes, sir. 8 Α. In drawing the 2011 congressional map, 9 Q. did you speak to members of congress? 10 11 Α. I spoke to all of them, yes, sir. All seven of the incumbents? 12 Ο. 13 Α. Yes. 14 And what did you speak to them about? Q. 15 We're talking about 2011? Α. Correct. 16 Q. 17 I spoke to them about the over and under Α. nature of their districts, whether they needed to 18 gain population or lose population. And based on 19 20 that, where they would like to gain or where they 21 would like to -- where they would be -- you know, 22 like to lose. 23 And I tried to work with adjacent districts to make sure that if person X wanted to 24 25 give up this county, that the other person would be

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 42 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

amenable to taking it. So I tried to negotiate a 1 2 map that everybody was happy with. 3 Did you consult the state's Q. redistricting criteria in drawing that map? 5 Α. I did. Did you review election returns in 6 Q. drawing that map? 8 Α. They were part of it, yes. What data did you have on that? 9 Q. I don't remember if all their races were 10 Α. 11 in there. But I had the latest last three or four state-wide races that were available. 12 13 0. And how did you use that information? 14 I didn't use it all that much. It was a 15 common -- you know, a common question from a member might be, you know, what did the governor get in my 16 17 district? And if we make this change -- or what did whomever ran for president in the race before that, 18 whoever that was. 19 20 But I didn't use it so much in drawing 21 It was more of confirming to them that 22 their district was going to perform similarly to how 23 the previous district had performed electorally. Did that data give you information on 24 Q. party affiliation? 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 43 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. I don't believe so. I think it was just 1 election returns. 2 3 Was that aggregate election returns? Or Q. was that by individual counties or precincts? that make sense? Α. Yeah. It was precinct-based. But then it was aggregate for counties and then for the districts. 8 You can look at all of that? 9 Ο. 10 Α. Yes. 11 Ο. Understood. Did you look at any racial polarization 12 13 data in drawing the 2011 map? 14 Α. I did not. 15 Did you look at any other voter behavior Ο. data? 16 17 Α. I did not. 18 Was it a goal in drafting the 2011 Q. congressional map to make sure that District 7 19 remained a majority black district? 20 21 (Zoom interruption.) 22 Α. What is that? 23 It sounds like we might have a singer. Q. MR. TURRILL: Someone is off on mute on 24 25 the line there.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 44 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 19 2021

- December 09, 2021

 1 Q. I think we're good now.

 2 A. Can you ask -- I'm sorry. Can you ask

 3 that again?

 4 Q. No problem.

 5 Was it a goal in drafting the 2011

 6 congressional map to make sure that District 7
 - 7 remained a majority black district?
 - 8 A. Yeah. Obviously, Congresswoman Sewell
- 9 | was one of my -- one of my clients for that map.
- 10 And she wanted to maintain her majority black
- 11 | district, yes.
- 12 | Q. When you say that she was one of your
- 13 | clients, what do you mean?
- 14 A. She was one of the members of congress
- 15 who paid me to draw the map.
- 16 Q. Did you have a contract with those
- 17 members of congress?
- 18 A. Verbally.
- 19 Q. You didn't have a written contract?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. What was the verbal contract?
- 22 A. That they would all put in \$10,000 to
- 23 draw -- each to draw -- pay me to draw this map.
- Q. That each individual congressman or
- 25 | woman would put in \$10,000?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 45 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. 1 Their campaigns, yes. Was that the extent of the verbal 2 Q. agreement? 3 Α. It was. Was it a goal in drafting that 2011 5 Q. congressional map to make sure that District 7 kept a 60 percent black voting age population? 8 Α. No. Was there any sort of specific black 9 Q. voting age population percentage that you were 10 11 shooting for? 12 Α. No. 13 Were you successful in making sure that Ο. 14 District 7 remained a majority black district? 15 Α. We were. How did you make sure of that? 16 Q. 17 Α. By whatever -- you know, whatever -- and I don't even remember the various counties ten years 18 If you handed me a map, I could probably tell 19 20 you. 21 But by what we added county and 22 precinct-wise to make sure it did not dramatically 23 alter the makeup of the district. Explain that to me a little bit further. 24 Q. 25 So what changes were you making in 2011?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 46 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. Again, I don't even know how much -- I'm 1 2 going to hazard a quess that District 7 was underpopulated in 2011. I don't remember the exact numbers. It was ten years ago. 5 But I'm going to guess that it was underpopulated. And so then the discussion with 6 Congresswoman Sewell would be, you know, where -what areas would we add to your district to get your 8 district to ideal population. 9 And, obviously, in looking at those 10 11 areas, we, you know, wanted to make sure that we preserved the majority black district. 12 13 I know some of this was discussed in Ο. 14 your deposition eight years ago. So I'll try not to 15 tread the same water too much. But explain to me just a little bit 16 17 about the process when you were drawing the 2011 18 congressional map. So did you start with District 7? 19 I probably did start with District 7. 20 Α. don't really remember, to be honest with you. 21 22 mean, I -- you know, I was meeting -- I met with the 23 entire delegation to start. And then we went from 2.4 there. 25 But preserving Congresswoman Sewell's

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 47 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

majority black district was a priority for the 1 2 delegation. 3 And that was the priority for you, as Q. well? 5 Α. Yes. Do you remember generally what sort of Q. changes you made to District 7 in 2011? 8 Α. I really don't. I mean, I apologize. But I did so many maps and plans in the last ten 9 10 years that I don't. 11 Q. What other maps and plans have you done in the last ten years? 12 13 Α. Well, we just did four in the last 14 couple of months. 15 Q. Anything else? Those are the ones that are mostly stuck 16 Α. 17 in my brain. 18 Q. Are there any others? 19 Α. No. 20 MR. WALKER: What was the question 21 again? MR. THOMPSON: He said there were so 22 23 many maps that he had drawn in the last ten years. And I asked him which ones, and he said just the 24 four that he just did. 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 48 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Well, "drawn" is -- we could find the 1 Α. 2 exact number. But I think in this last legislative session, there were something like 41 various maps and plans that were submitted to the legislature. So while I certainly didn't draw most of those, I did look at them. 7 So to ask me to go back ten years, it's hard to -- when you have some 41 pieces of 41 maps 8 9 in your head, it's hard to expand back ten years. So you reviewed all 41 maps that were 10 11 submitted? I didn't review them all, but I looked 12 Α. 13 at most of them. 14 What's the difference between looking at Q. them and reviewing them? 15 Well, reviewing them would take more 16 Α. 17 Looking at them would be, okay, this is a -this is a house map or a senate map or whatever. 18 19 just looked at the cover sheet and maybe the overall numbers, but didn't review -- didn't -- some of them 20 21 were never offered, obviously. So if they weren't 22 offered, I didn't look at them more seriously than 23 that. 24 Q. Did you review all of the maps that were 25 offered?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 49 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. I looked at --1 MR. WALKER: And you're talking about --2 3 We're talking about 2021 now. Did you Q. review all the maps that were offered in the legislature in 2021? Yes, I tried to. Some of -- some of Α. that may have been a very short review because some of those maps were literally submitted 24 hours 8 before they were offered either on the floor or at 9 10 committee. So it's not like it was a long review. 11 One more question going back to the 2011 Q. congressional map. Did you consider race -- excuse 12 13 A couple more questions, to be fair. 14 Did you consider race in drawing any of 15 the other districts other than District 7 in 2011? MR. WALKER: Congressional. 16 17 Q. The congressional map in 2011. Not specifically. I mean, I'm not sure 18 Α. I know what "consider" means. But, obviously, all 19 that information was available on each district. 20 21 But --22 Ο. Did you review the racial data for each 23 district when you were drawing the 2011 congressional map? 24 Α. 25 As a matter of course, yeah. I mean,

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 50 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

it's all there. 1 2 Explain that. Q. 3 Well, when you finish -- when you draw a Α. map, obviously, you've got seven districts. you're going to have -- if you look at the, you know, top data for each district, it's going to have race and voting age, black, so forth and so on for each district. It's not like it just only comes up on the majority black district. It would come up on 9 all of them, obviously. 10 11 Did you review that data for each Q. district? 12 13 Α. I looked at it. 14 Ο. What did that data tell you? 15 Α. Nothing specifically. Did you do anything with that data? 16 Q. 17 Α. I did not. Did you consider drawing two majority 18 Q. black districts when you drew the 2011 congressional 19 20 map? 21 Α. I really did not. 22 Q. Why not? 23 Well, primarily because the people who Α. were paying me to draw these maps preferred the 24 districts similar to how they were. 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 51 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Q. Did the people that were paying you to 1 draw the map prefer not to have a second majority black district? 3 I don't know about that. But they 4 Α. preferred to have their districts as close to what they had under that map going forward. 7 Did you discuss with anyone the Q. possibility of creating a second majority black district? 9 I don't believe so. 10 Α. 11 Were you aware of requests in the Q. 12 legislature in 2011 to create a second majority black district? 13 14 Α. Again, I don't have a -- I don't have a 15 complete recollection of ten years ago what maps were offered or not offered on the -- I don't want 16 to guess on what was offered and what wasn't 17 18 offered. Do you know if it would have been 19 Q. 20 possible to create a second majority black district 21 in 2011? 22 MR. DAVIS: Object to the form. 23 MR. WALKER: Objection. Go ahead. I did not do it. So I -- I don't have 24 Α. 25 an opinion on whether it was possible.

- Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 52 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021 1 Q. To be clear for the timeline, I'm moving ahead now to 2021 for the most recent maps that were 3 drawn. Α. Yes, sir. 5 Q. And I'm going to refer now to the 2021 congressional map. When I refer to that, I mean the one that was enacted. It was also referred to, I believe, as HB-1 and then ultimately Act 2021-555. 8 Is that fair? 9 Yes, sir. 10 Α. 11 And I'll refer to that either as the Q. 12 2021 map or the 2021 congressional map. Is that 13 okay? Α. Yes, sir. When were you first approached about Q. drawing the 2021 congressional map? 16
- 14
- 15
- 17 That probably would have been the end --Α.
- sometime in September or October of 2020. 18
- 19 Ο. Of 2020 or 2021?
- 20 Α. About a year out, I would say.
- 21 Q. Who approached you?
- 22 Α. Senator McClendon and Representative
- 23 Pringle on behalf of the republican leadership.
- What were you asked to do? 24 Q.
- They asked me if I would be interested 25 Α.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 53 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09 2021

December 09, 2021 in drawing all four maps that they -- the 1 2 congressional, as well as the other maps that needed to be drawn in this session. Ο. And those four would be the congressional, the house and senate for the state legislature, and the board of education? Yes, sir. Α. 8 Did you agree to draw all four? Ο. I did. 9 Α. When were you officially retained? 10 Q. 11 Α. Around that time, I would think. Like 12 maybe October of 2020. 13 Q. And who officially retained you? 14 Well, I was working for the two chairs Α. 15 of the -- the house chair, Representative Pringle, and the senate chair, Senator McClendon. 16 17 Q. Did you sign a contract? 18 Α. I did. 19 When did you sign that contract? Q. Again, I don't have that in front of me. 20 Α. 21 But September or October of 2020, I would imagine. 22 Q. Is the contract with you individually, 23 or is it with your company? It was with R. Hinaman, yes. 24 Α.

And who is the other party that you

25

Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 54 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09 2021

December 09, 2021 contracted with? 1 Citizens for Fair -- Citizens for Fair 2 Α. 3 Representation. Or maybe Alabamians for Fair Representation. 5 Q. Do you recall which one it is? Α. Not off the top of my head. Who is Citizens for Fair Representation Q. or Alabamians or Fair Representation? Whichever the name is, who is that group? 9 It's a 501(c)(4) which also paid me to 10 Α. do the map drawing that I did in 2011. 11 And what's your understanding of why you 12 Q. 13 were contracted by this particular group? 14 Α. Meaning? 15 As opposed to the State of Alabama, the Ο. legislature, anyone else. Why this 501(c)(4) 16 17 organization? 18 Α. The leadership had set up that (c)(4) for the purpose of drawing districts in 2020 -- 2011 19 and then continued it for 2021. 20 So this 501(c)(4) organization was 21 Q. 22 created for the purpose of drawing the redistricting 23 in the state of Alabama? In 2011, that's my understanding, yes. 24 Α.

25

Q.

Do you know if that organization does

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 55 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

anything else? 1 2 Α. I do not. 3 The contract that you signed around Q. September, October of 2020, did you draft that contract? Α. T did. What does the contract call for you to Q. do? It calls for me to work with the two 9 Α. chairs and the leadership of the house and the 10 11 senate to draw four maps, congressional, state senate, state house, and state board of education. 12 13 And to the extent practical and possible, meet with 14 the officeholders for those four maps to get their 15 interest in changes and so forth. In that last part, you said "to meet 16 Q. 17 with the officeholders"? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Is that basically the incumbents for Q. each of the various districts on each of those maps? 20 21 Α. Correct. 22 Q. Do you have a copy of that contract? 23 Α. Not with me. But yes, I do. Is that something that you could produce 24 Q. if you were requested in this case? 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 56 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. 1 Yes. 2 What were the terms of your compensation Q. 3 in that contract? Α. Four payments spaced out over various months, four payments of \$50,000 spaced out over the length of the contract. 7 I believe when we actually signed the contract back in September or October, we were 8 hoping or planning to do a special session in July. 9 So we didn't at that time know that COVID was going 10 11 to delay the census numbers and so forth and so on. 12 So when I started the process at the end 13 of 2020, the theory was we would, you know, probably 14 have a special session in June or July sometime to 15 pass these maps. You said you started the process around 16 Q. 17 the end of 2020. What do you --18 Α. Well, when I signed the contract. You also said that there was -- the 19 Q. 20 contract called for four payments of \$50,000. 21 that four separate payments of 50,000 each, for a 22 total of --23 Α. Yes, sir. -- 200,000? 24 Q. 25 Α. Yes, sir.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 57 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Have you been fully paid at this point? 1 Q. 2 Α. I have. 3 Was any part of your compensation Q. contingent on anything? 5 Α. However, the -- just to be clear on the payment, because the time frame of the project changed -- I mean, when we initially signed the contract, the theory was, again, we would have the 8 9 census data in March and we would pass a plan in Obviously, that didn't happen. 10 11 So my timeline for when I was supposed 12 to get those four payments I modified so that they 13 didn't have to pay me before I had actually even had 14 census data. So we changed the timeline. But yes. 15 Were you able to do any work on the maps Q. before you got the census data? 16 17 Yeah. We -- especially the state-wide Α. ones such as congress and state board of education. 18 We had to -- we had the estimates, county estimates, 19 20 from the census bureau. I quess it would have been the 2019 numbers. 21 22 So it was possible to look at them and 23 say, okay, this district is likely to be under, this district is likely to be over, which on the 24 congressional level allowed me to start meeting with 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 58 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

members before we had the official census data which 1 2 we didn't get until the end of August. 3 So you didn't get the official census Q. data until the end of August. But you had unofficial estimates from the census before then? Α. Correct. 6 7 And when did you receive those Q. unofficial results? 8 I don't -- I don't know when the 2019 9 Α. numbers were updated. But I'm going to say around 10 the end of -- somewhere around the end of 2020. 11 12 I don't know that exactly. 13 Did you begin working on the Q. 14 congressional map before you received the official 15 census data? Yes, sir. 16 Α. 17 Q. When did you begin working on that map? 18 Α. In earnest probably in May of 2021. What do you mean "in earnest"? 19 Q. 20 Α. Well, meeting with members and talking 21 substantively about potential changes. 22 Q. Before we get into the specifics of that, just on your compensation real quick, were you 23 paid or retained by anyone else? 24 25 Α. No. I mean, I assume you mean relative

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 59 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 to redistricting. Certainly. You've received other 2 Q. 3 payments --Α. Yes. 5 Q. -- for other --6 Consulting. Α. Correct. Q. 8 So you stated that you began drawing the 2021 map in earnest in May of 2021. Did you do 9 anything else in preparation for drawing the maps 10 before that date? 11 I mean, I had conversations with 12 Α. No. 13 members of the congressional delegation. And as you 14 may -- may know, there was considerable 15 concerns/discussion about whether Alabama would have seven members of congress or six. 16 17 And until we really knew the answer to that -- which I think we were told by the census 18 bureau in April, sometime in April what the answer 19 to that question was -- there really wasn't much --20 21 I didn't -- my position with the congressmen was it 22 would not make sense to work on a map until we knew 23 how many districts we were going to have. Because, obviously, working on a 24 six-person map where somebody would be paired with 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 60 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

somebody was not going to be a lot of fun. 1 2 there was no need to do that if we didn't ever have 3 to. Certainly. So the census bureau 4 Ο. 5 informed --All the states, I think, in April of how Α. many -- how many members of congress they would have. And then that allowed me to set up meetings and work off of the estimates of 2019 to talk about 9 whether your district was over or under and so 10 11 forth. 12 Q. And you began those meetings around May 13 of --14 Α. I went to DC with the goal to meet with 15 everybody in May, yes, sir. So you said you went to DC. So I assume 16 Q. 17 that you're referring to meetings with the 18 congressional members. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. Did you meet with any other -- for 21 instance, did you meet with anybody in the Alabama 22 state legislature in the spring of 2021? 23 Α. Well, I met with the two co-chairs to talk about my plan to how to -- you know, how to 24 25 move forward on the congressional, that we would

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 61 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

wait until we knew how many districts the state 1 2 would have. And then I would go to Washington and meet with the members and start formulating a plan from there to hopefully reach some consensus on a 5 map. Before you received word from the census 6 Q. bureau that there were going to be seven districts in Alabama again, did you do anything else in 8 9 furtherance of drawing the 2021 congressional map? I did not. 10 Α. 11 When did you actually begin redrawing Q. 12 the 2021 congressional map? 13 Α. After my May round of meetings in 14 Washington. 15 You say after then. Would that have Q. been in May? Or June, July? 16 I think the end of May, beginning --17 Α. again, this was all based on estimates. We did not 18 have the real census data. So I just -- I probably 19 20 roughed out a map sometime in May or June based off 21 of the estimates, knowing full well they were not going to be completely accurate. 22 23 From the time that you started drawing Q. the 2021 congressional map until it was completed, 24

about how much time did you spend in terms of hours

25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 62 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- on drawing that map? 1 2 Α. I have no idea. I quess I would make a 3 bad lawyer. Q. Well, I don't want you to guess. 5 When was the map completed for the 2021 congressional? 6 Complete. When was I done with what I Α. was doing with it? 8 9 Ο. Correct. Probably the Friday before the week we 10 Α. 11 went into session. So whatever that -- October 23rd or -- I'm making up that date. Whatever the Friday 12 13 before we went into session was. 14 And you're referring to the special Q. 15 session that was called in the fall of 2021? Correct. 16 Α. 17 Going back to how much time it took you Q. in terms of hours. Would you say that you spent 18 more than 100 hours drawing the congressional map in 19 2021? 20 Well, if you're including meetings and 21 discussions about it, yeah, probably. 23 Q. Would you say you spent more than 150 hours? 24

I don't know. I just -- I don't really

25

Α.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 63 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 have a -- I didn't think of it in terms of hours.
- 2 | My contract didn't -- my contract was just you were
- 3 going to draw these four maps. And whether it took
- 4 | 123 hours or 217 was irrelevant to what I was doing.
- 5 Q. Right. I'm just trying to get an idea
- 6 about how long it took you. I know there were
- 7 | months involved.
- 8 But how much time you were actually
- 9 | spending on this in that time frame, would you say
- 10 | it took you more than 200 hours?
- 11 | A. I have no way of even guessing that. I
- 12 | really -- I apologize, but I don't.
- 13 Q. Were you doing other things work-wise
- 14 | between May 2021 and -- when was the special
- 15 | session? Was it in October?
- 16 | A. October of 2021, yes.
- 17 Q. Between May 2021 and October 2021, were
- 18 | you doing anything else work-wise other than drawing
- 19 | these four maps?
- 20 | A. Not very much because it was an
- 21 off-year, obviously. I had clients that I did
- 22 things for, obviously, in 2020, working up to the
- 23 | November 2020 election. But -- and I still had an
- 24 ongoing relationship with some of -- a couple of my
- 25 | clients. But there wasn't a lot of work that needed

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 64 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 to be done in the off-year.
 2 Q. Were you working full 40-hour weeks
- 3 during that entire time?
- 4 A. By and large, yes.
- 5 Q. Did you take any trips or personal
- 6 | vacation time during that time period?
- 7 A. Well, it was during COVID. So I didn't
- 8 | travel a whole lot. But it was a crazy time, as you
- 9 all remember.
- 10 Q. Did you take any time off?
- 11 A. Sure.
- 12 Q. About how long did you take off?
- 13 A. I don't know. A couple of weeks.
- 14 Q. And in that -- you had mentioned that
- 15 you weren't able to begin redrawing the
- 16 congressional map before you received the census
- 17 estimates in April of 2021. Does that apply to all
- 18 | --
- 19 A. Before I received how many districts we
- 20 | had in April of 2021.
- 21 | Q. Correct. Does that --
- 22 A. I think we had the census estimates
- 23 before that. I'm saying we just didn't know how
- 24 many districts there were.
- 25 Q. Fair enough. Thank you for the

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 65 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

clarification. 1 Does that apply to all four of the maps 2 3 that you were drawing? Α. No. That's obviously the -- the only one that the census determined how many members there would be would be -- was congress. Because you said you had unofficial Q. census data on, I quess, population prior to that? 8 9 Α. By county, yes. And did you use that unofficial data for 10 11 the other maps? I used it -- I used it to start working 12 Α. 13 with the state school board members. 14 It was less effective at the senate and house levels, virtually useless at the house level 15 because it was mostly county data at the beginning. 16 17 And so most house districts are not made up of full counties, obviously. So it was less valuable in 18 those maps and more valuable in the statewide maps. 19 20 Ο. When did you begin drawing the state 21 house and senate maps in 2021? I did not start on a house map until we 22 Α. 23 actually had all of our census data at the end of I had roughed out a few of the rural senate 24 districts based on some of the estimates. 25 But it

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 66 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

wasn't particularly effective. 1 So I would -- I would really say I 2 3 didn't seriously start drawing those maps until August of 2021. 5 Q. And what about the board of education map? 6 Α. The board of education I was doing simultaneously to congress because that was 8 obviously a statewide map. And the county numbers 9 were more usable in that type of map than they were 10 11 in a 105-member state house map. So you began drawing the board of 12 Q. 13 education map around --14 Α. The same times as congress. 15 Which was around May of 2021? Ο. Correct. I think I started meeting with 16 Α. 17 those members in May, as well. 18 We've been going about an hour. Do you Q. want to take a break? 19 20 Α. Sure. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record. 21 The time is 10:17 a.m. 22 23 (Recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 24 25 The time is now 10:35 a.m. record.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 67 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Mr. Hinaman, when we left off, we were 1 Q. talking about the preparation that you did starting to get into the beginnings of drawing the 2021 map. 4 Prior to May 2021, did you anything in 5 furtherance of drawing the 2021 congressional map? Other than reviewing the 2019 census Α. estimates by county, no. And what did you do when you were 8 Q. reviewing the --9 I was trying to get a feel for what 10 districts would be underpopulated and what districts 11 12 would be overpopulated based on those estimates. 13 And while the estimates in the end 14 didn't turn out to be obviously particularly close to the actual numbers, in order -- they were -- they 15 were close in that they did predict the three 16 17 districts that would be under and the four districts that would be over. 18 So it was helpful to pay attention to 19 that when I started to do my round of meetings with 20 21 the members of congress. 22 Q. Did you do anything else prior to May 2021 in furtherance of drawing the 2021 23 congressional map? 24 25 Α. No. I mean, obviously, I -- at some

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 68 of 283 Randy Hinaman

- December 09, 2021 point in that time frame, the reapportionment 1 2 committee met and passed their quidelines. Obviously, I reviewed those and how they would impact the drawing of the maps. But that was -that was about the May time frame, as well. It may have been early May rather than later May. You met with members of congress in DC Q. in May of 2021, correct? Α. 9 Yes. Was that the first thing that you did 10 Q. 11 after the census data came out in 2021? Well, the data --12 Α. 13 Ο. Let me take a step back there. 14 You said that prior to May 2021, the 15 only thing that you had done was review some of the unofficial census data to get a feel for 16 17 underpopulation, overpopulation? 18 Α. Yes. Then the census bureau announced around 19 Q. 20 April 2021 that there will be seven congressional 21 districts again in Alabama? 22 Α. Correct. 23 Was the next step that you did flying to Q. DC to meet with the congressional members? 24
- 25 A. Yes. And that was, again, after

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 69 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

quidelines had been passed in early May. 1 The only other thing in there, obviously 2 3 I had talked -- before we knew seven to six, I had talked to, obviously, all of the offices, the congressional offices, about what my -- what our 5 proposed timeline was going to be based on the fact that the census data was delayed, and that hopefully we would be able to set up a round of meetings in 8 9 May and then we would get our data in August or whatever, and then we would fine tune it from there. 10 11 So those were more of administrative Q. coordination discussions? 12 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 You flew to DC, you said, in May of 2021 Q. to meet with the congressional members. Did you 15 meet with each -- all seven congressional members? 16 17 I met with five in person, one by Zoom. Α. And one of the members declined to meet because they 18 were more interested in running for a different 19 office, I quess. 20 21 Q. Which member was that that declined to 22 meet? 23 Mo Brooks. I met with his chief of Α. staff, but I did not meet with Congressman Brooks 24 directly. 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 70 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 19 2021

- December 09, 2021 You met with each of the other Q. 1 congressional members? 3 Five in person and one by Zoom. Α. Ο. Who was the one you met with by Zoom? 5 Α. Congresswoman Sewell. She was back in Alabama on a personal matter. So I met with her by Zoom. 8 Did you meet personally with Congressman Q. Sewell by Zoom? 9 10 Yes. Α. 11 Q. And when was that? During the May trip. Is that what 12 Α. 13 you're asking me? 14 Ο. Correct. Because you went to DC to meet with some of them. 15 16 Yes. And she was not in DC because of a Α. 17 personal matter. So we did a Zoom call. 18 You were in DC when you had the Q. Zoom call? 19 20 And she was in Birmingham, I believe. Α. 21 Q. Was it just one call that you had with 22 Congressman Sewell? 23 Α. During that trip, just one call. Have you had other meetings with 24 Q.
 - U.S. Legal Support | www.uslegalsupport.com

25

Congressman Sewell?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 71 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09 2021

- December 09, 2021 Α. I've had other Zoom meetings with her. 1 Microsoft Teams, technically. But yes, Zoom 2 meetings. 3 4 Q. Have you had any in-person meetings with Congressman Sewell? No, I don't think I did this time. Α. 7 mean, as -- in-person meetings were rather difficult. It was actually May when I went to --8 the house office buildings were actually closed and 9 didn't allow visitors. So meeting anybody in person 10 11 was a bit challenging during that time. 12 I would have met with her in person on 13 that trip had she been in town. But she was not. 14 But the other members that I met with were all off-campus, so to speak, because we couldn't go to 15 -- I couldn't go to their offices. 16 17 As far as Congressman Brooks goes, you Q. said you met with somebody from his staff? 18 19 Α. I met with his chief of staff, yes. And what did you discuss with these 20 Ο.
- 23 A. I discussed the over and under nature of

representatives when you met with them in May of

24 their district. And if their district was

21

22

2021?

25 underpopulated based on the estimates, I said, you

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 72 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 know, "Where would you envision picking up
- 2 population?" If you were over populated, "What
- 3 areas of your district would you envision
- 4 | potentially losing?"
- 5 Q. Did you discuss anything other than
- 6 population changes with them?
- 7 A. Population changes and potential
- 8 | timelines and when we might get the real census
- 9 data.
- 10 Q. Anything else that you discussed with
- 11 | them?
- 12 A. That was about it.
- 13 Q. What did you do next after meeting with
- 14 | the representatives in May of 2021?
- 15 A. I took -- took back that information and
- 16 looked at it in terms of a map, and then waited for
- 17 the real census data to come to see where we really
- 18 | were.
- 19 Q. You said you took back that information.
- 20 What sort of information did you get from these
- 21 meetings?
- 22 A. When somebody said if I need to lose
- 23 | 10,000, I would like to lose them in county X or
- 24 place Y or whatever.
- 25 Q. And so you said you took that

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 73 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

information. And then what did you do with it? 1 2 Tried to rough it out in an estimated Α. map, but again knowing that it was going to change 3 because the estimates were not going to be completely accurate. And, again, I didn't want to -- if there 6 7 was a conflict somewhere between some -- two members wanted county X, I didn't really want to litigate 8 that until we had real numbers because it may become 9 irrelevant when it turns out that their district was 10 10,000 off of what the estimate said. 11 12 So I tried not to get into any 13 negotiations at that point. 14 Were there some disputes in the Q. 15 recommendations and requests that you received? 16 Α. Minorly, yeah. Were there specific counties that more 17 Q. than one representative wanted? 18 19 Α. I mean, for example, the 1st Yeah. District was going to be over. The 1st District was 20 21 going to be overpopulated, and it was going to have 22 to lose some. And the 1st District congressman 23 wanted to probably lose some to the 2nd in Monroe, 24 but the 2nd District congressman wanted to gain some 25 from the 1st in Escambia, just things like that.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 74 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 They were not major. But, again, it really wasn't worth the 2 3 point of negotiating it fully until we knew the real Because as it turned out, it only ended up being 739 people, and it wasn't particularly important which county it was in the scheme of 717,000 voters or citizens in a district. 8 You said you then took that information Q. from those meetings with the representatives and 9 roughed out a map. What does that mean? 10 11 Α. It means I took the -- we had the 12 estimates on Maptitude at the state reapportionment 13 office. And I just roughed without -- I mean, I 14 didn't get anywhere close to zero deviation because 15 there was no point in it. 16 I just generally roughed out based on what we had discussed in DC, knowing that it was all 17 going to change when we got the real numbers. 18 just explored some of the potential. 19 And to be clear, for somebody that 20 Q. 21 doesn't draw maps, what does "roughed out" mean? 22 Α. Meaning assigned various counties to 23 districts just in an effort to get things closer to the ideal population. 24 Kind of playing with the numbers, just 25 Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 75 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

kind of seeing what works as a preliminary 1 2 standpoint, I guess? 3 Yes. And just to be clear, that was all Α. on total population. Because I certainly didn't have the ability or trust the internals of any of those -- I mean, I wouldn't have trusted like BVAP or anything else to the extent it wouldn't have made any sense to look at it at that point. 8 9 Did you have any data on the black Q. 10 voting age population at that --11 Α. I don't know what the estimates had. But I didn't even look at it because I knew it 12 13 wasn't going to be significant to what we were 14 doing. 15 Did you do anything else before you Ο. received the official census data in August of 2021? 16 17 Α. No. 18 Did you review any other materials in Q. that time frame before August 2021? 19 Obviously, I reviewed the guidelines and 20 Α. had discussions with the two chairs of how we will 21 22 proceed once we get the data in terms of all the 23 maps. What were those discussions like? 24 Q. Just mostly timing and how we would --25 Α.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 76 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

how we would go forward. And hopefully we could get 1 some consensus on the state school board members and 2 some consensus with the congressional members. 3 4 And, obviously, the house map I couldn't 5 do anything with until we got the real numbers. senate map I could do next to nothing with. I could look at a few of the more rural districts because they were whole counties. But once you got 8 into major metropolitan areas, I couldn't come up 9 with too many suggestions for that then. 10 11 Other than Pringle and McClendon, did Q. you meet with any other members of the Alabama 12 13 legislature? 14 Α. I don't believe so at that time. 15 And "that time" being before August Ο. 2021, correct? 16 17 Α. Correct. Did you review any election returns in 18 Q. that time frame? 19 I did not. 20 Α. 21 Q. Did you review any voter registration info in that time frame? 22 23 Α. I did not. Did you review any voter primary 24 Q. 25 participation data in that time frame?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 77 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. No, sir. 1 And then in August 2021, you received 2 Q. the official census data, correct? Α. Correct. Q. What did you do once you received that data? Α. Well, the State received it. 8 And then ultimately it was passed on to Q. you, correct? Well, it was -- I used the state 10 computer. So their -- that data was then given to 11 Maptitude. This is my understanding. I did not do 12 13 any of this. 14 That data was given to Maptitude, and 15 Maptitude turned it into their workable -- put it into their program and sent it back to the State. 16 17 And the State loaded it into their computers, which 18 all took another week. And then I was able to manipulate it on -- use it on a computer at that 19 point. 20 21 Q. So walk me through that. So Maptitude 22 is a software on a computer, correct? 23 Α. Yes. A map-drawing software? 24 Q. 25 Α. Correct.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 78 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 Q. Is it the same software that you had used previously in drawing maps? 3 I used it in 2011, yes, sir. Α. Ο. Did you ever use it before then? 5 THE WITNESS: I used it in 2011. The State used ESRI. Α. Excuse me? 8 Did you use it before 2011? Ο. I don't think so. 9 Α. And you were clarifying with Mr. Walker 10 11 that you used in 2011 --12 Α. In 2011, I had a computer, and I 13 had Maptitude on it. The State used -- the State of 14 Alabama used a different software, I think, called 15 ESRI. THE REPORTER: Called what? 16 17 Α. ESRI. 18 Can you spell that? Q. 19 I don't know. Α. 20 MR. WALKER: E-S-R-I, all capital 21 letters. And what is ESRI? 22 Q. 23 It's just a -- it's similar to Maptitude Α. software for using the census data. 24 25 Q. So in 2011, you drew the map using your

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 79 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

own computer and your own software? 1 2 Α. Correct. 3 Was that then imported into ESRI for the Q. State? 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 The file types can be imported from one Q. to the other? Yes, sir. 8 Α. Then in 2021, you did not use your own 9 Q. computer and software, correct? 10 11 Α. That's correct. 12 Ο. You used the State's computers and 13 software? 14 Α. Entirely. 15 Where was that physically? Q. In the reapportionment office at the 16 Α. 17 state house, Room 317. 18 So any time that you wanted to actually Q. work on redrawing the map, you had to --19 20 Α. Physically be there. How often --21 Q. 22 Α. Sorry. I didn't mean to finish your 23 sentences. That's fine. And we're doing a pretty 24 Q. decent job. But let's try to remember to let each 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 80 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 other finish so that the court reporter can type 2 everything down. How often -- starting in August 2021, 3 how often would you go to the -- what did you say it was? The reapportionment office? Reapportionment office. 6 Α. 7 How often would you go to the Q. reapportionment office after August 2021? 8 Once the -- once the material was loaded 9 Α. into the computer, which was probably the last week 10 11 of August maybe, I was there once or twice a week for the next week or so. And then after that, I was 12 there four or five days a week until we were through 13 14 the special session. I basically lived in Montgomery. For all intents and purposes, I lived 15 in Montgomery for a couple of months. 16 17 From, say, the beginning of September through the end of October? 18 19 Certainly Labor Day until the end Α. Yeah. of October. 20 21 Q. Would you work on weekends, as well? 22 Α. Rarely. I mean, once we got very close to the session, yes. But not -- not normally. 23 24 Q. Of the four maps you were -- you were 25 working on all four maps in that time frame, right,

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 81 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

starting in August 2021 through October 2021? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 And all four maps, you were doing the Q. same process using the State's computers and using Maptitude, correct? Correct. 6 Α. 7 Were there any of those maps that took a Q. significantly larger portion of your time to draw? 8 9 Well, obviously, including meetings with Α. 10 105 house members are significantly more meetings than, you know, seven for congress and 11 12 eight for school board. 13 So, obviously, the house map probably 14 took a lot longer just in terms of meeting with 105 15 different -- I didn't meet with everybody. But the vast majority of 105 people -- and sometimes more 16 17 than once -- took a lot longer than meeting with 18 seven congressmen, for example. In addition to meeting, I assume that 19 Q. drawing 105 districts probably takes a lot more of 20 21 your time to do than just drawing seven. 22 fair? 23 Α. That's fair. 24 If you had to put very rough percentages Q. 25 on the amount of time you spent on the congressional

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 82 of 283 Randy Hinaman

December 09, 2021 map versus the other ones, about how much of your 1 2 time would you say you spent? 3 Now you're -- now you're making me a Α. lawyer again. And I'm not good at this. 5 I really -- I don't really know how to I mean, you would be correct that the 6 majority -- I mean, I put more time into the house map than I put into the state school board and the 9 congressional. But I really don't have a way to 10 quantify that. 11 Did you put more time into the senate Q. 12 map, as well? 13 Yeah. Obviously, it's 35 members versus Α. 14 seven or eight. It just takes longer to do the 15 meetings and follow-ups and so forth. And the state school board --16 Q. 17 Α. Is eight members. 18 Eight members. Did that take you about Q. the same amount of time to draw as the --19 Α. 20 Yeah. Sorry. Let me make sure that I can 21 Ο. finish. 22 23 Did drawing the state school board map

take you about the same amount of time as it did for

drawing the congressional map, given that they have

24

25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 83 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

about the same number of districts? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Going back to the software, this Q. Maptitude software, you said that it took about a week for the census information to be uploaded; is that correct? Α. Yeah, that's what I said. What does that mean? 8 Ο. 9 Α. Again, this was not part of my responsibility. But the State got the data, as I 10 11 understood it, and gave it to Maptitude. Maptitude translated it into their software and sent it back 12 to the State to be loaded on the State computer. 13 14 But, again, this is all my secondhand 15 knowledge of what was going on. I was not doing 16 this. 17 From your perspective, once you arrived Q. around the end of August looking at Maptitude and 18 the software, you were able to see what information 19 20 has been uploaded, correct? 21 Well, once it's -- yeah. Once it's 22 uploaded, yes. 23 Q. What sort of information is -- was available to you on the Maptitude software regarding 24 25 the districts?

- Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 84 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021 Α. Once it's all loaded in, I have, you 1 know, total population and voting age population and race down to the block level. 3 Is there any other information that's 4 Q. available to you in Maptitude? Α. I don't believe so. 7 Did you, yourself, upload any additional Q. information into Maptitude? 8 Α. I did not. 9 Did you review any other data in 10 Q. 11 preparing the maps? I did not. 12 Α. 13 Did you meet with anyone between August Ο. 14 2021 and the time that you submitted the maps before
- 15 the special session in furtherance of drawing the

2021 congressional map?

- 17 A. Well, I met with virtually all of the
- 18 officeholders.

16

- 19 | Q. You met with each of the seven
- 20 | congressional representatives again?
- 21 A. Oh, yeah. I had Zoom calls with -- with
- 22 them. And then -- are you talking just
- 23 congressional now, or all of it?
- Q. Focusing on the 2021 congressional map.
- 25 | A. Yes.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 85 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Who did you meet with to discuss the 1 Q. 2 drawing of the map between August 2021 and when you submitted the map in the week before the special 3 session? Once we had the real data, I went back 5 Α. and had Zoom calls with all of the members of congress or their -- or their chief of staff to talk about what the differences were from the estimates 8 versus the actual census data and to reiterate, you 9 know, what we discussed in May, what was still 10 operable and what maybe needed to be slightly 11 12 revised based on what our thoughts were. 13 Then after those round of Zoom calls, I 14 went back and drew a proposed map. Which I then did another round of calls, Zoom calls with, to look at 15 the final -- semifinal, final version, I guess. 16 17 In those meetings, did you discuss Q. anything with the representatives other than changes 18 that needed to be made for population deviation? 19 20 Α. No. 21 Q. How many meetings would you say you had with each of the representatives in that time frame? 23 It varied. For example, Mo Brooks would Α. be zero because he again was not interested to 24 participate. Others took, you know, three, four, 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 86 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 five phone calls. Some were one or two. 2 In the final end, Representative Palmer decided not to do the final call. So I didn't have 3 a final call with him. But everybody else, I had at least two, if not more. Were all of the meetings with the 6 Q. 7 representatives from August 2021 through the special 8 session by Zoom? Α. 9 Yes. When you had those meetings, would you 10 Q. 11 share your screen to be able to show what the map looks like? 12 13 Α. Exactly, yes. 14 Did you discuss with each of the Q. 15 representatives the map as a whole or just their specific districts? 16 17 Their specific districts and an adjacent Α. 18 district if there was some change there. 19 You stated for the 2011 congressional Q. 20 map that you were actually hired by the seven 21 congressional representatives, correct? 22 Α. Correct. 23 That was not the case for 2021, correct? Q. That's correct. 24 Α. 25 Q. Why not?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 87 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. That was not my -- the leadership 1 2 decided that they would, you know, hire me through the 501(c)(4), which -- which is how they hired me for legislative. I did the legislative maps in 2021, and I guess they preferred that model over the other one. I don't know. That was their choice, not mine. 8 Did you receive any other instructions Q. 9 or requests from the congressional representatives other than changes to make to account for population 10 11 deviation? 12 Α. No. 13 Did you meet with any members of the Ο. 14 Alabama state legislature to discuss the 2021 15 congressional maps? Just -- just the two co-chairs, two 16 Α. 17 chairs. 18 Q. And that's --19 Α. Senator McClendon and Representative 20 Pringle. 21 Q. What did you discuss with Senator McClendon and Representative Pringle? 23 Α. I would just update them on our progress and discussions with various members. And to the 24

extent that there were conflicts like the one I

25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 88 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

described between the 1st and the 2nd, I just 1 2 updated on that in case they were to receive a call from somebody, they would know what was happening. Q. In these meetings with Senator McClendon and Representative Pringle, were you pretty much just providing information to them? Α. Yeah, pretty much. Did you receive any feedback or 8 Ο. 9 particular requests from them about how to draw the 10 map? 11 Α. No. Beyond anything that you were told from 12 Q. 13 the congressional -- U.S. congressional 14 representatives, were you given any instructions or 15 requests about how to draw the 2021 congressional 16 map from anyone? 17 Α. No. 18 And how many times did you meet with Q. Representative Pringle and Senator McClendon in 19 preparation for drawing the 2021 congressional maps? 20 21 I don't -- I mean, this was during the 22 course in time when they were also in town doing 23 meetings with their colleagues. So maybe I updated them every other week. It was rather -- I mean, it 24 25 wasn't a formally structured we meet every Tuesday

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 89 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

It was just when they were both 1 at 10:00 o'clock. 2 there or singularly there, I would just give them a quick update. Q. Were these updates by phone or email or in person? Α. Usually in person. 7 Were there ever communications by email Q. with them? 8 Α. No. 9 Did you attend any of the public 10 Q. 11 hearings in preparation for the 2021 congressional 12 maps? 13 I didn't. They were happening Α. 14 simultaneously with me being in Montgomery. And I 15 would occasionally walk in the room while they were happening to talk to somebody else or whatever. But 16 17 I didn't officially attend them. 18 There were a few that you walked into Q. the room while they were going, you said? 19 20 Α. Well, they were being done in an 21 adjacent room, and I occasionally walked in. 22 would also occasionally -- either the co-chairs or 23 Dorman Walker or somebody would come back and update me as to something somebody said if they thought it 24 was significant to my drawing.

25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 90 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 Q. Do you recall what any of those sort of comments would have been? 2 3 Yeah. For example -- and this was Α. already in process, so it wasn't a tremendous shock. But there were comments, for example, in the Montgomery meeting that they didn't want to be split into three districts as they were in 2001, that they would prefer Montgomery not -- probably they 8 9 preferred it not to be split at all. But if it were going to be split, to certainly not three ways and 10 11 have it be two, which was a feature of a map I was 12 already working on. But things like that. 13 Do you remember any other specific Ο. 14 feedback that you received from the public hearings? 15 Just areas like the Shoals area wanted Α. 16 to be kept as intact as possible. And people in 17 Madison and Morgan wanted to be -- they thought there was obviously a lot of community of interest 18 between those areas in north Alabama. People in 19 20 Baldwin and Mobile wanted to be kept together. 21 There was a lot of community of interest between 22 those counties. Things like that. 23 When you refer to "the Shoals area," Q. you're referring to Muscle Shoals? 24 25 Α. Yes.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 91 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Any other specific feedback that you 1 Q. recall receiving from the public hearings? 3 Not on congressional. There was a lot Α. of feedback on state maps that we also talked about. Q. And did you ever personally sit in on any of these hearings or hear anything that was being said personally? I did for ten-minute snippets 8 Α. occasionally when I was waiting to talk to somebody 9 10 in that room. 11 Did you gather anything from the time Q. 12 that you spent in the hearing personally? 13 Α. Nothing other than observations that I 14 relayed to you a minute ago. 15 You mentioned that Montgomery County, Q. 16 the public hearings provided feedback that they 17 didn't want to be split. Do you remember why that 18 was? I think -- I think both in Montgomery 19 Α. 20 County and most any county when you have split 21 counties or split precincts, there's confusion as to who somebody's -- who their representative may be. 22 23 And it was a -- it was obviously a guideline of the committees on all these maps to try 24 25 to split less precincts and less counties.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 92 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 Q. Do you know when Montgomery County was originally split? 2 3 Α. Originally split? Ο. Correct. 5 Α. No. I mean -- no, I don't. The first map you drew was in 1992. 6 Q. Montgomery County already split prior to that? 8 Α. I have no idea. I'm sorry. I don't 9 even remember the map I drew, whether it was split, to be honest with you. 10 11 Did any of the information that you Q. 12 received from the public hearings impact the way you 13 drew the 2021 congressional map? 14 No, other than things like I said, not Α. splitting Montgomery three ways, putting as much of 15 the Shoals area together, keeping Mobile and Baldwin 16 17 together, keeping Madison and Morgan together. 18 Was that something that you specifically Q. made changes to your map to accommodate? 19 20 Α. No. Most of those features were already 21 happening. It just -- I kept it in mind. 22 example, when -- we eventually had to split 23 Lauderdale County between 5 and 4. And when we were doing that, I was trying to keep Florence and Muscle 24 Shoals together as much as possible when we were 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 93 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

doing that split. So yes, it was in my mind when we 1 were, for example, doing that split. 2 3 Other than the accommodations for the Q. Lauderdale, Muscle Shoals area, did any of the public feedback that you received from the public hearings tangibly impact a change that you made on the map? Not so much a change. But it did -- it 8 Α. did confirm that our theory of putting -- not 9 splitting Montgomery three ways was a worthy goal. 10 11 And I worked to get Congressmen Rogers to agree to 12 come out of Montgomery County because he was 13 partially in Montgomery County. 14 Since we're talking about it, this may Q. 15 help a bit. 16 17 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 was 18 marked for identification.) 19 20 Q. I'm handing you Exhibit 5. I don't want 21 this to be a memory test for you. So this is a copy of the 2021 --22 23 I've had enough -- I've had enough of Α. those already. 24 25 Q. This is a copy of the 2021 congressional

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 94 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
1
          Do you recognize this?
 2
   Α.
                 I do.
 3
                 Does this appear to be a true and
    Q.
    correct of the 2021 congressional map?
 5
   Α.
                 It does.
 6
                 We were talking about Montgomery County
    Q.
   here not wanting to be split.
 8
   Α.
                 Three ways, yes.
9
                 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 was
10
                marked for identification.)
11
12
13
    Ο.
                 I'm also going to hand you what's being
14
    marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for your reference.
15
    This is a copy of the 2011 congressional map.
16
                 So looking at Montgomery County, it
17
    looks like in -- well, first off, Plaintiff's
18
    Exhibit 6, does that appear to be a true and correct
    copy of the 2011 congressional map, to your
19
    knowledge?
20
21
   Α.
                 It does.
                 We were -- and you used this 2011
22
    Q.
23
    congressional map as the starting point in drafting
    the 2021 congressional map, correct?
24
25
                 I used the cores of the existing
   Α.
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 95 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

districts as a starting point, yes. 1 2 Is that different from using this map as Q. the starting point? Α. I don't know. I don't think so. 5 Q. When you began drawing the 2021 congressional map, you didn't start from scratch, right? 8 Α. No. Correct. You started using the 2011 congressional 9 Q. 10 map? 11 Α. Correct. Looking at Montgomery County, so that 12 Q. 13 was split into three districts in 2011; is that 14 right? 15 Α. That's correct. Do you know why that was split into 16 Q. three districts at the time? 17 18 Α. Not specifically, other than, obviously, it had been -- Congressman Mike Rogers in the 3rd 19 20 District had had an office in Montgomery, that part 21 of Montgomery County, and had represented it for a 22 while and probably didn't -- didn't want to lose 23 that base of support and financial support and so forth. 24 In the 2011 congressional map, District 25 Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 96 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 7 reaches into a portion in the middle of Montgomery 1 2 County. Do you know why it does that? 3 To gain population for that district. Α. 4 Ο. Was District 7 reaching into a portion of Montgomery County in the prior 2001 congressional map? I don't know. Α. Do you remember if Montgomery County --8 Q. do you remember if District 7 reached into a portion 9 10 of Montgomery County in the 1992 congressional map 11 that you drew? I do not remember, no. I'm sure 12 Α. 13 somebody has a map and could tell me. But I don't 14 know. 15 So it looks like from the 2011 Ο. 16 congressional map to the 2021 congressional map, you 17 were able to take District 3 out of Montgomery so that it's not split three ways anymore and is only 18 19 split two ways; is that correct? 20 Α. That's correct. 21 Q. Is there a reason why it still needed to be split into two different districts? 23 I mean, obviously, the 7th Α. Yeah.

U.S. Legal Support | www.uslegalsupport.com

District was underpopulated. So if you took it all

the way out of Montgomery, then you would have to

24

25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 97 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

add a number of different counties to make up that 1 2 population. 3 Well, it looks like District 7 also Q. includes only a portion of Tuscaloosa County and Jefferson County, correct? Α. That's correct. So could you not have taken more of Q. either Tuscaloosa County or Jefferson County and 9 then been able to leave Montgomery County as being solely in one district? 10 11 Well, yeah, it would have been possible Α. certainly in Jefferson. I don't know about 12 13 Tuscaloosa. I don't think actually -- I think there 14 are many more people in the 7th District portion of 15 Montgomery than there are in the 4th District 16 portion of Tuscaloosa. But yes, certainly in 17 Jefferson that would have been possible. 18 But as you know, they -- these all have to fit back together at the end. So what might have 19 20 been a perfect map for somebody in Montgomery may 21 not have created a perfect situation for whatever 22 member represented Jefferson or wherever. 23 Q. Did you consider moving -- did you consider making Montgomery County solely District 2? 24 25 I did not. Α.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 98 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 Q. Why not? Because, again, I didn't think it --2 Α. while that may look like geographically not a very large area, it has a considerable number of voters And it would have been hard to take that out of 7 and make up the population somewhere else. 7 About the only place, as you pointed out, to do that might have been Jefferson. But, 8 9 again, we have two representatives in Jefferson And it would have been hard to 10 County right now. 11 eliminate one from that process. 12 Q. Is there anything in particular about 13 this specific portion of Montgomery County that's in 14 District 7 that makes it a community of interest or 15 something that ties it into District 7 versus District 2? 16 17 Not necessarily. I mean, obviously, Α. geographically it's next to -- it's adjacent to 18 Lowndes County. 19 20 Q. Did you look at racial data in including 21 that portion of Montgomery County in District 7? 22 Α. I didn't. When we started doing -- I 23 didn't initially. When we started filling in this -- all these discussions we've had up until now have 24 25 all been based on total pop. I didn't look at race

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 99 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 at all on the computer when we were adding folks to
 2 these districts or subtracting folks from these
- 3 districts.
- 4 So at this point, I've basically just
- 5 been looking at total pop and where do you get the
- 6 | total pop to get the districts back to ideal
- 7 | population. So at that point, there was no
- 8 discussion of race. It was all a discussion of
- 9 total pop.
- 10 Q. You say "at this point." Where are we
- 11 | talking in the timeline?
- 12 | A. Up until -- up until we finished the
- 13 | map.
- 14 Q. Finishing the map being the week before
- 15 the special session?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. So is it your testimony that you did not
- 18 look at race at all in 2021 before submitting the
- 19 maps to the special session?
- 20 A. No, I did not look at it up until the
- 21 | week before we submitted the maps, when at that
- 22 point we did turn on race and look at the racial
- 23 breakdowns in the various maps.
- Q. Why did you look at the racial breakdown
- 25 that week before the special session?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 100 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Well, to -- obviously, we wanted to see Α. 1 2 what the, you know, outcomes of our changes were. 3 What do you mean? Q. Α. We wanted to see what -- the changes we had made to get the population balanced among all these districts, if it changed any of the, you know, racial makeup of the districts. 8 Why did you want to know that? Q. 9 Α. Well, one of our guidelines is to comply with the Voting Rights Act. 10 11 And you say "we wanted." Who is "we"? Q. The two co-chairs, myself, and legal 12 Α. 13 counsel. 14 Ο. "Legal counsel" being Mr. Dorman --15 Α. Yes. -- Walker? 16 Q. 17 Α. Yes. And prior to that week before the 18 Q. special session, it's your testimony that you did 19 not look at any of the racial data at all for any 20 21 of the districts in drawing the 2021 congressional 22 map? 23 Α. That's correct. What data did you look at? 24 Q. 25 Α. Just -- just total pop and geography.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 101 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Anything else? 1 Q. That's it. 2 Α. 3 Other than modifying the existing Q. district lines to account for population changes, 5 did you make any other changes from the 2011 congressional map? Α. I'm not sure I follow that. 8 You made changes to the 2011 Q. congressional map for the 2021 map based on changes 9 in population, correct? 10 11 Α. Correct. Did you make any changes based on any 12 Ο. 13 other factors? 14 Α. Are we talking -- we're talking the 2021 15 map? So in drawing the 2021 map, 16 Q. Correct. 17 you made certain changes from the prior map based on 18 changes in population, correct? 19 Α. Correct. Did you make any changes based on any 20 Ο. 21 other factors? 22 Α. I didn't make any changes. 23 Obviously, where members lived was a consideration. I certainly would be mindful -- when I was moving a 24 precinct in Jefferson County, for example, I 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 102 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

couldn't move Congresswoman Sewell out of her 1 2 district, for example. But I didn't make any 3 changes based on that. 4 Q. Other than population data and race data starting the week before the map was submitted, did you review any other data about the constituents or the districts when drawing the 2021 map? 8 Α. I did not. 9 If any changes were made to the 2021 Q. map, would you have been the one to physically make 10 11 those changes on the computer? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Was there anyone else who physically sat Ο. 14 on the computer and made any changes for the 2021 15 map? I don't believe so. I mean, Donna 16 Α. 17 Loftin, who heads the reapportionment office, 18 certainly was capable of doing that. But I don't 19 believe she ever -- she's not really authorized to 20 change a map, I guess, without me asking her to. 21 Q. Do you know if she made any changes? 22 Α. I don't believe she did, no. 23 Did anyone else assist you in drawing Q. 24 the map?

Nobody assisted me in drawing the map.

25

Α.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 103 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

When did you have a -- when did you 1 Q. first have an initial draft map completed? 3 Using the real data? I mean, not an Α. estimate. 5 Q. Did you have an initial draft made from the estimates? I had a -- I roughed -- again, it wasn't Α. -- it wasn't something that would have -- it wasn't 8 9 to zero deviation. It was just roughed-out counties. 10 11 So yes, when I came back from my May 12 meetings, I roughed out a map using the estimates on 13 Maptitude just to get a feel for what areas needed 14 to be added and subtracted from various districts. 15 But, again, it was -- it was not -- it was not to deviation and it was knowing that the 16 17 estimates were going to be off by thousands, if not tens of thousands, which they turned out to be. 18 19 When was that draft completed? Q. 20 Α. The end of May. 21 Q. Did you save a copy of that draft? 22 Α. No. 23 After that, when was the next draft Q. using official data completed? 24 After my round of calls in September. 25 Α.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 104 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 | So probably mid -- mid to late September would have
- 2 been the next draft. And then I did a round of
- 3 calls to go over those maps and make any last
- 4 changes before the last week.
- 5 Q. A round of calls being the calls that
- 6 you discussed with the U.S. congress
- 7 representatives?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did you make any further changes to the
- 10 draft based on any feedback you received from those
- 11 | calls?
- 12 A. Very minorly. Congresswoman Sewell, I
- 13 | had split a precinct in Montgomery County that she
- 14 | did not want split. So I put it back together and
- 15 | split in a different -- an adjacent precinct. But
- 16 | very, very minorly.
- 17 | Q. What precinct was that?
- 18 A. It was the Acadome precinct. I had
- 19 | split the university into two different districts,
- 20 | and she, I think wanted it all in her district. So
- 21 | I put that back together.
- 22 | Q. Do you know why she wanted that all in
- 23 | her district?
- 24 A. I don't. I mean, other than that was
- 25 one of her principles in this redistricting process.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 105 of 283 Randy Hinaman

- December 09, 2021 She felt strongly about picking up facilities and 1 2 universities and things rather than just random 3 citizens. Ο. And what precinct did you take out from District 7 in exchange? Well, it was a split at an adjacent Α. precinct. Whitfield, I think, was the name of it. 8 Q. How do you choose that precinct? Α. It just was adjacent to it. 9 That was the only factor? 10 Q. 11 Α. That was the only factor. 12 Ο. So you had the draft completed, you 13 said, mid September? 14 Yeah. And just to give a more complete Α. 15 answer, I also had to do a -- change the split a little bit in Lauderdale based on conversations with 16 17 Congressman Adderholt. I had conversations with Representative -- Congressman Moore's 18 representative, Bill Harris, about he would have 19 20 preferred a change in Monroe rather than the way I 21 did it in Escambia. 22 So they were each -- not every district. 23 But a number of districts had these little minor

- things that we talked through at that point. 24
- 25 Q. Beyond any minor changes -- and I assume

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 106 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

this is more kind of a precinct-by-precinct type 1 2 change that you're referring to there, correct? 3 Yes, sir. Α. 4 Ο. Beyond that, were there any changes that you made based on those calls that you would consider to be significant changes? No. Α. So once you had the draft completed in 8 Ο. mid September and then had the calls with the 9 various representatives to go over that, then you 10 11 made whatever minor changes you could based on that feedback. 12 13 When did you have the next draft 14 completed? 15 Going into the last -- the next to last Α. week of October. And in some of these -- as you 16 17 well know, with congressional schedules, it's not like I had seven congressmen lined up to talk to me 18 at 9:00 o'clock on a Monday morning. This took over 19 20 a course of weeks. I would, you know, schedule, and 21 move and change for voting schedules and all the 22 wonderful things that go on with dealing with 23 congressmen. 24 Q. And in that same time frame, you were 25 also drawing three other maps?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 107 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. 1 Correct. And meeting with all of the 2 Q. 3 representatives and senators and all of that? 4 Α. Yes, sir. 5 Q. Was there any other drafts that you had other than the first one that you made using the unofficial data in the summer of 2021, the next draft that you made using the official data in mid 8 September 2021, and then the draft that you had 9 10 based on the congressional representatives' feedback that was completed the week before the special 11 session in October of 2021? 12 Were there any other 13 drafts that you made of the 2021 congressional map? 14 Α. No. 15 Between those last two drafts that we Ο. discussed, between September 2021 and the special 16 17 session, did you meet with anyone else to discuss the redrawing of the 2021 map, congressional map, 18 other than the seven representatives and Senator 19 20 McClendon and Representative Pringle? 21 Α. And legal counsel. 22 Q. Anyone else? 23 Α. No. At that time, did you consider 24 Q. 25 Mr. Walker to be your attorney?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 108 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

I considered him to be the Α. 1 2 reapportionment committee's attorney. 3 Did you consider him to represent you Q. personally? 5 Α. I don't know how to answer that. didn't -- I didn't feel I needed representation at that point personally. 8 Did you have any sort of retention Q. agreement with Mr. Walker or his office? 9 10 No. Α. 11 Once you had the draft completed of the Q. 12 2021 congressional map the week before the special 13 session, who did you provide it to? 14 Well, obviously, all of the members saw 15 their districts. But they didn't really see the rest of the map. The members of congress saw their 16 17 district, but they didn't really -- and adjacent districts. But they didn't really see the rest of 18 the map. 19 20 I think at that last week, I went 21 through that map with Representative Pringle and 22 Senator McClendon and Dorman Walker. Obviously, 23 Donna Loftin, who runs the office, was in the background during most of this. 24 What sort of feedback did you receive 25 Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 109 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

when you met with Senator McClendon and 1 2 Representative Pringle about the draft map? 3 MR. WALKER: I'm going to object to 4 attorney-client privilege to the extent that I was present in the room and we were having an 5 attorney-client communication. If you had any communications with them that I was not present, you may answer the question. 8 There were -- they just looked at the 9 Α. There was nothing substantive in terms of a 10 11 response. And are you going to refuse to answer 12 Q. 13 any questions that I were to ask you that would 14 involve any discussions that you had where 15 Mr. Walker was present? 16 MR. WALKER: I would instruct him not to answer those questions if other conditions 17 indicating it was an attorney-client privilege were 18 19 present. 20 Let me -- let me clarify that for you. 21 If I believed we had a conversation that was an 22 attorney-client privilege, I would -- I would 23 instruct him not to answer the question. think that all the conversations I had with him were 24 covered by the privilege. 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 110 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 MR. THOMPSON: When you say you don't 2 think that all of the conversations you had with him, do you mean nonsubstantive conversations like 3 lunch and dinner? 5 MR. WALKER: Certainly that would be included. What I'm saying is there -- I can think 6 of times when he and I were speaking, although I may not know exactly what we were talking about, when 9 there were other people in the room who were not within the privilege. And we may have been talking 10 11 about the map. I just don't know. But there were certain times when I 12 13 reviewed with him specifically the map. And I would 14 contend that that's covered by the attorney-client privilege. 15 16 MR. THOMPSON: Understood. And you would instruct him not to answer on those. 17 18 MR. WALKER: Yeah. 19 And would you follow that instruction? Q. 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. So walk me through the timeline, then, 22 once you provided the draft to Senator McClendon and 23 Representative Pringle. What happened with the map at that point? 24 25 Α. I mean, once it was finalized and they

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 111 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

made no changes to it, it was submitted to be drawn 1 2 up into a bill and prepared to be presented at the 3 -- be sent out to the members of the reapportionment committee the following Monday and then voted on in committee on Tuesday. Were there any changes made to the map 6 Q. by the reapportionment committee? 8 Α. No. Were there any changes made to the map 9 Q. 10 after it was submitted to the legislature? 11 Α. No. 12 Ο. So the version of the map that you 13 completed the week before the special session is 14 identical to the version of the map that was 15 ultimately enacted that we've marked as Exhibit 5, Plaintiff's Exhibit 5, correct? 16 17 Α. Correct. 18 Did you save any drafts of the 2021 Q. congressional map? 19 The way Maptitude works is it 20 Α. No, sir. 21 just -- every time you make a change, it saves -- it 22 saves the map at that point. So previous iterations 23 don't -- don't really exist. Did you print out any copies of any 24 Q. 25 drafts?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 112 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. No. 1 2 Do you have any notes that you took or Q. used while drafting the 2021 congressional map? Α. No. I mean, I'm sure I had a scrap of paper somewhere that said Congressman Moore would rather split Escambia and Congressman Carl would rather split Monroe. But they were -- all these things were so -- there were not very many of them. There weren't too may. I didn't need notes to 9 remember that. 10 11 Q. Do you have any of those notes saved? 12 Α. No. 13 If you needed to modify the maps now, do Ο. 14 you have any estimate of about how long that would 15 take you to do? Modify in what way? 16 Α. 17 For instance, are you familiar with what Q. 18 this lawsuit is about? 19 Α. Well, it's three different lawsuits, if 20 I understand it correctly. 21 Q. What is your understanding of the three different lawsuits? 22 23 I think two of the -- well, two of the Α. lawsuits I think would have preferred two majority 24 25 black districts. And the Singleton lawsuit would

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 113 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

have preferred sort of a whole county map with 1 two -- I would call them influence districts. 2 THE REPORTER: 3 What districts? Α. Influence districts 5 Q. Would that be the same as -- I've heard "opportunity district." Would "influence district" and "opportunity district" be about the same? 8 Α. Yes, sir. And what's your understanding of what an 9 Q. influence district or opportunity district is? 10 It would be a district that would be 11 Α. less than a majority of BVAP, but still have a 12 13 substantial population of minorities that could 14 potentially impact the election of a candidate of 15 their choice. And when we say "minorities" here 16 Q. 17 specifically, are we referring to the black voting age population? 18 Primarily here in Alabama, you would be 19 Α. referring to the black voting age population. 20 21 Ο. So if in this case the court were to find that the maps do not comply with the Voting 22 23 Rights Act or the 14th Amendment and they needed to be modified, do you expect that you would be the one 24 that would be asked to make those modifications? 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 114 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 A. I don't have a crystal ball. I can't
- 2 predict the future.
- 3 Q. Is that something that's covered in your
- 4 | contract?
- 5 A. It is not.
- 6 Q. If you were asked to modify the map to
- 7 | make changes to comply with the Voting Rights Act or
- 8 | the 14th Amendment, in that situation, do you have
- 9 | any estimate about how long it would take you to do
- 10 | that?
- 11 | A. No. I mean, asked by whom?
- 12 Q. The Alabama state legislature, the
- 13 | courts, Mr. Walker, any of us.
- 14 | A. No. I mean, I -- conceptually, I quess
- 15 | that would depend on what the court deemed changes
- 16 | were.
- 17 | Q. Is that something that you think you
- 18 | could complete within a month?
- 19 A. I would hope so. I don't know.
- 20 Q. Is it something you think you could
- 21 | complete within a week?
- 22 | A. You're asking me a hypothetical about
- 23 | something that hasn't happened, and I don't have a
- 24 | clue what the changes would be.
- 25 | Q. When you met with Congressman Sewell,

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 115 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

did you receive any specific instructions from her 1 about how to draw District 7? 2 3 No, not specifically. Again, it was Α. more of -- our initial meetings were more of here is what the estimates show, here is -- you're obviously -- the district is going to be underpopulated. Let's talk about areas where you may -- may pick up population to get closer to the 8 ideal. 9 As I said earlier, she was interested in 10 11 facilities and universities and some companies and military, like Maxwell, and so forth. So she was 12 13 interested in things above and beyond just picking 14 up additional voters or citizens. So we talked 15 about that briefly. 16 And then we just went through the most 17 likely areas where she could pick up additional population. And the most likely in my mind, again, 18 to present to her as options were counties that were 19 20 split. 21 For example, Clarke County was -- under 22 this map, the 2011 map, was split between 7 and 1. 23 We know 1 is going to be over. We knew -- at the beginning, we didn't know how much. But we knew 1 24 25 would be over, and we knew 7 would be under.

```
1
                 So a logical thing, in my mind anyway,
2
    would be let's put Clarke County back together.
3
    whatever population that is, let's put that into 7.
4
                 And also we talked about some of the
5
    changes that would happen that would cascade to her
    from north Alabama. As we knew, District 5 would be
           The only place District 5 can go to is to
   District 4 because it's the only district adjacent
 8
            And that would then put District 4 over.
9
   And one of the options was for her to pick up some
10
11
   more of District 4 in Tuscaloosa. So we talked
    about that.
12
13
                 And then we talked about potential
14
    changes in Jefferson, another area where she could
15
   pick up additional population.
                 You mentioned that she wanted
16
    Q.
   universities in her district. What were the names
17
18
    of the universities she wanted?
                 She wanted to make sure that whatever
19
   Α.
20
    changes we made in Tuscaloosa, we kept the
21
    University of Alabama in her district. She was
22
    interested in picking up Maxwell Air Force Base in
23
    Montgomery, if that was a possibility.
                 As I discussed earlier, I had split a
24
   precinct that had a university in Montgomery.
25
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 117 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

she wanted that in her district not split. So we 1 2 talked about things like that. 3 Do you remember the name of that Q. university in Montgomery? 5 Α. Yeah, I do. I'm blanking on it at the moment. Alabama -- is it State? 7 MR. WALKER: Alabama State, ASU. ASU. 8 Α. ASU. Sorry. Other than those things that you just 9 Q. discussed, did you receive any other instructions or 10 11 feedback from Congressman Sewell about how to draw District 7? 12 13 No, not at that time. We did -- in the Α. 14 next round of those talks after we had real numbers, we did talk about some of the changes in Jefferson. 15 In this -- in the 2011 map, some of the 16 17 precincts of Homewood -- I think there were three or four Homewood precincts. Some were in her district, 18 and some were in 6. She thought that maybe it might 19 make sense for all of them to be in one district. 20 21 She would be happy if they were hers, which I did. 22 So we talked about a few things like that in the next round of discussions. 23 Did you discuss anything else with her 24 Q. 25 about how to draw her map?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 118 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. No. 1 Did you discuss race at all with 2 Q. 3 Congressman Sewell? Α. No. 5 Q. Did she give you any instructions or requests about a certain black voting age population percentage that she wanted in District 7? She did not, other than I think there 8 Α. was -- we both assumed, and I think she would 9 confirm, that she wanted a majority -- a majority 10 black district for her district. 11 And she also, I should add -- there was 12 13 one other thing. When we initially asked every 14 member for their home addresses so we made sure we 15 had them inside their own districts, she actually sent in two addresses, knowing that only one of them 16 17 was her official home address. 18 One of them was also her home -- her 19 mother's home or whatever in Dallas County. And she wanted -- would prefer that both of those addresses 20 21 be inside her district. So that was one request she 22 made. 23 Was that an accommodation you had to Q. change the map to --24 They were -- it was already 25 Α. No.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 119 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 happening. They both were -- they both under this
- 2 | map were in her district, and they both under this
- 3 | map were in her district.
- 4 | Q. Going back to your prior statement, you
- 5 | said that you didn't discuss race with Congressman
- 6 | Sewell; is that correct?
- 7 A. Not at that point.
- 8 Q. Did you at some point?
- 9 A. In the last week, she did ask what was
- 10 | the BVAP of my -- her district.
- 11 | Q. And what did you tell her?
- 12 | A. I told her it was 54.22.
- 13 Q. And what did she say?
- 14 | A. She didn't -- I mean, she was
- 15 comfortable with that, I guess. She didn't comment
- 16 | further. She didn't ask me to make any changes, I
- 17 guess, if that's what you're asking me.
- 18 | Q. You said before then that you both
- 19 assumed that she wanted a majority black population.
- 20 | What are you basing that off of?
- 21 | A. I don't even know if it's an assumption.
- 22 | I think she -- I think she did say that, that she
- 23 | would prefer to continue to have a majority black
- 24 district.
- 25 | Q. You think she said that, or you know she

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 120 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

said that? 1 I think she -- yeah, I think -- I think 2 Α. 3 she said that. Q. But you don't know for certain? 5 Α. I'm pretty confident she said that, yes. Are you certain that she said that? 6 Q. I'm pretty confident she said that. Α. Just to be clear, pretty confident, but 8 Q. not 100 percent certain, fair? 9 10 Sure. Α. 11 Did she say anything about any sort of Q. percentage of black voting age population that she 12 13 wanted in District 7? 14 Α. No. 15 Did you discuss race with any of the Ο. other representatives? 16 17 Α. I did not. 18 So Congressman Sewell was the only Q. Congressman you discussed race with? 19 Well, she's the only one who asked at 20 Α. the end of the process what her black -- black 21 22 voting age population was. 23 Q. Other than the U.S. congressional representatives and Senator McClendon and 24 Representative Pringle, did you speak with any other 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 121 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Alabama legislators or their staff about the 2021 1 2 congressional maps? 3 Maybe -- maybe right before we went Α. to the floor, I think I probably had a conversation with the pro tem and speaker just briefly to say that the members of congress were reasonably in agreement on this map. But it was just sort of a pro forma discussion, not about the details of the 9 map. 10 Did you speak with anyone else? Q. 11 Α. No. Did you correspond with anyone by email 12 Q. 13 regarding the redistricting process? 14 Α. No. 15 Did you make any recommendations to the Q. committee, the reapportionment committee, about how 16 17 the map should be drawn beyond just providing them a 18 copy of the map? 19 Α. No. Did the reapportionment committee make 20 Q. 21 any requests or recommendations to you about how the 22 map should be drawn or changed? 23 Α. None other than the quidelines they 24 passed. 25 Did you receive any requests or Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 122 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

instructions about how to draw the 2021 1 2 congressional map from anyone else that we haven't 3 discussed yet? Α. No. 5 Q. Did you receive any feedback from anyone else that we haven't discussed yet about the way that the 2021 congressional map was drawn? Α. I'm assuming you're including 8 No. chiefs of staff as a subset of a congressman. 9 10 Certainly. No one other than the 11 congressmen or their chiefs of staff or anyone else that we've discussed? 12 13 Α. Right. 14 MR. THOMPSON: Dorman, I think we've 15 been going a little over an hour. We're approaching that lunch time. We could go a little bit longer, 16 17 or we could go ahead and break now. What do you 18 prefer? 19 I'm happy with whatever MR. WALKER: 20 y'all want to do. 21 MR. THOMPSON: Are you hungry, sir? 22 THE WITNESS: Not overly. But I'm happy 23 to --I usually go to lunch at 24 MR. WALKER: 25 11:30. So I'm happy to take a lunch break.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 123 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
1
                 MR. THOMPSON: Let's -- let's take a
 2
    lunch break, then.
3
                  MR. WALKER:
                               All right.
4
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record.
5
    The time is 11:42 a.m.
                 (Lunch break was taken.)
 6
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
    record.
             The time is 12:57 p.m.
 8
                 Mr. Hinaman, before we broke for lunch,
9
    Ο.
   we had discussed some of the conversations that you
10
11
   had with the seven U.S. congressmen. Do you recall
    that?
12
13
   Α.
                 Yes.
14
                 And we went into some specifics about
    Q.
15
    your discussions with Congressman Sewell. Or
    Congresswoman Sewell.
                           Excuse me.
                                        I would like to
16
17
    discuss some of the specifics with the other
18
    representatives. So I just kind of want to go down
    the line.
19
20
                 So starting with Representative Carl in
21
   District 1, can you tell me what specifics you
22
    recall from your discussions with him?
23
   Α.
                      But just to be clear, are we --
    you just want -- over the whole time frame, just
24
25
    capsulize it? Or are you talking about a specific
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 124 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

time frame? 1 2 At any point in the discussions you had Q. with them in drawing the 2021 congressional map. Α. Okay. So essentially from May to 5 October? Q. Correct. 6 7 So we talked about Clarke Α. Okay. Yeah. County which was split, of course, between 7 and 8 District 1. And we talked that the 1st District 9 would likely be over or was over after we got the 10 real numbers, and that one of the solutions to that 11 12 would be putting Clarke County back together and be 13 putting it in 7. 14 And then whatever else the overage was, which turned out to be 739 people, that we would 15 take those out of either -- initially we said Monroe 16 17 or Escambia. And as it turned out, we fine tuned it to Escambia. And that's where we made that change. 18 19 And those are basically the discussions 20 with the 1st District congressman. 21 Q. Did he have any objections to putting 22 all of Clarke County in District 7? 23 Α. He did not. 24 All right. Tell me what specifics you Q. 25 recall from your discussions with Congressman Moore

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 125 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

in District 2. 1 Well, we talked again about making 2 Α. 3 Montgomery County only split between 7 and 2 and getting the 3rd District out of Montgomery County, which was good because 2 was under anyway. So they needed to pick up some people. Initially I said, well, depending on what the numbers are, we might need to split off a 8 little bit of Elmore to balance out 3 if we're not 9 splitting Montgomery. But as it turned out, we 10 11 didn't have to do that. We did -- we did make some changes to 3 in Coosa and Chilton, but we made no 12 13 further changes in the 2nd. 14 We talked a little bit about the 15 Escambia and Monroe thing. Again, he would have preferred not to have picked up another county. But 16 17 unfortunately, that was not in the cards by 739 18 people. So he needed to -- he did end up picking up Escambia. 19 20 And we talked about just geographically 21 making the 7th District a little more compact in 22 Montgomery from where the 2011 lines were versus to 23 what they are now in the 2021 plan. And at the end of it -- I mean, we had 24 some discussions about Maxwell going into the 7th, 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 126 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

which surprisingly he wasn't too excited about 1 2 initially, but at the end was comfortable with I think primarily because there was some talk of another BRAC, base closing commission. 5 And Congressman Moore probably thought it would be helpful to have Terri representing part 6 -- that part of Maxwell that she would have, and he represents another part of Maxwell, the annex, in 8 9 his district. So two congresspeople fighting that 10 was maybe better than one. 11 Where is Maxwell? Q. 12 Α. Maxwell is in the northern little part 13 of Montgomery County here that was -- in 2011 was in 14 the 2nd, but is now in the 7th. 15 With Congressman Sewell, especially in Q. the area you were just discussing there, it had 16 17 gotten as granular was this college or whatnot. you have discussions to that detail with either of 18 19 the two representatives in District 1 or 2? 20 Α. No, other than the Maxwell, Maxwell 21 annex thing we just talked about with Congressman 22 Moore. He wanted to make sure he still had one of 23 And he has the annex one, which is further 24 west in Montgomery, but not the actual base itself. Do you know why he wanted that in his 25 Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 127 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
district?
1
 2
   Α.
                 Again, so they had two voices on base
3
   closing issues rather than one.
4
    Q.
                 Do you recall anything else specifically
    from your discussions with Congressman Moore?
   Α.
                 No.
                 How about Congressman Rogers in District
    Q.
 8
    3?
                 Well, we talked briefly. There was a
9
   Α.
    little piece of Cherokee County that was split off
10
11
    in the last redistricting, which was really somewhat
12
   needless. So we talked about putting that back
13
    together.
14
                 We talked about again him getting out of
15
    Montgomery County so that it would only be split two
    ways instead of three. And then we talked about
16
17
    what that might mean in terms of where he would pick
18
   up.
                 Coosa had been in the 3rd in some
19
    earlier maps, meaning 2001 or sometime back in the
20
21
   past. So he was fine picking up Coosa County from
22
       And then for population -- obviously, population
23
    reasons, he needed a little more than that.
    took, I think, like 12,000 people from Chilton and
24
   put it into 3 to get his population to where it
25
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 128 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

needed to be. 1 Anything else you recall? 2 Q. 3 Α. No. 4 Ο. What about Congressman Adderholt in District 4? Yeah, I talked to him numerous times. Α. Part of it is, obviously, he was going to pick up a lot of folks from the 5th district. And there was initial discussion on which end of the 5th, should 9 we take them from Jackson County or should we take 10 11 them from Lauderdale, and how was the best way to do that. 12 13 And we had a couple of different 14 discussions about that, and finally decided that 15 putting the Shoals -- Muscle Shoals area back together as much as possible in Lauderdale was the 16 17 preferable way to do that. And that's what we 18 talked about. And then, obviously, that required him 19 to lose some of Tuscaloosa, a few precincts in 20 Tuscaloosa, to make up for -- to get the population 21 22 to equal out. And also he had a little chunk of Blount 23 County, as well, from 6. And we talked about making 24 Blount whole again and not splitting it between two 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 129 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 | congressional districts.
- 2 | Q. Did you have any discussions with him
- 3 about which specific areas of Tuscaloosa to include
- 4 or not include?
- 5 A. A little bit. I mean, we talked about
- 6 the precincts, the next most likely geographical
- 7 precincts to add into 7. We talked about them. It
- 8 | was sort of obvious geographically where he had to
- 9 | go next. So there wasn't much discussion about it.
- 10 Q. How did you choose the precincts you
- 11 | chose other than geography?
- 12 A. Well, that's -- population and geography
- 13 | were the only two ways to choose them.
- 14 Q. Do you recall anything else, specifics
- 15 about your conversations with Congressman Adderholt?
- 16 A. No. And then at the end -- as I said, I
- 17 had splint a precinct in Lauderdale to get to zero
- 18 deviation in District 5, and he referred a different
- 19 precinct split. So I changed it to the one he
- 20 preferred. So that was -- that was one of the final
- 21 changes at the end that we made.
- 22 | Q. Moving on to Congressman Brooks in
- 23 District 5. What do you recall from those
- 24 | conversations?
- 25 A. Well, there weren't any because

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 130 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Congressman Brooks decided not to meet -- this is my 1 2 presumption -- because he was running for the senate 3 and had less interest in how this was going to come out. 5 I did meet the first time with his chief of staff just to talk about keeping Morgan and Madison together. But that was -- that was about 8 it. What was the discussion there about 9 Q. 10 keeping Morgan and Madison together? 11 The community of interest. And a number Α. 12 of people that, obviously, live in northern Morgan 13 work in Huntsville, in Madison County, and so forth, 14 and thought it was a good combination to keep them 15 whole and together. Other than that first meeting -- and I 16 Q. 17 guess that would have been back in May --18 Α. May. -- of 2021 with the chief of staff for 19 Q. 20 Congressman Brooks, did you meet with anybody else 21 on behalf of Congressman Brooks or his office? 22 Α. No. I called his chief of staff back 23 once we had, you know, roughed out a -- gotten the math from the real data. And he -- he didn't call 24 I called him a couple of times. 25 me back.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 131 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

assumed that meant he was less interested in how 1 2 this was going to go. 3 And then finally, what about Congressman Q. Palmer in District 6? What do you recall about those conversations? Well, I talked to him about again Α. putting Blount back together and giving that all to I talked to him -- in the meantime, he had -him. he had initially, I thought, lived in Jefferson 9 County. And then he had moved to Shelby. 10 11 So I talked a little bit about making 12 sure I had the right home address for him. 13 I initially thought he still lived in Jefferson, but 14 he didn't. So we did have the right address in 15 Shelby. So that was fine. I talked about he may loose Coosa to the 16 3rd and a little part of Chilton. 17 He was 18 comfortable with that. And I talked to him about some of the changes in Jefferson in the 7th District 19 where geographically I was trying to make the 7th 20 21 District's footprint in Jefferson more compact by 22 adding western Jefferson and shortening the district 23 on the top. And I wanted him to be aware of that. But as I said earlier, we had initial 24 meetings and even a follow-up call. But when the 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 132 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

final map was done, meaning that last week of 1 2 October, he -- he allowed as how he didn't really want to -- his chief of staff told me that the congressman did not really want to talk about it, that he was convinced we were going to go to court, and he didn't really see a need to discuss it. Who was that that told you that? Q. Congressman Palmer's chief of staff. 8 Α. And when was that discussion? 9 Q. That was in mid October. 10 Α. 11 And why did he say that he was convinced Q. 12 that this was going to go to court? 13 Α. I don't know. He was -- the chief of staff said that -- the chief of staff said that he 14 15 had been told, I think, by the NRCC that this map was going to go to court, and that Congressman 16 Palmer had decided to not discuss it further. 17 Did you ask him why he thought it was 18 Q. 19 going to court? 20 Α. I accepted his answer. 21 Q. Did you have any idea about why this 22 would go to court based on that discussion? 23 Α. No. And you didn't care to ask? 24 Q. 25 Α. It was his opinion. I didn't think it

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 133 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 was relevant to what I was doing. 2 Jefferson County, the way it's split in Q. the 2021 congressional map, is not exactly a 3 straight line. How did you decide which areas of Jefferson County would move from District 6 to District 7? I was looking geographically to widen Α. the face of the protrusion into Jefferson -- if you want to call it that, into Jefferson County. 9 looking to not split precincts. Those are all, 10 except for one that's split for deviation -- well, 11 12 two, technically. One Congressman Sewell --Congresswoman Sewell lives in and another one. 13 14 But I was trying not to split precincts. I was picking whole precincts. And I was trying to 15 make the district more compact, meaning widen it as 16 17 it goes into Jefferson County and eliminate some of the longer, further-away ones at the northern part 18 19 of the county. 20 Q. So how does that process work when 21 you're choosing which precincts to pick up? Are you 22 just kind of choosing at random geographically as 23 you move up and seeing what works? Or are there other factors at play that you're considering? 24 No, that's exactly it, seeing what works 25 Α.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 134 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

numerically and making something, in my mind, look 1 2 more compact geographically. 3 Are there any other factors or data that Q. you're considering when you're choosing which 5 precincts to include? I mean, other than -- we had that No. discussion about Homewood where she allowed that -we had split a couple of Homewood precincts, some on 8 one side of her line in 7 and some on the other side 9 in 6, and thought it might be good to group them all 10 11 together. You mentioned that there were two 12 Q. precincts that were split for deviation purposes, 13 14 one of which Congressman Sewell lives in you said. 15 What were those two precincts? 16 Α. The names? 17 Q. Do you recall? 18 Α. I do not. 19 This isn't a memory test. I just --Q. 20 Α. I do not. 21 Q. Okay. 22 Α. And the reason it's not one -- I was 23 trying to make the split just solely in one But unfortunately the census blocks 24 precinct. 25 didn't cooperate very much. And when I got to where

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 135 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

I got to geographically in the one -- the precinct 1 2 she lived in, I was hoping I could pick up the right number of populations. 3 4 But unfortunately I hit a situation where there was like a 550 block next to it, and that was too many. So that was not going to work. So I had to split another precinct to get to zero deviation. 8 9 Do you recall anything else specifically Q. 10 from your discussions with Congressman Palmer or his 11 chief of staff in furtherance of drawing the 2021 12 congressional map? 13 Α. No. 14 And I think we discussed this earlier. Ο. 15 But in any of those discussions with any of those 16 congressmen, Congressmen Carl, Moore, Rogers, 17 Adderholt, Brooks, Palmer, did race ever come up in 18 your discussions with any of them or their staff? 19 Α. No. 20 I mean, I'll amend that slightly. 21 think in the final when I went through with 22 everybody, I think maybe Congressman Moore's 23 district director, Bill Harris, who I was talking to, may have asked, "Can you tell me what the BVAP 24 25 of the 2nd District is now?" I think I probably

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 136 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
gave him that number.
 1
                 And when was that?
 2
    Q.
                 In the last -- that last week when we
 3
   Α.
    turned race on.
    Q.
                 You gave him the --
   Α.
                 He asked --
                 -- black voting age population?
    Q.
                         He asked what the BVAP for that
 8
   Α.
                 Yeah.
    district was, and I gave him that number.
 9
10
                 Was there any further discussion about
    Q.
    it?
11
                 No.
12
   Α.
13
14
                 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 was
15
                marked for identification.)
16
17
                 I'm handing you what's been marked as
    Q.
18
    Plaintiff's Exhibit 7. This is a copy of the
    reapportionment committee redistricting guidelines
19
    that was produced in this lawsuit. The Bates number
20
    at the bottom is RC 043723, and it's dated May 5th
21
22
    2021.
23
                 Do you see that?
                 I do.
24
   Α.
25
                 Do you recognize this document?
    Q.
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 137 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

I do. Α. 1 What is this document? 2 Q. 3 These are the guidelines that were Α. approved by the reapportionment committee for drawing the four maps. 6 Were you provided a copy of these Q. redistricting quidelines before you drafted the 2021 8 congressional map? 9 Α. I was. Who provided it to you? 10 Q. 11 Α. The two co-chairs, probably with Dorman Walker, as well. I'm not sure who handed it to me. 12 13 Ο. And when was that? 14 Α. It would have been around the time it 15 was passed, May 5th. What --16 Q. 17 Α. Which very importantly happens to be my 18 birthday. 19 That is an important note. Thank you Q. for letting me know. Happy belated birthday. 20 21 Α. Thank you. What were you told when you were 22 Q. 23 provided these guidelines? I was told these were the guidelines for 24 Α. drawing the four maps that you've been contracted to 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 138 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

draw, and to follow them to the best of my 1 abilities. 2 3 Anything else that you recall? Q. Α. No. 5 Q. And did you, in fact, follow these quidelines in drawing the 2021 congressional map? Α. I did. Let's take a look at the criteria that's 8 Ο. listed here. So starting on Page 1, you see Line 10 9 It says Section II, Criteria for 10 11 Redistricting. Yes, sir. 12 Α. 13 Ο. I want to talk through these with you. 14 So Sections II a and b both state that the 15 congressional district should equalize total population and have minimal population deviation. 16 17 Do you see that? 18 Α. I do. 19 What does minimal population deviation Q. 20 mean to you? 21 I took that to mean for the Α. 22 congressional districts, that that was -- they 23 should be zero for six of the districts and plus one for the remaining district because the population 24 25 was not divisible by seven. So six were to zero

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 139 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 deviation, and one should be plus one.
- 2 | Q. Which district did you choose to be the
- 3 | plus one deviation?
- 4 A. I knew you would ask me that. I don't
- 5 | -- I would have to look. I think it was the 6th
- 6 maybe. I would have to look at a map. I don't have
- 7 | numbers. I'm sorry.
- 8 Q. Was it District 7?
- 9 A. No, I don't think so. I think it was 2
- 10 or 6, but I can't remember which.
- 11 Q. And what did you do to make sure that
- 12 your map complied with that zero deviation for six
- 13 of the districts and plus or minus one for the
- 14 other?
- 15 A. I moved -- I split seven precincts down
- 16 to the census block level to get to zero deviation
- 17 | for six of the districts and plus one for the
- 18 | seventh one.
- 19 | Q. Did anyone tell you that zero percent
- 20 deviation was required or that there was a certain
- 21 | cutoff that you had to reach to satisfy this
- 22 | criteria?
- MR. WALKER: Objection to form. You can
- 24 | answer.
- 25 | A. I was told that it was literally zero

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 140 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

deviation, meaning zero -- not percent, but zero 1 2 people except for the one that had to be plus one. 3 Is that plus one person? Q. Α. Yes. Q. Understood. Sorry. Plus one person. Α. And who told you --Q. 8 Dorman Walker, legal counsel. Α. Section II c looks like it's about 9 Q. legislative and board of education districts. 10 11 don't think that would apply to the congressional map. 12 Is that correct? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Section II d says that the plan must Q. 15 comply with the one person, one vote principle of the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment of 16 the United States Constitution. 17 18 Do you understand what the one person, one vote principle is? 19 I think I do. 20 Α. 21 Q. What's your understanding? 22 Α. Again, that's so no -- so people have 23 equal representation, the representatives in those, in the congressional case, should be representing 24 the same number of people. 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 141 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 Q. So that goes back to the population deviation? 2 3 Correct. Α. 4 Ο. And where does that understanding come 5 from? Where does my understanding come from? Α. 7 I'm sure if I had any questions about it, I asked legal counsel. 8 So other than what you just discussed 9 Q. doing for Sections II a and b in adjusting for the 10 population, did you do anything else to make sure 11 12 that your plan complies with the one person, one 13 vote principle? 14 Α. No. 15 Section II e looks like it just states Ο. that a plan that does not comply with the population 16 17 requirements above will not be approved. 18 Is there anything additional you needed to consider here for this section e beyond what 19 we've already discussed? 20 21 Α. I don't believe so. 22 Ο. Section II f states, "Districts shall be 23 drawn in compliance with the Voting Rights Act of 1965 as amended. A redistricting plan shall have 24 25 neither the purpose nor the effect of diluting

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 142 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

minority voting strength, and shall comply with 1 2 Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act and the United States Constitution." 3 4 Are you familiar with the Voting Rights 5 Act of 1965? Α. I'm not a lawyer, but I'm familiar with it. 8 What is your understanding? Ο. Well, that the -- a plan should not have 9 Α. the intent or purpose of discriminating against any 10 11 minority population. Where does that understanding come from? 12 Q. 13 Α. Just conversations with legal counsel 14 and others during the process. 15 Are you familiar with Section 2 of the Q. Voting Rights Act? 16 17 Α. Again, I'm not a lawyer. But vaguely. 18 Have you ever read Section 2 of the Q. Voting Rights Act? 19 20 I'm not sure I have. Α. 21 Ο. What is your understanding of what Section 2 requires? 22 23 Α. Where there -- I quess my understanding of it, a layman's understanding of it, would be 24 where there's a sufficient and compact enough 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 143 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

population of -- minority population to create a 1 2 district, a congressional district in this case, 3 that a district should be drawn if it's compact and sort of meets the Gingles, I guess, requirements, compact, contiguous population. 6 Where there would be a majority black Q. district? 8 Right, and would have the opportunity to Α. elect a candidate of their choice. 9 And does that understanding come from 10 11 the same sources, conversations with counsel? Yes, sir. 12 Α. 13 What did you do to make sure that your Ο. 14 plan complies with Section 2 of the Voting Rights 15 Act? Again, once it was done and we turned on 16 Α. 17 race, we talked about it. No one asked me to make any other changes. And I talked to legal counsel 18 and, I quess, concluded that it satisfies Section 2 19 of the Voting Rights Act. 20 21 Q. Anything else? 22 Α. No. 23 Did you personally make a determination Q. that your plan does not have the purpose or effect 24 of diluting minority voting strength?

25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 144 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. I'm -- I'm not a lawyer, so I don't know 1 2 that I can make that -- I don't know that it's my job to make that distinction. But I don't believe it discriminated against anyone. 5 Q. Did you do anything to make that determination yourself? Other than talk to legal counsel, no. Α. Other than potentially legal counsel, 8 Q. did you have discussions with anyone else about 9 whether your plan complied with Section II of the 10 11 Voting Rights Act? 12 Α. No. In making the determination, whether 13 Ο. 14 that's through conversation with legal counsel or not, about whether your plan complies with this 15 16 policy, did that require you to review the racial 17 makeup of the districts? 18 Α. Well, yeah. I mean, race -- at that point, we had turned race on. So the BVAPs and 19 numbers were available. 20 21 Q. And you say they were available. then you had to review them, as well, to make sure 22 23 that everything was in compliance with this policy? Well, we -- the numbers were then 24 Α. revealed or available, and we discussed the various 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 145 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 numbers related to the map. 2 Did you have anyone other than Q. Mr. Walker or someone with his firm analyze your map at any point to confirm that it complies with Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act? Α. I did not. 7 Do you know if anyone reviewed the map Q. to determine whether it complies with Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, other than potentially 9 Mr. Walker and his firm? 10 11 Α. I do not, no. And other than what we've discussed 12 Ο. 13 already, did you do anything else to make sure that 14 your plan complies with Section 2 of the Voting 15 Rights Act? I did not. 16 Α. 17 Moving on to the next criteria, Section Q. This one is a little longer. 18 It states, "No district will be drawn in 19 a manner that subordinates race-neutral districting 20 21 criteria to considerations of race, color, or 22 membership in a language-minority group, except that 23 race, color, or membership in a language-minority group may predominate over race-neutral districting 24 25 criteria to comply with Section 2 of the Voting

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 146 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Rights Act, provided there is a strong basis in 1 2 evidence in support of such a race-based choice. strong basis in evidence exists when there is good reason to believe that race must be used in order to satisfy the Voting Rights Act." Do you see that? 6 7 I do. Α. 8 What is your understanding of what that Ο. section requires? 9 My understanding of what that section 10 11 requires is that's why -- when we made all of our 12 changes to the districts by adding or subtracting 13 population, that's why race was not on. 14 based on total population. And then at the end of 15 the process, we did turn race on to look at various 16 districts. 17 And because we were doing a number of these maps at the same time, there were a couple of 18 instances in the other maps where we did look at 19 race to add to a district. But that did not come 20 21 into play in congressional. 22 Q. What, if anything, did you do to make 23 sure that specific congressional districts complied with this policy? 24 25 I made sure that when I added -- I used Α.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 147 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

traditional redistricting principles of total pop 1 2 and geography considerations to add and subtract to these districts, and that that was not based on 3 race. 5 Q. Flip the page to Page 2. The next section is Section 2 h, and it states that districts must be composed of contiquous and reasonably 8 compact geography. 9 What is your understanding of what this section requires? 10 11 Α. Yeah, obviously contiguous counties and/or precincts had to be adjacent, to be hooked 12 13 together, to form a district. You couldn't have 14 part of Madison County tied to Mobile or something 15 crazy like that. 16 And to the extent possible, I was trying 17 to, when changing things inside a county as Jefferson, I was trying to make -- or Montgomery, 18 for that matter, tried to make districts more 19 geographically compact so they were not as spread 20 21 out. 22 Q. Beyond what you just mentioned with 23 Montgomery -- sorry. Was that Jefferson County? 24 Α. And Montgomery, too. And Montgomery County. Beyond that, 25 Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 148 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 what did you do to make sure that your plan complies
- 2 | with this policy?
- 3 A. That's about it.
- 4 Q. Moving on to the next section, Section
- 5 | II i. It lists several requirements of the Alabama
- 6 | Constitution. I'm not going to read all of them
- 7 here.
- 8 Did you consider these factors in
- 9 drawing your map?
- 10 A. I did.
- 11 | Q. It appears, just by looking at them,
- 12 | that most of them do not apply to the congressional
- 13 map. Rather, they talk about Alabama senate and
- 14 | Alabama house. Is that right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. How did you consider these factors here
- 17 under Section II i in drawing the congressional map?
- 18 A. Well, I don't know how far down this
- 19 | list -- I don't know how far down this list you're
- 20 | counting.
- 21 | Q. It looks likes II i. It's from Line 3
- 22 down to Line 20 on Page 2 of Exhibit 7.
- 23 A. As you say, most of them don't really
- 24 apply. They are all -- all districts will be
- 25 | single-member districts, they're contiguous. That's

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 149 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

already basically been covered in other things we've 1 discussed. 2 3 Anything else that you had to take into Q. account to comply with this policy? 5 Α. I don't think so. 6 Section II j starting at Line 21 there. Q. Section II j lists six redistricting policies. 8 you see that? Uh-huh. 9 Α. 10 Sorry. Can you answer verbally? Q. 11 Α. Yes. Sorry. That's fine. 12 Ο. 13 Did you consider these redistricting 14 policies when drawing your map? 15 Α. I did. 16 How? Q. 17 Α. Well, I wanted to make sure that no -to the extent possible that no incumbents were put 18 together, which they were not, in the congressional 19 While continuity by water was allowed, I was 20 21 trying to not use that. Which I don't think we did. 22 I don't know how far down your --23 Q. I can walk through them with you. That might make more sense. 24 25 First off, did anyone explain to you

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 150 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 what these policies mean? I'm sure if I had a question, I 2 Α. No. 3 would have asked legal counsel. But I don't remember asking. 5 Q. Similarly, did anyone explain to you how to apply these policies in drawing the map? Α. No. What is your understanding of the 8 Ο. 9 priority amongst these various policies? 10 I think the only two that are paramount 11 to the rest of them would be one person, one vote 12 and the Voting Rights Act. 13 The rest of them are somewhat -- can 14 occasionally be in conflict. And it depends on the 15 various situations where one might trump the other or vice versa. 16 17 You may have two incumbents that live very close to one another. Maybe they need to be 18 split apart. That may make the districts not quite 19 20 as compact as you would like. But one of those --21 you know, you couldn't put the two incumbents 22 together. So sometimes they are in conflict, and 23 you have to resolve that. Other than the two you just mentioned, 24 Q. 25 one person, one vote and the Voting Rights Act, did

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 151 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

you place any greater importance on one of these 1 policies over the other? 3 Α. No. 4 Ο. Let's walk through these. So the first policy under Section J starting on Line 25 there states, "Contests between incumbents will be avoided whenever possible." What's your understanding of what this 8 requires? 9 That when -- certainly when possible, I 10 Α. 11 would not put incumbents in the same district. What did you do to make sure that you 12 Q. 13 complied with that? 14 Retrieved -- made sure that we retrieved 15 all of the home addresses and looked to where they were and made sure two of them were not in the same 16 17 district. 18 You might have answered this earlier. Q. But did you have to make any modifications to your 19 map to comply with this? 20 21 Α. Not the congressional map. 22 Q. This factor applies equally to both 23 parties, correct? Certainly, yes. 24 Α. So you applied it equally to all 25 Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 152 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

incumbents, both the republicans and to the 1 democrat, correct? 2 3 Α. Correct. Ο. The second policy there, Section II j(ii) starting on Line 26, states -- I don't know why I'm having trouble pronouncing the word. "Contiquity by water is allowed, but point-to-point contiquity and long-lasso contiquity is not." 8 9 What is your understanding of what that policy requires? 10 11 Α. I'm not sure I even know what long-lasso 12 contiquity is, to be honest with you. 13 But point-to-point, occasionally you can 14 have a precinct or a census block that connects to 15 the next one just by one point in space. And that's not -- under their guidelines, not allowable in 16 17 terms of connecting them together. 18 Again, on the congressional map, it didn't come into play very much because I tried not 19 to split -- I only split seven precincts and tried 20 not to have situations where census blocks were --21 22 weren't any -- weren't close to any of those options 23 there. Did you have to do anything else to make 24 Q. 25 sure your plan complied with this policy?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 153 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. 1 No. Did you have to make any modifications 2 Q. to your map to comply with this policy? Α. I did not. 5 Q. The third one -- the third policy, which is Section II j(iii,) states, "Districts shall respect communities of interest, neighborhoods, and political subdivisions to the extent practicable and in compliance with paragraphs a through i." 9 What is your understanding of what this 10 11 policy requires? It requires -- like I said earlier, in 12 Α. 13 areas; for example, Mobile and Baldwin which wanted 14 to stay together or Madison and Morgan that had 15 specific communities of interest, it was to keep areas together that have similar -- and, obviously, 16 17 there are lots of different communities of interest. So I tried to keep areas, to the extent possible, 18 together. 19 20 Obviously, this comes into conflict with county lines, precinct lines, other things. 21 22 not always -- and everybody has -- a number of 23 people have different views of what communities of interest are. So it's certainly not always possible 24 to keep all of them together. 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 154 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

What is your definition of a community 1 Q. of interest? 3 My definition of community of interest, Α. it can be geographic, it can be economic, where people work, it can be racial, it could be geography, it could be people on the bay, for example, for Mobile and Baldwin counties. A host of -- a host of communities of interest. What do you consider to be communities 9 Q. 10 of interest in Alabama? 11 Α. All those things I just listed. 12 Ο. Is there any sort of particular 13 communities of interest that are well established or 14 a list of any of these? Or is this just something that is subjectively known but doesn't really exist 15 in writing anywhere? 16 17 Α. I don't know of a definitive list of all 18 the communities of interest in Alabama. 19 Are there any specific communities of Q. 20 interest that come to mind for you right now? 21 No, other than the ones I listed. 22 mean, precincts can be -- counties are, I guess, 23 communities of interest sometimes. I mean, it's -there are a whole host of things. 24 It sounds like communities of interest 25 Q.

- Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 155 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021 can be somewhat fluid. Is that fair to say? 1 2 Α. It is fair to say. 3 One area, say, where we're sitting right Q. now in Montgomery, could be part of three, four, five, six different communities of interest depending on what factors you're looking at? Yeah, whether they're economic or racial Α. or social or everybody roots for the same football 8 9 team, I suppose. 10 Do they? Q. 11 Α. No. I see. I see. That would be a 12 Ο. 13 community of interest perhaps. 14 Are you familiar with the black belt? 15 You mentioned that earlier. Α. I am. 16
- What is the black belt? 17 Q.
- 18 It's a group of mostly rural counties Α.
- that have a -- for the most part have a majority 19
- black population. 20
- 21 Q. Do you know what counties are in the
- black belt? 22
- 23 Α. I'm not sure I can list every one. But
- yeah, in general, I do. 24
- What counties would you say are in the 25 Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 156 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

black belt? 1 I would say Sumpter, Greene, Choctaw, 2 Marengo, Hale, Perry, Dallas, Wilcox, Lowndes, I 3 guess Macon and Bullock. Some would say Montgomery. 5 Q. Do you consider the black belt to be a community of interest? Α. I do. So in drawing your map, what did you do 8 Ο. to make sure that your plan complies with this 9 policy, that it respected communities of interest? 10 11 Again, I mean, because there are so many Α. 12 different communities of interest, they're not -- I 13 mean, no plan is going to respect all of them. 14 there are trade-offs. 15 There are also -- you know, the entire black belt I imagine if you made into a 16 congressional district would accomplish -- would hit 17 up against other one person, one vote issues and 18 other issues in here, as well. So they are 19 sometimes in conflict. So you can't -- you can't 20 21 satisfy all communities of interest. 22 Q. Did you have to make any specific 23 modifications to your map to make sure that you were respecting communities of interest? 24 Although, again, I tried to keep, 25 Α. No.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 157 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09 2021

- December 09, 2021 for example, the Muscle Shoals area together in 1 2 the -- in the 4th District when we split Lauderdale. Not that it was at issue, but the people in Mobile and Baldwin very much wanted to be together because they share the bay. But that didn't require a It just is a . . . change. Other than the modification for the Q. Muscle Shoals community, are there any other specific modifications that you felt like you made 9 in drawing the 2021 map? 10 11 Α. No, not specifically. Does your map split any communities of 12 Q. 13 interest? 14 Oh, I'm sure it does. I mean, all maps Α. split some communities of interest. 15 And part of that is because of what we 16 Q. 17 just discussed, that communities of interest can 18 mean lots of different things? 19 Α. To different people, I'm sure. 20 Q. Looking at the bottom of Section II 21 j(iii,) that third policy, it gives a definition. It says, "The term communities of interest" --22 23 excuse me. It says, "A community of interest is 24
 - U.S. Legal Support | www.uslegalsupport.com

defined as an area with recognized similarities of

25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 158 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

interests, including but not limited to ethnic, 1 2 racial, economic, tribal, social, geographic, or historical identities. The term communities of 3 interest may in certain circumstances include 5 political subdivisions such as counties, voting precincts, municipalities, tribal lands and reservations, or school districts." 8 Did you review any ethnic, racial, tribal, or other similar data to identify 9 communities of interest? 10 11 Α. I did not. Moving to the next policy, the fourth 12 Ο. 13 policy, Section II j(iv.) It states, "The legislature shall try to minimize the number of 14 15 counties in each district." I think that's pretty self-explanatory. 16 But what is your understanding of what that policy 17 18 requires? 19 Yeah, that's sort of a compactness Α. I was trying to keep the fewest number of 20 21 counties necessary to -- and it's not always --22 there are other -- the next one down says 23 "preserving cores of existing districts." I mean, some of these things come into 24 25 conflict. But to where possible, I tried to deal in

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 159 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

whole counties, keeping counties whole, and the 1 2 minimum number to reach the ideal population. 3 Did you have to make any specific Q. modifications to your map to comply with that policy? No. Although it does come into effect Α. when people were talking about adding -- where you split a -- for example, the Escambia County split, 8 9 you know, where does that go. I was trying to keep districts so that 10 11 not all of the splits were in the same district and the number of counties in a particular district 12 13 didn't grow a lot. Because for a congressional 14 office, that takes on local governments and more 15 work. So I tried to be mindful of that when looking at it. 16 17 Other than trying to be mindful of that, Q. did you have to make any specific changes? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Q. You referenced it just now. The next 21 policy, the fifth policy, Section II j(v) states, 22 "The legislature shall try to preserve the cores of 23 existing districts." What is your understanding of what that 24 policy requires? 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 160 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. That's basically the cores of the -- of 1 2 existing districts or the counties that make up the majority of those districts, to keep them together 3 in the same district. 5 Obviously, incumbents have a preference to not have to add folks they haven't represented 6 when they can continue to keep the folks they have been representing. 8 9 What, in your mind, is the core of an Q. existing district? 10 11 The core of an existing district is Α. 12 basically -- I view it as geography. It's the 13 county -- the key counties that make up the current 14 district, current as in 2001. 15 Ο. Where --Or 2011 I mean. 16 Α. 17 Q. Where does that understanding come from? I don't know. That understanding comes 18 Α. from what the cores of a district are. 19 20 Ο. Your understanding of what a core of a district is comes from --21 22 Α. I mean, that's what the definition of 23 those words are to me anyway. Did you have some sort of metric to use 24 Q. 25 when determining what the core of an existing

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 161 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
district is?
 1
                 I did not.
 2
   Α.
 3
                 Does maintaining the core of districts
    Q.
    require considerations of racial data?
 5
   Α.
                 I don't think it does, no.
 6
                 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 8 was
                marked for identification.)
 8
 9
                 I'm handing you what's been marked as
10
    Q.
    Plaintiff's Exhibit 8. This is a document that was
11
   produced in this lawsuit. The Bates number in the
12
13
    corner is RC 00056. It's a seven-page document.
14
    Each page has one of the seven congressional
15
    districts from the 2021 congressional map.
16
                 Do you see that?
17
    Α.
                 I do.
18
                 Have you seen this document before?
    Q.
19
   Α.
                 I have not.
                 And you can take a look through it if
20
    Q.
21
    you don't believe me. But these are the seven --
22
    these are maps of each of the seven congressional
23
    districts in the 2021 map that you drew; is that
    correct?
2.4
25
                 Yes, sir.
   Α.
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 162 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Looking at page one here, District 1, 1 Q. show me on here where the core of District 1 is. 3 Well, the core of District 1 to me would Α. be Mobile and Baldwin counties. 5 Q. Flipping over to -- and why do you consider those two --Α. Well, that's --8 -- to be the core? Ο. Those are the two predominant counties. 9 Α. They have the vast majority of the population in the 10 11 district. Flipping the page to District 2. What 12 Q. 13 do you consider to be the core of District 2? The core of District 2 is a little more 14 Α. complicated than that, I guess. You have the Wire 15 -- you have Dothan, which is Houston County, you 16 17 have the Wiregrass region, you have Montgomery, and then you have Autauga and Elmore on top -- of top of 18 19 them. And why do you consider those counties 20 Ο. to be the core of this district? 21 22 Α. Again, that's where the majority of the 23 population is. And they've been for the most part

consistently inside the 2nd District for a

considerable period of time.

24

25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 163 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 Q. Moving the page to District 3, the same
 2 question. What do you consider to be the core of
 3 District 3?
- 4 A. The core of District 3 would be Calhoun
- 5 and St. Clair. And then obviously more down, Lee
- 6 and Russell, which are very fast-growing counties,
- 7 especially Lee County. That would be the core of
- 8 | the district to me.
- 9 Q. And why do you say that?
- 10 A. Again, it's the vast majority of the
- 11 | population. It's also -- those areas have been
- 12 | pretty much continuously in the 3rd District.
- 13 Q. Turning the page to District 4, same
- 14 question. What do you consider to be the core of
- 15 District 4?
- 16 A. The core of District 4 would be sort of
- 17 | the Winston, Walker, Cullman area, and then northern
- 18 | Tuscaloosa which was only added ten years ago but
- 19 certainly plays a key role in the district now. And
- 20 | then sort of Marshall, Etowah, again large
- 21 population, have been in the district a considerable
- 22 amount of time.
- 23 Q. Is your answer for why those are the
- 24 | core based on population again?
- 25 A. Population, yeah.

- Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 164 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021 Flipping the page to District 5, same 1 Q. What's the core there? 2 question. 3 The core would be Madison and Morgan and Α. Limestone, which is now rapidly growing, as well. Again, population, and they've been in that district for a considerable period of time. Any other reasons? Q. Α. No. 8 Turning the page to District 6, same 9 Q. question. 10 11 Α. District 6, obviously Shelby and then Jefferson because of population would be, in my 12 13 mind, the core of that district. 14 Ο. Any other reasons? 15 Α. It's population primarily. No. Finally flipping the page to District 7. 16 Q. What would you consider to be the core of District 17 18 7?
- I would say the core of District 7 is 19 Α.
- the black belt counties that we talked about earlier 20
- from Choctaw through to Lowndes, and then also the 21
- 22 portions of Tuscaloosa and Jefferson.
- 23 Q. What are the reasons for considering
- those to be the core? 24
- 25 Again, population and that they've been Α.

- Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 165 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021 in that district for a long period of time. 1 2 And going through each of these counties Q. that you consider to be the core of each district, 3 is that a determination that you made? Or is that something that you were told by someone else? That's a determination I made. 6 Α. 7 Have you discussed what you consider to Q. be the core of each of these districts with anyone 8 else? 9 I may have discussed it with legal 10 Α. counsel. But I don't have a specific recollection 11 of the discussion. 12 13 Has anyone ever told you before what the Ο. core of each district is?
- 14
- 15 Α. No.
- Looking back at the policy that we were 16 Q.
- 17 referencing here about preserving the cores of each
- of the districts, what did you do to make sure that 18
- 19 your plan preserved the core of each of these
- districts? 20
- 21 Α. I kept the areas we referenced by
- district inside that district. 22
- 23 Did you have to make any specific Q.
- modifications to comply with this? 24
- 25 Α. No.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 166 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Where did this policy rank in comparison 1 Q. to the other policies? 3 It was equal to all except one person, Α. one vote and the Voting Rights Act. 5 Q. We're almost through the criteria here. The last policy, Section II j(vi) states, "In establishing legislative districts, the reapportionment committee shall give due 8 consideration to all the criteria herein. 9 priority is to be given to the compelling state 10 11 interests requiring equality of population among 12 districts and compliance with the Voting Rights Act 13 of 1965, as amended, should the requirements of 14 those criteria conflict with any other criteria." 15 That sounds to be pretty much what you just said to me, correct? 16 17 Α. Correct. To your knowledge, was there any 18 Q. conflict between the five policies we just discussed 19 20 and the requirements regarding equality of population? 21 22 Α. No. I mean, obviously, there can be 23 conflicts between one person, one vote and communities of interest and one person, one vote and 24 how many counties are in a district. But not on 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 167 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 that level, I guess. You would have to ask me that
- 2 one again.
- 3 Q. And did you run into any of those
- 4 | conflicts? Did you have to make any modifications
- 5 | based on any sort of conflict like that in drawing
- 6 | the map?
- 7 | A. Well, I mean, I didn't run into them.
- 8 | But, I mean, I kept those in mind when we were doing
- 9 our initial additions or subtractions to the plan.
- 10 | Q. Same question. To your knowledge, was
- 11 | there any conflict between those five policies we
- 12 | just discussed and the requirements under the Voting
- 13 | Rights Act of 1965?
- 14 | A. No. As I stated, when I added
- 15 population to the 7th district, for example, I was
- 16 | not looking at race. So there was no conflict with
- 17 any of it to the Voting Rights Act.
- 18 THE REPORTER: There was no conflict
- 19 | what?
- 20 | A. With any of those to the Voting Rights
- 21 | Act.
- 22 | Q. I don't think it's another policy. But
- 23 looking down here at the bottom, q, the last section
- 24 under the criteria. Section g states that the six
- 25 | policies we just discussed in paragraphs j(i)

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 168 of 283

December 09, 2021 through (vi) are not listed in order of precedence, 1 2 and in each instance where they conflict, the legislature shall at its discrimination determine 3 which takes priority. 5 Were you given any instruction on which policy should take priority over the others? 6 No, other than section 6 that says Α. clearly one person, one vote and the Voting Rights But other than that, no. 9 Act. Is there anything else in Exhibit 8, 10 which is the reapportionment committee redistricting 11 quidelines, that you considered other than the 12 13 criteria we just discussed in Section II? 14 Α. No. 15 In looking back at these criteria in Ο. Exhibit 8, Section II, were these the main factors 16 that you considered when drawing the 2021 17 18 congressional map? 19 Α. They were. Did you consider any other factors when 20 Q. 21 drawing the 2021 congressional map? I did not. 22 Α. 23 Are you aware of any racial polarization Q. analysis that was done on any of the districts on 24

25

the 2021 congressional map?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 169 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. I'm not. 1 What is your understanding of what a 2 Q. 3 racial polarization analysis entails? Α. I think it -- I've never done one, and I'm not an expert. But my understanding -- a layman's understanding of it, it is an analysis of performance of how a district would perform in terms 8 of electing a candidate of choice for a minority candidate. 9 Do you know why a racial polarization 10 Q. 11 analysis was not conducted? I do -- that was -- I do not. 12 Α. 13 Ο. Did you ever suggest one? I did not. 14 Α. 15 Why not? Ο. It wasn't under my purview. 16 Α. 17 Q. What do you mean? 18 Α. It wasn't part of my -- I was asked to draw four maps and submit them to the legislature. 19 20 Q. Did anyone ever talk to you about a 21 racial polarization analysis? Counsel. We talked -- we've talked 22 Α. 23 about --Objection to form. 24 MR. WALKER: Without going into any discussion that 25 Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 170 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

you had with Mr. Walker, did anyone else ever talk 1 to you about any racial polarization analysis being done for the 2021 congressional map? Α. No. 5 MR. THOMPSON: For the record, Counsel, I have a copy here of the joint stipulated facts 6 that were agreed to by counsel and filed this past Friday. I only have one copy. 8 9 MR. WALKER: Do you want me to get a copy made, copies made? 10 11 MR. THOMPSON: We can. I just have a 12 question about one of these. So if it works, I can 13 just read it into the record and show the witness. 14 MR. WALKER: That's fine. 15 Paragraph 62 of -- for your knowledge, Q. sir, this is a document titled Joint Stipulated 16 Facts for Preliminary Injunction Proceedings. 17 this was a document of stipulated facts that the 18 parties in the three lawsuits here have agreed to. 19 Does that make sense? 20 21 Α. Yes. 22 MR. DAVIS: Actually, there are 23 differences. What one set of counsel agreed to with 24 us may not be exactly what another set of counsel agreed to with us. So you might want to clarify for 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 171 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

the record in which case those stipulations are. 1 This is the Milligan 2 MR. THOMPSON: 3 plaintiffs versus Merrill stipulations. Q. All right. Paragraph 62 in this -- and I'll read it to you, and then I can show it to you. It states, "In recent litigation, 6 7 Secretary Merrill stated that CD 7," which is 8 Congressional District 7, "appears to be racially 9 gerrymandered, with a finger sticking up from the 10 black belt for the sole purpose of grabbing the 11 black population of Jefferson County. Defendant 12 does not believe that the law would permit Alabama 13 to draw that district today if the finger into 14 Jefferson County was for the predominant purpose of 15 drawing African American voters into the district." And that's from Secretary of State Merrill's 16 17 pretrial brief in Chestnut v. Merrill. 18 And I'll show that to you. Just let me know when you've had a chance to look at it. 19 20 Α. Okay. Do you agree with Secretary Merrill that 21 Q. 22 District 7 appears to be racially gerrymandered? 23 MR. DAVIS: Object to the form. 24 MR. WALKER: Object to the form. 25 MR. DAVIS: Which District 7? What

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 172 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
1
   year?
                 MR. THOMPSON: I believe this was in
2
3
    reference to the 2011 --
4
                  MR. WALKER:
                               Right.
 5
                  MR. THOMPSON: -- congressional map.
 6
    Correct?
                 MR. DAVIS:
                             I just want to make sure
    it's clear if, in fact, you're asking him about the
8
    2011 district, that y'all are on the same page.
9
                 MR. THOMPSON:
                                Thank you.
10
11
                 So do you agree with Secretary Merrill
    Q.
    that District 7 in the 2011 Alabama congressional
12
13
   map appears to be racially gerrymandered?
14
                 Well, again, I'm not a lawyer nor an
   Α.
            But I think it's clear there is a racial
15
    expert.
    component to the finger that goes into Jefferson
16
17
    County.
18
                 And why do you say that?
    Q.
19
                 Well, I think because of shape and size
   Α.
    and what have you. And, again, I haven't done -- I
20
21
   haven't looked at it specifically. But I imagine,
22
    obviously, the majority of the folks inside that
23
    finger, for lack of a better word, are probably
   African American and the majority of folks on the
24
25
    outside probably aren't.
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 173 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

And you drew the original District 7 1 Q. back in 1992, we discussed, right? 3 Α. Correct. 4 Ο. So you drew that original, for lack of better terms, finger that extends into District 6? Yeah. And I'm not sure it looked 6 Α. 7 exactly like that. But yes, I did. 8 And why did you draw that long finger Q. extension into District 6? 9 Well, it partially probably had to do 10 with where the incumbent lived at that point. But 11 12 also to create a majority black district. 13 Moving ahead to the 2021 congressional Q. Were you asked to do anything to District 7 so 14 that it does not appear to be racially 15 16 gerrymandered? 17 I wasn't asked to do anything. But when I was looking at adding population to District 7, I 18 was hoping -- my goal was to make it more compact 19 20 and geographically comprehensible in terms of, for 21 example, Jefferson County. So that's why I was 22 adding west Jefferson County and gaining population 23 there. Did you do anything specifically in 24 Q. 25 drawing the 2021 congressional map to modify it so

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 174 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

that District 7 does not appear to be racially 1 2 gerrymandered? 3 I don't know how to answer that other than I tried to make it more geographically compact in shape. Other than that, did you make --6 Q. And not -- and not split precincts. Α. Which I think a number of precincts were split in this version. 9 Other than trying to make it 10 geographically compact and not splitting precincts, 11 did you make any other changes for that purpose? 12 13 Α. No. 14 MR. WALKER: Just so the record is 15 clear, the witness' reference to "this version" was to the 2011 version. 16 17 When I said they were split. Is that Α. what you're talking -- yeah. 18 19 Thank you. MR. THOMPSON: 20 Q. And I'm referring to when you were 21 drawing the 2021 map now. So thank you for the clarification. 22 23 Did you specifically make any changes in drawing the 2021 map to ensure that District 7 does 24

not appear to be racially gerrymandered?

25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 175 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. 1 No, other than -- other than making the 2 district more compact and more geographically 3 contiguous. 4 Q. Anything else? 5 Α. And not split precincts. 6 Q. Anything beyond that? Α. No. Do you know if District 7 would still be 8 Q. majority black without that finger sticking up into 9 Jefferson County? 10 11 Α. I do not. 12 Ο. Have you looked at that? But, of course, it's not really a 13 Α. No. 14 finger anymore. It was basically the southwestern 15 part of the county. In drawing the 2021 congressional map, 16 Q. 17 were you asked to consider anything about race when 18 drawing District 7? 19 Α. No. Did you consider anything about race 20 Q. when drawing District 7? 21 22 Α. No. 23 And you say "No." That was before the Q. week before you submitted this to the special 24 session, correct? 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 176 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. But even once we turned race 1 Correct. 2 on, nobody asked me to make any changes to District 3 7 or any other district. And did you make any changes to District 4 Ο. 7 at that point? 5 6 Α. No. 7 Did you look at the racial makeup of Q. 8 certain neighborhoods that week before the special session? 9 I did not. 10 Α. 11 Did you take into account any of the Q. other characteristics of the black voting age 12 population when drawing District 7? 13 14 Α. Help me with that one. 15 Similar to what I asked before. Did you Ο. take into account different socioeconomic factors 16 17 within the black voting age population? 18 Α. No, sir, I did not. Attitudes? 19 Q. No, sir. 20 Α. 21 Q. Interests? 22 Α. No. 23 Type of employment? Q. No. 24 Α. 25 Income? Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 177 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. No. 1 Educational level? 2 Q. 3 Α. No. Favorite football team? Ο. 5 Α. No. 6 Q. Voter turnout? 7 Α. No, sir. Election results to assess party 8 Q. affiliation? 9 10 Α. No. 11 Were you asked to consider anything Q. about race when drawing any of the other districts? 12 13 Α. I was not. 14 Did you consider anything about race Q. 15 when drawing Districts 1 through 6? 16 Α. I did not. 17 Q. Did you consider whether it would be possible to create a second black majority district 18 when drawing the 2021 congressional map? 19 I did. 20 Α. 21 When did you make that -- when did you Q. consider that? 22 23 MR. WALKER: I'm going to asset the attorney-client privilege. 24 25 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 178 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 MR. WALKER: I'm asserting the 2 attorney-client privilege in response to that 3 question. MR. THOMPSON: To the question of when? 5 MR. WALKER: He can answer when. When did you consider whether making a 6 Q. -- excuse me. Let me ask the question again. 8 When did you consider whether it would be possible to create a second majority black 9 district? 10 11 Α. After we got the final census results. So early September. 12 13 Ο. Did anyone ask you to consider that? 14 MR. WALKER: Objection. 15 MR. THOMPSON: Was that an instruction not to answer, or just an objection? 16 MR. WALKER: I think he can tell you 17 18 that I asked him to consider that. 19 Ο. I'll go ahead and let you --Dorman Walker asked me to take -- to 20 Α. 21 look at it, yes. 22 Q. Did you attempt to draw such a plan? 23 MR. WALKER: Objection. I instruct the witness not to answer. It's privileged. 24 Beyond your discussion with Mr. Walker, 25 Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 179 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

did you discuss with anyone else the possibility of 1 2 creating a second majority black district? I did not. 3 Α. Ο. Do you agree that it would be possible to create a second majority black district in Alabama? MR. DAVIS: Object to the form. MR. WALKER: 8 Same objection. THE WITNESS: Does that mean I'm not 9 supposed to answer? 10 11 MR. WALKER: It's an objection to the form of the question. 12 13 I think it would be possible. 14 question of whether -- how many counties and 15 precincts you feel comfortable splitting to do so and how -- what the shape and size and scope of it 16 would be. 17 18 Would it be possible to create a second Q. majority black district and still comply with the 19 20 reapportionment committee redistricting guidelines? 21 Α. I would not think so. 22 Q. Why not? 23 Well, I can't say every -- some of the Α. plans that were submitted that did that either 24 paired incumbents or disallowed cores of districts 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 180 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
or made an inordinate number of splits or had 20
1
 2
    counties in a congressional district or some other
    thing that was not positive in our guidelines.
4
    Q.
                 You said some of the other plans that
   were submitted. I know we referenced this way back
    earlier there morning --
   Α.
                 Yes.
                 -- that there were, you said,
 8
    Q.
    approximately 41 plans that were offered at some
9
   point in the special --
10
11
   Α.
                 Not congressional. All the -- all the
            That was all. That was legislative, that
12
    whole.
13
   was everything.
14
    Ο.
                 Understood. This may help.
15
16
                (Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 was
17
                marked for identification.)
18
                 I'm marking Plaintiff's Exhibit 9. This
19
    Q.
    is another document that was produced in this
20
21
    lawsuit. It's Bates number RC 000007. And I will
22
    represent to you that the file name for this
23
    document is Congressional Plans Introduced in 2021
    Special Session.
24
25
                 Have you seen this document before?
```

- Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 181 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021 Α. I don't think I have. 1 2 Does this appear to be a list of the Q. congressional plans that were introduced in the 2021 3 special session? 5 Α. It does. 6 Did you review any of these maps? Q. I looked at most all of them, yes. Α. Earlier today you made a distinction 8 Q. between looking at and reviewing. 9 Well, because a couple of these plans I 10 know were put into the system very, very late in the 11
- 12 process. So my quote, unquote review of them may
- 13 | have been ten minutes.
- 14 | Q. Which plans were those?
- 15 A. Well, Senator Coleman's plan. Senator
- 16 | Hatcher's plan, I think, came in very late. A
- 17 couple of these others which are full plans,
- 18 obviously, but they were more amendments. Like
- 19 Waggoner and Barfoot were done on the last day. So
- 20 | I looked at them, but I didn't have very long to
- 21 look at them.
- 22 Q. Did you have an opportunity to review
- 23 | the Holmes congressional plan?
- 24 A. Yeah. Again, that was basically a
- 25 change for Congressman Moore when we were discussing

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 182 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- the whole Escambia versus Monroe thing. So it

 was -- it was not really a whole -- it was a whole

 plan. But the changes were very specific to

 Congressman Moore. So yes, I'm familiar with it.
- 5 Q. Did you have an opportunity to review
- 6 | the Faulkner congressional plan two?
- 7 A. I did. Those were changes that were
- 8 primarily in Jefferson County. Again, the vast
- 9 | majority of the plan was the same this as the
- 10 Pringle plan. So I was familiar with those changes.
- 11 | Q. You may or may not know the answer to
- 12 | this. There's only one Faulkner plan listed here,
- 13 but it's numbered two. Do you know if there was a
- 14 | Faulkner plan one?
- 15 A. I don't know. I don't know.
- 16 Q. It seems to be like the school prank
- 17 where you number the pigs one, two, and four.
- 18 A. One would guess there would be a one.
- 19 | But I don't -- I don't know that.
- MR. WALKER: I think that's the best
- 21 extraneous comment in a deposition I've ever heard.
- 22 | Q. Understood.
- Then did you review the Singleton
- 24 congressional plans? And there's three of those
- 25 | here.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 183 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. 1 The first one, the whole county plan, I 2 did because that was a plan that was submitted to public hearings along the way and had been in the office for quite a while. So yes, I did. have more time to look at that one, yes. And that's plan one, the --6 Q. 7 Plan one, yeah, SB-10. Yes, sir. Α. I'm sorry. Go ahead. 8 Ο. 9 Α. Yes, plan one, SB-10. And are you aware that that one was 10 Q. submitted by the League of Women Voters? 11 Yes, sir. 12 Α. 13 And there is also two other plans, plan Ο. 14 two and plan three. Did you have an opportunity to 15 review those? Much more quickly. I mean, they were 16 Α. 17 offshoots of the initial plan that just changed deviation for the most part. 18 I want to walk through those, the Holmes 19 Q. plan, the Faulkner plan, and the Singleton plan. 20 21 Starting with the Holmes plan, why did 22 you review that one? 23 I reviewed that because that was put in Α. 24 essentially for Congressman Moore because he did not 25 want to pick up another county. And instead of

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 184 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

splitting Escambia between 1 and 2, he wanted to 1 2 split Monroe between 1 and 7 so that District 7 would pick up an additional county and he would not, and then make the corresponding change in Montgomery to offset the 739 people that were needed to get 1 to zero deviation. To my knowledge, those were the only changes. You had had conversations with 8 Q. Congressman Moore when you were creating your map, 9 10 correct? 11 Α. Correct. 12 Q. Were these changes in the Moore --13 excuse me. 14 Were these changes in the Holmes plan 15 changes that you did not want to or did not for some reason make in the 2021 map that you drew? 16 17 Α. That's correct. 18 And why did you not make those changes? Q. Because I didn't think it was fair to 19 Α. put the majority of split counties into the 7th 20 21 District. 22 Q. Why not? 23 I just didn't think any one district Α. should have to have four split counties when other 24 districts only had one. 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 185 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Was that the only reason you didn't make 1 Q. 2 those changes? 3 Primarily. I didn't think it was a good Α. -- first of all, it's 739 people. It's not really -- you couldn't make a case that Congressman Moore was going to lose re-election over gaining 739 republicans in Escambia County. So I was not concerned about what it did 8 to his district. I was concerned about the fairness 9 issue of putting all of the splits in one 10 11 congressional district. 12 Q. Were there any other reasons why you 13 didn't incorporate those changes in the Holmes plan 14 into your map? 15 Α. That was -- that was the primary reason. Were you asked by anybody to review the 16 Q. 17 Holmes congressional plan? 18 Α. Well, when it was offered on the floor -- I'm not sure where it was offered. 19 house floor maybe. This doesn't say on here. 20 21 But whatever chair where that was being 22 offered asked me to, I'm sure, tell him what I knew 23 about the Holmes plan. What did you tell him? 24 Q. MR. WALKER: You can tell him. 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 186 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

I thought you didn't want 1 THE WITNESS: 2 me to --3 MR. WALKER: You can tell him. 4 Α. I told him that I didn't -- I didn't think that was a good change to our map because, again, it put all of -- not all. But put another split into the 7th District. Which I didn't think it was equitable to put most of the splits in one 8 congressional district. 9 Did you tell him anything else? 10 Q. 11 Α. That's basically it. 12 Ο. Did you provide any evaluations or recommendations regarding that map? 13 14 Other than voting it down, no. Α. 15 suggested they not vote for it. Moving to the Faulkner congressional 16 Q. 17 plan two. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Why did you review that map? Q. 20 Α. That was the change where I had put 21 Homewood back together that made a few people in 22 Jefferson County, I guess, unhappy. 23 So representative Faulkner, who is from Jefferson County, had a map that took the three 24 Homewood precincts out of District 7 and put them 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 187 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

into District 6, and took four precincts in the 1 Center Point area, which is the northern end of 2 District 7, and put those back into District 7. So 3 I reviewed those changes. 5 Q. Similar to before, were you asked by anybody to review that plan? And whatever -- again, I think Α. I was. these were offered in the house. So I think it 8 probably would have been Representative Pringle that 9 10 asked me for a quick analysis of what the plan 11 changes were. And what did you tell him? 12 Q. 13 Α. I told him that it moved the Homewood 14 area into District 6, and it took those four 15 precincts at the northern end of district -- who were in District 7 and added them back into District 16 17 7. 18 And I allowed as how I didn't think that was really a good thing to do because it eliminated 19 20 some of my geographical compactness of what I was 21 trying to do when we were adding in western 22 Jefferson and not extending the quote, unquote 23 finger further north into Jefferson County. To your knowledge, did any of the 24 Q. 25 changes from your plan to the Faulkner plan have to

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 188 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 do with any racial factors? I don't know -- I mean, I don't know 2 Α. 3 about the motivations of who drew the Faulkner plan. 4 Q. Are you aware of any racial considerations that were taken in account in drawing the Faulkner plan? Α. I'm not. 8 MR. WALKER: Objection to form. You may 9 answer. What about the Singleton plan? Why did 10 Q. 11 you review that plan? Well, that was one that -- the initial 12 Α. 13 Singleton plan was one that was offered at a number 14 of public -- virtually every public hearing, I 15 believe. It had been in existence for quite a while. 16 17 So I looked at it for what it -- you know, for what it was doing. And I had a little 18 more time to look at it, actually, than some of 19 these other ones that came in at the last minute. 20 21 Q. Do you know what feedback there was from 22 the public hearings on the Singleton plan? 23 Α. Not specifically. I really don't. Did you ever hear of any public feedback 24 Q. on the Singleton plan? 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 189 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 A. Not that comes to mind, no.
 2 Q. Were you asked by anybody to review the
 3 Singleton plan?
- 4 A. Again, I was when it was offered in the
- 5 house or senate -- I guess it was offered on the
- 6 | senate floor maybe first. Whichever chair of
- 7 | wherever it was offered, I was asked to comment on
- 8 | it.
- 9 Q. And what did you tell that chairperson?
- 10 A. Well, the initial Singleton plan was not
- 11 a zero deviation plan. So it really didn't meet our
- 12 guidelines. I also think it paired a couple of
- 13 | incumbents, if I'm remembering the plan correctly,
- 14 | in the 3rd District. I think it put in -- put maybe
- 15 Shelby County in the 3rd. So it would have paired
- 16 | Gary Palmer and Mike Rogers. And it wasn't to zero
- 17 deviation. Also, it didn't have a majority black
- 18 district in it.
- 19 Q. Was that an issue to you, that there's
- 20 | not a majority black district?
- 21 A. Yeah. Well, it -- it was an observation
- 22 that it did not have a majority black district.
- Q. Does that matter for any particular
- 24 reason to you?
- 25 A. Well, it matters -- again, I'm not a

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 190 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 lawyer. But I suppose there would be some question
- 2 | to how well it comported with Section 2 of the
- 3 | Voting Rights Act. But, again, that wasn't my major
- 4 | concern with it.
- 5 Q. There were two subsequent Singleton
- 6 plans, plan two and three.
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. Both of which you stated -- and it
- 9 describes here in Exhibit 9 as having adjustments
- 10 for population deviation.
- 11 | Were there any other changes in
- 12 | Singleton plan two and three other than changes to
- 13 deviation, to your knowledge?
- 14 | A. Not to my knowledge. And, again, I
- 15 | looked at -- I didn't look at these plans
- 16 extensively. But to my knowledge, it was just a
- 17 | change in deviation.
- 18 Q. Were those other observations that you
- 19 | made to Singleton plan one regarding incumbents
- 20 | being paired up against each other, a lack of a
- 21 | black majority district, any other observations you
- 22 | made, were any of those addressed with Singleton
- 23 | plan two or three?
- 24 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 25 | Q. Were you asked by anybody to review

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 191 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 Singleton plan two and three? 2 Again, in whatever body they were 3 offered in, the chair would have asked me about them, yes. 5 Q. Do you recall what recommendations or observations you provided? Basically the same ones. The narrow Α. deviation, again while a more narrow deviation, was not to zero deviation. And I think it still paired 9 the incumbents. And as I remember, the BVAPs on the 10 districts were very similar between -- among the 11 12 three. So I don't think it changed any of those 13 things. 14 You also mentioned that you looked at Q. 15 briefly the Coleman plan, Hatcher plan, Waggoner plan, and Barfoot --16 17 Α. Yeah. -- plan. 18 Q. Yes, sir. 19 Α. 20 Q. Did you make any observations from your 21 looking at or review of those? 22 Α. Well, the Barfoot plan was sort of 23 just the senate version of the Holmes plan making the change for Representative Moore. 24 25 The Wagner plan was basically Faulkner

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 192 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

and Barfoot put together or Barfoot and Holmes put 1 2 together. It also made the Moore change, but made the Faulkner change in Jefferson County. So they were just sort of different versions or compilations of those two things. I'm going to stop you right there 6 Q. because I think there's -- it looks like there's two Waggoner plans here. Which one are you referring 8 to, three or one? 9 Three was the combination. One -- one 10 was essentially the Faulkner version of the plan, 11 12 only in a -- drawn up by a senator or offered by a 13 senator. 14 And I interrupted you there. I think Q. the only other plan we haven't discussed yet is the 15 Hatcher plan. 16 17 Right. And, again, that came in, if I Α. remember correctly, the night before it was offered 18 on the floor. So I really looked at it for 19 literally ten minutes before whoever -- wherever it 20 21 was offered. I quess on the senate side. didn't do a very deep analysis of the Hatcher plan.

U.S. Legal Support | www.uslegalsupport.com

you just looked at briefly, the Coleman plan, the

Waggoner plans, the Barfoot plan, and the Hatcher

For each of these plans that you said

23

24

25

Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 193 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 plan, is it a similar response as you had to the other ones, that you were asked to look at those by whoever was presenting them on the floor? Α. Whoever was managing the time, the time on the floor. And as to each of those, do you recall 6 Q. what your feedback was? I mean, obviously, the Waggoner 8 Α. Yeah. 9 plan was the same as the Faulkner plan. So I didn't think it was a good change. And the Barfoot plan 10 was essentially the same as the Holmes plan. 11 12 didn't think that was a good change. And the 13 Waggoner three was just a compilation of the two of 14 them added together, which didn't do anything to 15 move the bar. 16 Q. What about the Coleman plan? 17 The Coleman plan, again, I didn't look Α. -- didn't have a chance to look at very much. 18 believe it paired two incumbents in 1, in District 19 20 1, Carl and Moore. And it certainly didn't respect 21 the cores of districts because I think it had 22 District -- District 7 went from Mobile to 23 Tuscaloosa maybe. Anyway, again, I didn't spend a lot of 24 25 time on either of those, looking at either of those

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 194 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 plans. 2 Q. What about the Hatcher plan? 3 Α. The Hatcher plan I think was obviously a two black district plan. 5 THE REPORTER: Two? Α. Two black district plan. I do think it 6 -- I think it paired incumbents, but maybe I'm wrong. Again, geographically it was not very 8 I think it went from Mobile to Russell 9 compact. essentially on one of the black districts. 10 So I didn't think it -- I didn't think 11 12 it followed our guidelines very well in terms of 13 compactness. 14 Ο. Other than compactness --15 And splits. I think it also had like 13 Α. county splits, where the Pringle plan had six. 16 17 think it split a lot more precincts. 18 Other than compactness and splitting Q. precincts, was there any other reason that you felt 19 20 that the Hatcher plan did not comply with the 21 quidelines? 22 Α. Those were the main issues. 23 Q. Were there any other issues? I don't think so. 24 Α. And with the Singleton plan, were there 25 Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 195 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 any reasons why you felt that the Singleton plan did
- 2 | not comply with the redistricting guidelines?
- 3 A. Yeah. Well, the initial Singleton plan
- 4 was not to zero deviation. It did pair incumbents
- 5 again in the 6th -- in the 3rd District, it had two
- 6 incumbents together, Moore and -- not Moore. Palmer
- 7 and Mike Rogers.
- 8 Q. Any other reasons?
- 9 A. And, again, it didn't have a majority
- 10 | black district.
- 11 | Q. Speaking of that, when you drew your
- 12 | map -- which on this table, I would assume that's
- 13 | the Pringle congressional plan. Correct?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. When you drew the 2021 congressional
- 16 map -- remind me. Did you start with drawing
- 17 | District 7?
- 18 | A. No. Actually, I started -- I started
- 19 | with District 5 because I knew it had to spill into
- 20 4. And I had to do that before I could do much else
- 21 | there.
- 22 Q. What order did you go in for drawing the
- 23 districts after that?
- 24 A. I basically moved down -- moved down the
- $25 \mid \text{state}$. I did 5 to 4. And then the changes that 4

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 196 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

-- putting Cherokee back together in 3, putting 1 2 Blount back together in 6, corresponding changes in 3 Tuscaloosa in 7. I basically worked down the map from there. 5 Q. And you stated that you did not look at the racial data in drawing the 2021 map until the week before the special session, correct? Α. Correct. 8 When you did review the racial data, if 9 Q. it had shown that District 7 was below 50 percent 10 11 black voting age population, what would you have done? 12 13 Α. I would have talked to legal counsel 14 about what steps to take at that point. 15 Do you believe that you would have Ο. needed to make modifications to make the black 16 17 voting age population percentage higher than 50 percent? 18 19 MR. WALKER: Object to the form, calls for speculation. 20 21 Q. You can answer. 22 Α. I'm sorry. Say that again. 23 Can I have the question MR. THOMPSON: read back? 24 25 (Record read.)

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 197 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. I think if it had come back under 50 1 percent, in consultation with legal counsel, I assume we would have, under the guidelines, looked for a basis and evidence to see if one existed to add African Americans to the district. Did you draw any other maps other than 6 Q. -- let me take a step back. Did you draw any other congressional 8 maps other than the HB-1 Pringle congressional plan 9 that was ultimately enacted? 10 11 Α. This cycle -- I don't know what time 12 frame we're talking about. 13 Q. I'll try again. Sorry. 14 In drawing the 2021 congressional maps, 15 through that process you drew the map that was ultimately enacted, correct? 16 17 Α. Yes, sir. Did you draw any other maps in that 18 Q. cycle --19 20 I'm going to --MR. WALKER: 21 Q. -- for the congressional plan? 22 MR. WALKER: -- object to the extent 23 that -- and you may not be intending to. You're asking him whether he tried to draw a two majority 24 black district --25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 198 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

I'm just asking if you drew any other 1 Q. 2 maps at all. 3 MR. WALKER: And my instruction to you is if you did anything at the instruction of me alone, then that would not be part of your answer. Α. Other than that, no. 7 I've gone a little over an hour there, Q. but I wanted to finish up. I think I'm done with my 8 questions for now. So I think we'll take a break 9 and then allow some other folks to ask you some 10 questions. Is that fair? 11 That's fair. 12 Α. We are off the 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 14 record. The time is 2:28 p.m. 15 (Recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 16 17 record. The time is now 2:47 p.m. 18 MR. THOMPSON: At this time, I'm going to pass the questions to Mr. Blacksher. 19 EXAMINATION BY MR. BLACKSHER: 20 21 Good afternoon, Mr. Hinaman. Q. 22 Α. Good afternoon. 23 So it was Dorman Walker who told you you Q. were required to achieve zero population deviation; 24 25 is that right?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 199 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

MR. WALKER: Object to the form. 1 2 You know, I'm having -- I've had trouble Q. hearing you throughout. So I'm going to have to ask you to speak up a little louder. 5 What was your last response? MR. WALKER: Are you talking to me, Jim? 6 7 MR. BLACKSHER: The witness didn't 8 respond? That was you? MR. WALKER: That was I who said "Object 9 to the form." He doesn't make objections. 10 11 MR. BLACKSHER: Oh, you said objection? 12 MR. WALKER: Yes. 13 Okav. I'm going back to what you said Q. 14 in your examination, your direct examination, I quess we call it, where you said you were advised 15 that you needed to use zero deviation in your plan. 16 17 Is that right? That's correct. Under two criteria for 18 Α. redistricting, B, "Congressional districts shall 19 have minimal population deviation." 20 21 I was told by counsel that that was zero 22 for six districts and plus one for one district. 23 And when you say "by counsel," you mean Q. -- well, I didn't ask you. Were you advised by 24 25 lawyers other than Dorman Walker?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 200 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. 1 No. 2 So it was Dorman who told you that Q. minimal deviation means zero deviation? 3 Α. That's correct. 5 Q. Okay. So you also drew the plan in And did you read the opinion of the court in West v. Hunt, the 1992 opinion that adopted your plan? 8 I'm sure I did in 1992 or '93. But I 9 Α. 10 sure don't remember it today. 11 You don't recall -- well, let me ask you Q. Did counsel tell you or remind you that in 12 13 that decision, the three-judge court said that 14 because it was a court-approved plan, a 15 court-ordered plan, it felt constrained to have perfect or zero deviation. But that if the 16 17 legislature had drawn the plan itself, it would have had greater leeway with respect to deviation? 18 19 MR. WALKER: Objection. 20 Q. Do you recall reading that? 21 MR. WALKER: Jim, you've asked that 22 question several ways. And one -- it could be 23 interpreted in one way to be whether or not I gave him advice on that. If that's what you're asking, I 24 object to that. 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 201 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

So if you read the West v. Hunt 1 Q. opinion -- let me ask this question -- do you recall the court saying that it felt compelled, because it was a court-ordered plan, to use zero deviation? 5 Α. I do not. As I said, I probably read it 30 years ago. I certainly don't remember what it said today. 8 Were you advised to use zero deviation Q. by anybody -- any lawyers in Washington, say, 9 connected with the republican party, the RNC or --10 what was that other organization that you used 11 12 letters for? NRRC or something? 13 Α. No. In terms of the -- are you talking 14 about the 2021 plan? 15 The 2021 plan, yes. Q. No, I did not speak to anybody at the 16 Α. 17 NRCC or the RNC or anybody in Washington other than members of congress and their staffs. 18 NRCC, what does that stand for? 19 Q. Okay. 20 Α. National Republican Congressional 21 Committee. 22 Q. Okay. But they didn't give you any 23 instructions or any advice about zero deviation? 24 Α. No, sir. 25 Q. What about the members of congress in

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 202 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
the Alabama delegation? Did they give you any
1
    instructions to use zero deviation?
2
                 No, sir.
3
   Α.
4
                 MR. BLACKSHER: Eli, did I print out a
5
    copy of the passage from State of Alabama versus
   U.S. Department of Commerce that you can show him?
 7
                 MR. HARE: Let me see here.
                 MR. BLACKSHER: It's got a highlighted
8
    section in it.
9
10
                 MR. HARE: Yes.
11
                 MR. BLACKSHER: Okay. Can you mark that
12
    as -- what did you say, PX 10?
13
                 MR. HARE:
                            Right. It's PX 10.
14
15
                (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 was
16
                marked for identification.)
17
18
                 MR. BLACKSHER: And show that to
   Mr. Hinaman
19
20
    Q.
                 That, Randy, is the document that was
21
    filed by the State of Alabama, as you can see, in
22
    Montgomery's federal court against the census bureau
23
    and styled 21-211.
24
                 And would you please read the
25
   highlighted part in Paragraph 116 of the State's
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 203 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
complaint?
1
 2
   Α.
                 The part --
 3
                 Read it into the record.
    Q.
4
   Α.
                 I must admit highlighting in it in blue
   makes it rather hard to read. But nevertheless.
5
                 "Even at the higher census geography of
 6
 7
   Alabama's congressional districts, the November 2020
    demonstration data indicated that the differential
8
   privacy algorithm skewed the data enough to create
9
   population deviation on a level that courts have
10
11
    found in other contexts to violate the supreme
    court's equal population jurisprudence."
12
13
    Q.
                 Thank you.
14
                 And under that language is a table that
15
    shows what the State thought were errors caused by
    differential privacy in the demonstration. And they
16
17
    were congressional districts.
18
                 Did counsel tell you that the State of
19
   Alabama thought that the zero deviation requirement
    was using flawed data, in their opinion?
20
                 MR. WALKER: Objection to form.
21
22
    instruct the witness not to answer.
23
    Q.
                 Okay. Are you going to follow counsel's
    advice not to answer my question, Mr. Hinaman?
24
25
   Α.
                 I am.
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 204 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
Q.
1
                 So aside from what counsel told you,
2
    were you aware that the State of Alabama took the
   position in federal court that the -- that the 2020
 3
    census, because of differential privacy, would not
   be reliable enough to use for zero -- for separating
   people at that level?
   Α.
                 I was not.
8
                 MR. BLACKSHER: Eli, if you can find
9
    that passage from the public hearing at Northeast
   Alabama Community College.
10
11
                 MR. HARE: I've got it right here.
                 MR. BLACKSHER: And mark that as Exhibit
12
13
    11, please.
14
15
                (Plaintiff's Exhibit 11 was
16
                marked for identification.)
17
18
                 MR. BLACKSHER: And show that to Randy,
    to Mr. Hinaman.
19
                 As you can see, this is a transcript of
20
    Q.
21
    the reapportionment committee's hearing on September
22
    1 at Northeast Alabama Community College. And I've
23
   printed out Page 12 and highlighted it.
                 Would you read the highlighted statement
24
    of one Toni McGriff who lives in Dutton?
25
                                               Would you
```

```
1
    read into that into the record, please?
                             You haven't highlighted the
2
                 MR. WALKER:
                     You've highlighted Lines 5 through
3
    whole statement.
        Is that what you want him to read?
 5
                 MR. BLACKSHER: Yes, the highlighted
    lines, please.
 6
                 "Most of Jackson County, particularly
   Α.
   all of Jackson County -- practically all of Jackson
8
9
    County is in Congressional District 5. But there is
    a tiny little sliver of southern Jackson County
10
11
    that's in 4. And I understand about trying to get
12
    everything equalized in terms of population.
13
    the very few people who live there very frequently
14
    think they're in District 5 and do not know who to
15
   vote for. And I would ask that you consider that
    when you are redistricting so that you don't have
16
17
    that tiny little sliver out of that county.
    in a section called Macedonia. Senator Livingston
18
    would know where I'm talking about, I'm sure."
19
20
    Q.
                 Thank you.
21
                 So did anyone on the reapportionment
22
    committee, the chairs or counsel, show you or tell
23
    you about that testimony?
                 MR. WALKER: Objection as to what he may
24
25
   have been told my counsel. Otherwise, he may answer
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 206 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 the question.
- 2 | A. I was not familiar with that testimony.
- 3 | But I did, of course, put Jackson County back
- 4 together.
- 5 Q. You sure did. And who paid the price
- 6 | for that? Lauderdale County?
- 7 A. Well, you're comparing 17 people to
- 8 | 43,000 or something. I'm not sure that's a fair
- 9 comparison. But yes.
- 10 Q. Was it 17 people in Jackson County?
- 11 | A. I'm making up that number. You're
- 12 comparing a few people to many tens of thousands.
- 13 | But nevertheless.
- 14 | Q. In most of the cases on the 2021 plan,
- 15 the enacted plan, for example, down in Escambia
- 16 | County where you had to put the eastern slice of
- 17 | Escambia into 2?
- 18 | A. Yeah, 739 people.
- 19 Q. 739 people. Do you think that they're
- 20 | going to share the sentiment of Mr. Toni McGriff in
- 21 | Jackson County?
- 22 | A. They may very well.
- 23 | Q. And what I'm saying, what I'm trying to
- 24 | point out, can't we agree that most of these tiny
- 25 | splits to achieve zero population result in people

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 207 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

being basically separated from their home county and 1 2 put in a district where they really don't have much influence at all over the member of congress, right? Α. In the Escambia County case, I would agree with that. Although looking at the map, there aren't many examples of that. Because most of the other splits in the enacted map are much larger segments of folks. 8 9 Okay. Now, you said that you began Q. working on the congressional plan in May at some 10 11 point; is that correct, when you found out that 12 Alabama would have seven seats in congress 13 apportioned to it? 14 Yes, once we found out seven. And also 15 the quidelines were passed on May 5th. I started work thereafter. 16 17 And you were using estimated census data to sort of rough out what that plan might look like; 18 is that correct? 19 20 Α. That's correct. And those estimated census data were 21 Q. 22 only available for whole counties, right? 23 Α. I believe that's the case, yes. So you were having to work with whole 24 Q. counties. 25 And when the final census data came out,

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 208 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

you simply had to adjust with the correct 2020 1 2 legacy data; is that correct? 3 That's correct. Although while the Α. estimates captured the flavor of the changes that happened over the last ten years, meaning four districts were over and three districts were under and the estimates properly identified those districts, they didn't really capture the magnitude 8 of it. 9 Because I think the estimates had the 10 11 7th District being 30,000 and some odd number under when it ended up being 54, and it had the 5th 12 13 District being something like 23,000 over when it 14 was really 43. 15 So while it captured the over/under nature of the districts, it didn't -- it didn't do a 16 17 particularly good job of capturing the ultimate 18 numbers. Did you attempt drawing a whole county 19 Q. 20 plan at that point in May of 2021? 21 I just -- no. Α. No. 22 Q. Why not? 23 Well, I don't even consider it a plan. Α. I mean, I was just lumping together -- and I do 24 think I was able to split. I just don't think the 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 209 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
answers were very accurate on what Maptitude had for
1
2
    estimates.
                 So I didn't -- I didn't -- I lumped some
3
4
    counties together and I split some larger counties
   based on precincts, knowing that those numbers were
   not going to be very accurate, and then waited until
   we got the real numbers.
                 Okay. And when you got the real
 8
    Q.
   numbers, did you attempt to draw a whole county
9
10
   plan?
11
   Α.
                 I did not.
12
    Ο.
                 And why did you not attempt to do that?
13
   Α.
                 No one asked me to do that. And, again,
14
   my understanding of our guidelines would be that
15
    that would not have followed the proper deviation.
                 Take a look at our whole county --
16
    Q.
17
                  MR. BLACKSHER: Can you mark a copy -- I
    don't think it's been passed around yet -- just so
18
    we can be talking from something, the same thing?
19
20
                 MR. HARE: This will be Plaintiff's
21
    Exhibit 12.
22
23
                (Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 was
24
                marked for identification.)
25
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 210 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

So think along with me, Mr. Hinaman, 1 Q. about how you might have attempted to reproduce your starting point of the plan, which was the 2011 plan, right? 5 Α. Yes, sir. And if you were going to attempt to take 6 Q. the 2011 plan and create whole districts and you start with Congressional District 7, then you would 8 9 try to make Jefferson, Tuscaloosa, and Montgomery And that's what this plan does, doesn't it? 10 11 Α. It does. 12 Q. You would have attempted to keep as much 13 of the black belt together as you could. And that's 14 what this plan does, doesn't it? 15 MR. WALKER: Objection. I'm not sure, Jim, the way you're phrasing your questions, what 16 17 you're asking him. You seem to be telling him what he would have been doing and then -- I'm just 18 confused. 19 20 MR. BLACKSHER: I'm asking leading 21 questions, Counsel. Is that all right? 22 MR. WALKER: Well, you're allowed to ask 23 leading questions. I just didn't understand what you were doing. So go ahead, if that's what you 24 want to do. 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 211 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

1 MR. BLACKSHER: Can you read the 2 question back, please, Court Reporter? I'm sorry. 3 (Record read.) 4 MR. WALKER: Objection to form. 5 Α. It does, I quess. Hale and Perry I think would be considered part of the black belt, and that's in a different district. But by and large, you're correct, yes. 9 Switching gears for a minute. When you Q. met with Congresswoman Sewell, do I understand you 10 11 to say that she -- your testimony was that 12 Congresswoman Sewell wanted to keep her district the 13 way it is, adjusted for the population deviation 14 known; is that correct? 15 I would phrase it this way: I met with Α. Congresswoman Sewell and told her her district was 16 17 54,000 under. And I gave her some options of where it made, in my opinion anyway, sense to gain folks 18 to make up that 54,000 difference. And then we 19 worked through that on the map. That's how I would 20 21 phrase it. 22 Ο. Did Congresswoman Sewell tell you she 23 was opposed to attempting to draw two districts in which blacks could elect candidates of their choice? 24 She did not. She didn't offer an 25 Α.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 212 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

opinion, to my knowledge, on that issue. 1 2 Q. Say again. 3 She didn't offer an opinion on that, to Α. my knowledge. Q. And you didn't ask her about it? 6 Α. I did not. Were you aware of all of the Q. nongovernmental organizations and grass roots 8 9 organizations in Alabama who have been urging the legislature to draw two districts from which blacks 10 11 can elect candidates of their choice? I'm not sure that I was that aware of it 12 Α. 13 in our initial meetings in May. Obviously, once 14 public hearings were held and your whole county plan 15 came out and so forth and so on, I was obviously more aware of it at that point. 16 17 Okay. So what you're saying is that you Q. simply sat down with Ms. Sewell and made suggestions 18 19 on how to increase -- get 53,000 and some odd 20 additional population in District 7, correct? 21 Α. That's correct, and keeping her existing 22 -- the core of her existing district together. 23 Q. And didn't I hear you say you suggested that one option might be to making Tuscaloosa County 24 and Montgomery County whole; that is, swapping the 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 213 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

- 1 population in Montgomery -- in Tuscaloosa County,
- 2 | north Tuscaloosa County, with a population that
- 3 extends into Montgomery County?
- 4 | A. I didn't offer that.
- 5 Q. What did -- you said something in your
- 6 earlier examination about considering that option.
- 7 A. If I did, I didn't mean to. I did not
- 8 | consider that option.
- 9 Q. You did not consider that option?
- 10 A. No, I did not.
- 11 | Q. Why not?
- 12 A. Because I started with her existing
- 13 cores of districts and I looked at what she needed
- 14 | to gain, and I suggested areas that she may wish to
- 15 gain in. And we worked through the map and made
- 16 | those changes.
- 17 | Q. Well, I mean, was the -- is the little
- 18 | -- the extension of District 7 that goes into
- 19 | Montgomery County part of the core of that
- 20 | district, in your opinion?
- 21 | A. It may be now. It probably wasn't at
- 22 | the -- obviously, I don't think it existed at the
- 23 | beginning. It's a lot of people. I mean, I don't
- 24 know the exact number. We can obviously look it
- 25 | up. But it's --

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 214 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
Well, I can tell you that based on the
 1
   Q.
    data that Dorman Walker and the reapportionment
 3
    committee provided to us, the population of
   District 7 in Montgomery County is 62,519.
 5
   Α.
                 Okay.
                 And the population of the portion of
 6
    Q.
    Tuscaloosa County that's in District 4, the
   northern part of Tuscaloosa County, is 42,770.
 8
    there's about a 20,000 difference between those two
 9
    split counties making them whole in District 7.
10
11
                  MR. BLACKSHER:
                                   So I'm going to ask
12
    Eli, if he would, to mark up those two documents
13
    that show -- that are labeled Plan Tuscaloosa and
14
    Montgomery Whole and show it to Mr. Hinaman.
15
                             I'm going to mark them as
                 MR. HARE:
    -- the map as Plaintiff's 13, and then the chart or
16
17
    the data sheet as Plaintiff's 14, Jim.
18
               (Plaintiff's Exhibits 13&14
19
              were marked for identification.)
20
21
22
    Q.
                 I'll tell you, Mr. Hinaman, that I did
23
    this with Dave's Redistricting app. Are you
    familiar with Dave's Redistricting app?
24
                 I've heard of it.
25
    Α.
                                     I've never used it.
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 215 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

And I did exactly what I just 1 Q. Okay. 2 suggested. I made -- took Montgomery County 3 completely out of District 7, and I put all of Tuscaloosa County into District 7. And that 20,000 difference I got out of Jefferson County. Otherwise, it looks pretty close to 6 7 the map that you ended up drawing and that was 8 enacted. But, of course, would you -- would agree that it otherwise (inaudible) the one that you 9 10 drew? 11 Yeah. Obviously, there's a split in Α. 12 Blount and a split in Etowah that I don't have. 13 But yeah. 14 Well, this is a good point. When you Q. talk about making changes in District 7 like I just 15 did with Dave's, you end up requiring changes in 16 several of the surrounding districts. 17 I mean, for example, because District 18 6 lost population to District 7, I elected to get 19 20 some population out of Blount. And that ended up 21 splitting Blount. 22 Α. Right. 23 And because Montgomery County went Q. into District 2, I ended up having to do a little 24 split of Elmore County, right? 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 216 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. Yes, sir. 1 And on up the line, if you will. But, 2 Q. of course, I didn't have to interfere with the split you made in Lauderdale County. And these are -- and this is not zero deviation. If you look to the left in that table, 6 you will see that there are as many as 471 people in District 2 who are going to have to be -- I'm sorry. District 3 who are going to have to be 9 10 taken out, right? 11 Α. Yeah. I'll take -- I can't find that 12 number on this sheet. But I'll take your word for 13 it. 14 Ο. Well, it's on the map. 15 Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah, I see it. Α. I was looking on the corresponding number 16 17 sheet. Sorry. 18 The point I want to make here is isn't Q. it true when you're drawing maps and you get to 471 19 20 people who have to be moved in order to get to zero 21 deviation, you go down to the block level, right? 22 Α. Most times, yeah. Precincts aren't 23 going to have an exact number or that small a number. 24

And I'll represent to you that I

25

Q.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 217 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

didn't -- this is drawn with precincts. So you're 1 2 going to have to split some precincts, right? 3 Yes, sir. Α. 4 Ο. But that usually can be done after you have achieved the goal you set out to in broader terms in your districting scheme, right? Α. Sure. There are a lot of ways that you can 8 Ο. split precincts or counties in order to achieve 9 this -- this sacred zero deviation objective. And 10 11 yet you didn't consider this option at all when you 12 were going over the plan with Congresswoman Sewell; 13 is that correct? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 She did not -- she did not have an Ο. 16 option to consider this arrangement, right? 17 MR. WALKER: Objection to form. 18 Obviously, she could have said how Α. about if I get all of Tuscaloosa County and come 19 20 out of Montgomery? Which she said neither. 21 Q. Well, I wonder if the reason she said 22 neither is because it turns out that doing that 23 reduces the BVAP, the black voting age population, to 49.79 percent? 24 25 MR. WALKER: For CD 7?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 218 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

THE REPORTER: For what? 1 2 MR. WALKER: CD 7. 3 Do you see that in the statistical Q. table? 5 Α. Yes, sir, I do. So would that have been a problem for 6 Q. Terri Sewell based on what she was telling you were her objectives? 8 I don't know specifically. I don't 9 Α. think she considered this map. So I can't -- I 10 11 don't really know how to answer your question. 12 Q. Okay. Did you and Congresswoman 13 Sewell discuss the whole county plan, the League of 14 Women Voters' whole county plan? 15 We did not. I don't think it -- in Α. our initial meetings, I don't think it existed. Or 16 17 at least I was not aware of it. I don't think she So we really did not. 18 It didn't exist in May, but it did 19 Q. exist before you finalized the plan that became 20 HB-1, right? 21 22 Α. Correct. 23 And September 1, 2021, was the first Q. public hearing of the reapportionment committee. 24 And the League of Women Voters was the first 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 219 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

witness at the first hearing offering that plan; 1 2 isn't that correct? 3 I wasn't at that hearing. But I'll Α. take your word for it. 5 Q. So you're telling us that the whole county plan offered by the League of Women Voters was never discussed at all when you were communicating with Congresswoman Sewell? 8 9 Α. I don't believe it -- maybe it was discussed at the very end about what other plans 10 11 are out there. We may have had a minor discussion 12 about -- frankly, I think at that point in time 13 yours would have been the only other publicly 14 acknowledged congressional plan. So she may have 15 mentioned it. But we didn't have a very healthy 16 discussion about it. Let's put it that way. 17 Q. What do you mean not healthy? Very long, very detailed. She was 18 Α. 19 asking what other plans have you heard about. And 20 I think at that point, yours was the only one that 21 was public at that point in time. Did she tell you she would object to 22 Q. 23 that plan? We didn't have that detailed a 24 Α. discussion about it. 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 220 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

So we don't know -- we don't know 1 Q. whether Congresswoman Sewell would be happy with the whole county plan or not; is that correct? Α. I do not know, no. You may know. Q. Sir? I don't know. I mean, you may have 6 Α. talked to her about it. I don't have any knowledge of it directly. 8 I understand. 9 Ο. Can you take another look at the 10 whole county plan map, please? 11 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 And compare it -- and compare it with Ο. 14 the map of the 55 -- 555 plan, HB-1, the enacted 15 plan. Yes, sir. Exhibit 5. 16 Α. 17 If the court wanted to -- was drawing Q. a remedial plan in this case, just for the sake of 18 19 argument, it had reached the point where it was 20 going to draw its own plan, and it wanted to change 21 the whole county plan to look more like the plan 22 that the legislature enacted, that would simply be 23 a matter of changing the array between Districts 5 and 4, correct? 24 25 I mean -- well, first of all, Α. No.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 221 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Terri Sewell doesn't even live in District 7 under 1 2 your whole county plan. She lives in District 6. 3 Q. I'm sorry. I'm not being clear, and my question was not understood by you. 5 I'm just asking if the court wanted to change the array -- if it was drawing a 6 court-ordered plan and it wanted to make the whole 8 county plan 5 and 4 look more like the whole -like the 5 and 4 districts in the enacted plan, it 9 would simply be a matter of balancing out the 10 11 populations between 4 and 5, correct, splitting some counties as needed? 12 Obviously, 4 has changes in 13 Yeah. Α. 14 Tuscaloosa and St. Clair that are different than 15 the enacted plan. 16 Q. Every -- every change has a ripple effect, right? 17 18 Α. Yes, sir. 19 Q. All right. But there would be no 20 problem in putting Lauderdale, Colbert, and 21 Franklin in CD 4 and moving Morgan County back up 22 into CD 5 if the court wanted to do that and made 23 the splits necessary to bring it into population equality; isn't that correct? 24 25 These hypothetical the court Α. Yeah.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 222 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

wants to change things are hard for me. But yes, I 1 2 quess that's correct. 3 I'm looking at the map of the plan you Q. drew in 1992 that was adopted by the three-judge court in West versus Hunt. Did that map ever get shown to you today, or not? It has not been shown to me today. Α. MR. BLACKSHER: Okay. I'm looking at 8 it in the amended complaint. I don't know if 9 anyone has a copy there that they can show 10 11 Mr. Hinaman or not. But do you recall, Mr. Hinaman, that 12 13 the plan you drew in 1992 included all of the same 14 counties that are in the plan you drew in 2021? 15 I'm not sure I -- I'm not sure I know Α. what that -- I'm not sure I know what you mean by 16 17 that. 18 The plan that you drew in 1992 had Q. Clarke split, it had Pickens split, Tuscaloosa and 19 20 Jefferson split, and Montgomery County split. 21 Now, your plan in 2021 leaves Pickens 22 whole, correct? 23 Correct, and Clarke whole. Α. And Clarke whole. But Tuscaloosa, 24 Q. Jefferson, and Montgomery are still split? 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 223 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. Yes, sir. 1 2 So your 2021 plan, the plan you drew Q. and that was enacted by the legislature in 2021, preserves the core of the 1992 plan that you drew; is that correct? It's -- it's correct. But you've Α. missed a few steps along the way, obviously. Because as we discussed earlier in the deposition 8 9 testimony, it more preserves the cores of the 2011 districts, which I guess by chain preserve some of 10 11 the 2001 districts, which the legislature preserved some of the 1992 districts, if that made any sense. 12 13 In other words, I did not use the 1992 14 map as the starting point for my 2021 map. 15 You used the 2011 plan, correct? Q. Correct. 16 Α. 17 And isn't it true that the 2002 plan Q. and the 2011 plan preserved the cores -- the core 18 of the 1992 plan? 19 20 Α. For the most part. Can we sum up your testimony about how 21 Q. 22 you went about drawing the 2021 enacted plan by 23 saying that you drew the plan so that it satisfied what each incumbent member of the Alabama 24 25 congressional delegation wanted? That was your

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 224 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

primary guideline, right? 1 2 Well, that was a part of it. My 3 primary guidelines were the guidelines given to me by the reapportionment committee, and then based off of the subsequent population shifts over the last ten years to repopulate or take away from, depending on the over/under of each district, population, and geography to reach the required 8 quidelines of zero deviation and preserving the 9 cores of districts. 10 11 And, of course, where possible -- and we've had a couple of minor cases where it wasn't, 12 13 as we discussed with Representative Moore and so 14 But preserving what the incumbents would 15 have -- would like to accomplish, as well. But your testimony is that nobody else 16 Q. 17 but the members of the Alabama congressional 18 delegation had any input into the decisions you 19 made about how to draw that plan; isn't that correct? 20 21 Α. That's pretty much correct, yes, sir. 22 Q. No member of the Alabama legislature's 23 reapportionment committee, including its chairs, had any input into that plan; isn't that correct? 24 25 They had all the input they wanted Α.

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 225 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

into the plan. But they chose to allow the members 1 2 of congress to talk about what areas they wanted to gain and lose underneath the guidelines that they had already passed. 5 Q. And, in fact, in 19 -- let's see. Excuse me. 7 In 2011, that's what the legislature did, as well. They simply deferred to what the 8 9 congressional delegation wanted in redrawing that plan, right? 10 11 No, that's not -- that was the goal I Α. 12 But that's not what happened. When we got --13 as you may remember, when we got to the senate 14 floor, there were some members of the senate who may have wanted to run in one district or another 15 16 who moved some things around. 17 My map -- my initial map in 2011 didn't even have the 4th District in Tuscaloosa. 18 It had the 6th District in Tuscaloosa. 19 So there were numerous changes made on 20 21 the senate floor and probably subsequently the 22 house floor from the map that the members and I 23 worked on, members of congress and I worked on. 24 Q. But that didn't happen in 2021? 25 Α. It did not happen in 2021. The map

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 226 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

that came out of -- the map that I gave to the 1 2 chairs that was offered at the reapportionment committee was not amended through the process. it was identical to what was passed into law and signed by the governor. Okay. So let me just go over -- I 6 Q. think I'm about finished here. I want to make sure I understand what your testimony is. 8 You considered no other plans that did 9 not have a zero deviation; is that correct? 10 11 never considered drawing a plan that did not have a zero deviation? 12 13 That's correct. My understanding and Α. -- my understanding of the guidelines required us 14 15 to be at zero deviation. And you understood, didn't you, that 16 Q. 17 Jefferson County was now at a population level that was smaller than an ideal congressional district 18 and, therefore, no longer needed to be split? You 19 20 were aware of that, weren't you? 21 I'm aware of it. I'm not sure I 22 focused on it. But what you say is true. 23 It wasn't -- it wasn't a priority for Q. you to try to make Jefferson County whole? That's 24

what you're saying?

25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 227 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. 1 That's correct. 2 And, frankly, when I started the 3 meetings, I didn't even -- at the time I started the meetings -- subsequently I realized it. But at the time I started the meetings, I actually thought that both Representative -- Congresswoman Sewell and Congressman Palmer both lived in Jefferson 8 County. As I turned out, he had -- Representative Palmer had moved over the last few years into 9 10 Shelby. 11 But at the time, I would have thought 12 that that wasn't possible under our guidelines. 13 Because when I started the process, I thought they 14 both lived in Jefferson County. 15 But, in fact, you found out that Q. Congressman Gary Palmer lives about three blocks 16 17 south of the Jefferson County line in Shelby County, and Congresswoman Sewell lives about a mile 18 19 away from where Palmer lives. But she's on the 20 Jefferson side of the line in Lake Cyrus, right? 21 Α. That's correct, yeah. 22 Q. But I also understood you to say that 23 Congresswoman Sewell considered making her residence, for purpose of redistricting, Dallas 24 25 County. Am I correct?

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 228 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

Α. I'm not sure I would phrase it that 1 2 way. When asked what residence -- when 3 asked for her residence address so it could be put in the computer so that we would make sure she was inside her district, she gave us both her address where she votes at, which is obviously Jefferson 8 County, and her ancestral home. I don't know the 9 right way to phrase it. Where she grew up in 10 Dallas County. 11 Ο. She grew up in Selma, right? Yes. Yes, sir. 12 Α. 13 And you're aware, aren't you, Ο. 14 that there is no residency requirement for members 15 of congress, aren't you? I am aware. I'm also aware it's 16 Α. 17 exceedingly difficult to get elected when you're 18 outside of your district. It makes a rather good TV spot. 19 20 Q. So even though congress -- Congressman 21 Palmer still lives in the city of Birmingham, he's in that part that extends into Shelby County, he 22 23 would not feel comfortable representing the Birmingham area again; is that right? 24 25 Α. I don't know that. He may feel

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 229 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

perfectly comfortable. But I've -- I've seen in 1 2 other races where, you know, the fact that somebody doesn't reside in their district is not a positive 3 when you get around to campaigning. 5 Q. Okay. I think I'm about done here. need one more look at my notes. 7 That's it. Thank you very much, Mr. Hinaman. 8 9 Α. Thank you. This is Lali Madduri for 10 MS. MADDURI: 11 the Caster plaintiffs. We don't have any 12 questions. 13 MR. THOMPSON: I think that's all the 14 questions that I have at this time, too. So on 15 behalf of all the plaintiffs, I'll pass the witness at this time. 16 17 MR. WALKER: Let us have a few 18 minutes. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 19 20 record. The time is 3:34 p.m.21 (Recess was taken.) 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 23 record. The time is 3:39 p.m. MR. WALKER: We have nothing to ask 24 Mr. Hinaman. So I guess we're done. Thank you 25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 230 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
very much, everyone.
 1
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This ends the
 2
    deposition of Randy Hinaman. The time is now
 3
    3:40 p.m.
 4
 5
 6
               (DEPOSITION ENDED AT 3:40 P.M.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 231 of 283 Randy Hinaman December 09, 2021

```
STATE OF ALABAMA )
 1
    JEFFERSON COUNTY )
 2
 3
                   I hereby certify that the above
 4
   proceedings were taken down by me and transcribed
   by me using computer-aided transcription and that
    the above is a true and correct transcript of said
   proceedings taken down by me and transcribed by me.
                   I further certify that I am neither of
 9
   kin nor of counsel to any of the parties nor in
10
    anywise financially interested in the result of
11
    this case.
12
13
                   I further certify that I am duly
14
    licensed by the Alabama Board of Court Reporting as
    a Certified Court Reporter as evidenced by the ACCR
15
16
   number following my name found below.
                   So certified on December 9, 2021.
17
18
19
20
21
22
                     LeAnn Maroney, Commissioner
23
                      ACCR# 134, Expires 9/30/25
                       505 North 20th Street, Suite 1250
24
                       Birmingham, AL
                                        35203
25
```

Exhibits	EX 0010 Randy Hinaman 1209	1	12,000 126:24
EXIIIDICS	21		123
EX 0001 Randy	8:7 201:15	1	62:4
Hinaman 1209	EX 0011 Randy	14:7,13	12:57
21	Hinaman 1209	29:23	122:8
7:13 14:7,13	21	114:22,23,24	13
EX 0002 Randy	8:10 203:12,	122:21 123:9	193:15
Hinaman 1209	13,15	125:19 137:9	213:16
21	EX 0012 Randy	161:1,2,3	
	Hinaman 1209	176:15	13&14
7:15 14:7,15	21	183:1,2,5	213:19
EX 0003 Randy	8:12 208:21,	192:19,20	14
Hinaman 1209	23	203:22	213:17
21		217:23	14th
7:17 21:19,	EX 0013 Randy		112:23 113:8
24	Hinaman 1209	1&2	139:16
EX 0004 Randy	21	14:3	150
Hinaman 1209	8:14	10	61:23
21	EX 0014 Randy	137:9	16
7:19 25:6,	Hinaman 1209	201:12,13,15	204:4
10,11	21	10,000	17
EX 0005 Randy	8:16	71:23 72:11	25:15 205:7,
Hinaman 1209		100	10
21	\$	61:19 119:9	19
7:21 92:17,	<u> </u>	105	224:5
20 110:15,16	\$10,000	80:10,14,16,	1965
219:16	43:22,25	20	140:24 141:5
EX 0006 Randy	\$400	105-member	165:13
Hinaman 1209	17:18	65:11	166:13
21	\$50,000	10:00	
7:23 93:10,	55:5,20	88:1	1992
14,18	33.3,20	10:17	24:2,17
EX 0007 Randy		65:22	26:11 27:7
Hinaman 1209	(28:4 30:7,
21		10:35	11,18 31:7,
7:25 135:14,	(c) (4)	65:25	13 33:7,10,
18 147:22	53:18	11	23 34:17
EX 0008 Randy		203:13,15	35:12 37:19
Hinaman 1209	0	116	39:14,17
21		201:25	40:1 91:6
8:3 160:7,11	000007	11:30	95:10 172:2
167:10,16	179:21	121:25	199:6,7,9
EX 0009 Randy	00056	11:42	221:4,13,18
Hinaman 1209	160:13	122:5	222:4,12,13,
21	043723	12	19
	135:21	203:23	1st
∀ • 	T T		72:19,20,22,
8:5 179:16, 19 189:9		208:21,23	25 87:1

123:9,20	45:3,17 46:7 48:11,15,17,	16,17 63:17, 20 64:21	22 224:24,25 2021-555
	23 49:19	65:4,15	51:8
	50:12,21	66:3,4,5,23	21
2	53:11,19,24	67:8,11,14,	148:6
14:7,15	77:3,5,8,11,	20 68:14	21-211
96:24 97:16	12,25 85:19	70:22 71:14	201:23
124:1,3,5	93:15,19,22	74:16,19	217
125:19 138:9	94:9,13,25	75:16 76:2	62:4
141:2,15,18,	95:15 100:5,	78:9 79:3,8	23,000
22 142:14,19	8 114:22	80:1 83:14,	207:13
144:5,8,14,	116:16	16,24 84:2	23rd
25 146:5,6	124:22	85:7,23	61:11
147:22	125:13	86:5,14	24
161:12,13,14	159:16	87:15,20	48:8
183:1 189:2	171:3,9,12	88:11 91:13	25
205:17	173:16	92:22,25 93:4,24 94:5	22:4,9 150:5
214:24 215:8	209:3,7 222:9,15,18	95:16 98:18	26
20	224:7,17	99:21 100:9,	151:5
24:8 147:22	2012	14,16 101:7,	2:21-CV-
179:1	23:20,23	9,14 106:7,	01530-AMM
20,000	2013	9,12,13,16,	9:14
213:9 214:4	22:4,9,18	18 107:12	2:28
200	25:16	110:18 111:3	197:14
62:10	2018	120:1 121:1,	2:47
200,000	22:23	7 123:3	197:17
55:24	2019	124:23	2nd
2001	56:21 57:9	129:19 132:3	72:23,24
26:13,24	59:9 66:6	134:11	87:1 124:13
27:14,24	2020	135:22 136:7	125:14
28:11 29:4,	51:18,19,20	137:6 156:10	134:25
22,25 30:4	52:12,21	160:15,23	161:24
38:17 39:13,	53:19 54:4	167:17,21,25	
17 40:1,4	55:13,17	169:3	3
89:7 95:5	57:11 62:22,	172:13,25	
126:20 159:14	23 202:7	173:21,24	3
222:11	203:3 207:1	174:16	21:19,24
2002	2021	176:19 179:23 180:3	95:17 124:9,
222:17	9:7,17	183:16	12 126:8,25
2011	39:12,16	194:15 195:6	147:21
23:20 26:12,	40:6 48:3,5	196:14	162:1,3,4
13 37:22	51:2,5,12,	200:14,15	195:1 215:9
38:11 39:13	16,19 53:20	205:14	30
40:3,7,9,15	57:18 58:9	207:20	31:2 33:11,
42:13,18	59:22 60:9,	217:23	22,24 200:6
43:5 44:5,25	12,24 61:5,	221:14,21	30,000
	15,20 62:14,	222:2,3,14,	207:11

215	40.70	126.15	102 0 12 00
317	49.79	136:15	123:8,13,22
78:17	216:24	206:15	124:3 128:7
33267	4th	207:12	132:6 133:9
18:11	96:15 156:2		135:14,18
35	224:18	6	138:8 147:22
81:13			163:16,18,19
36561	5	6	170:7,8,22,
18:12		93:10,14,18	25 171:12
3:34	5	116:19	172:1,14,18
228:20	91:23 92:17,	126:22	173:1,24
3:39	20 110:15,16	127:24 130:4	174:8,18,21
228:23	115:6,7	132:5 133:10	175:3,5,13
3:40	128:18,23	138:10	183:2 185:25
229:4,6	163:1	163:9,11	186:3,16,17
3rd	194:19,25	167:7 172:5,	192:22
94:19 124:4	204:3,9,14	9 176:15	194:17
126:19	219:16,23	186:1,14	195:3,10
1	220:8,9,11,	195:2 214:19	209:8 211:20
130:17	220.0,5,11,	220:2	212:18
162:12	5-5-57	60	213:4,10
188:14,15	18:9	44:7	214:3,4,15,
194:5		62	19 216:25
	50	169:15 170:4	217:2 220:1
4	34:12		703 598-8383
	195:10,17	62,519	19:1
4	196:1	213:4	717,000
25:6,11	50,000	6th	73:7
91:23 115:8,	55:21	138:5 194:5	739
9,11 127:5	501(c)(4)	224:19	73:5 123:15
162:13,15,16	53:10,16,21		124:17 183:5
194:20,25	86:3	7	184:4,6
204:11 213:7	53,000		205:18,19
219:24	211:19	7	7th
220:8,9,11,	54	25:21 30:1,	95:23 96:14
13,21	207:12	17 32:2,5,15	124:21,25
40-hour	54,000	33:23 35:12,	125:14
63:2	210:17,19	23 36:5	130:19,20
41	54.22	42:19 43:6	166:15
47:3,8,10	118:12	44:6,14	183:20 185:7
179:9	55	45:2,19,20	207:11
42,770	219:14	46:7 48:15	
213:8	550	95:1,4,9	
43	134:5	96:3 97:6,	8
207:14	555	14,15,21	•
43,000	219:14	104:5 114:2,	160.7.11
205:8	5th	22,25 115:3	160:7,11
471	2 CII	116:12 117:7	167:10,16
1	127.0 0		
215.7 10	127:8,9 135:21	119:13	
215:7,19	127:8,9 135:21		

	accommodation	added	adjusting
	s	44:21 102:14	140:10
9	92:3	145:25	adjustments
	accomplish	162:18	189:9
9	155:17	166:14	administrativ
9:7,17	223:15	186:16	
179:16,19	account	192:14	e 68:11
189:9	19:2 34:14	Adderholt	
90s	86:10 100:4	104:17 127:4	admit
27:10	148:4	128:15	202:4
93	175:11,16	134:17	adopted
199:9	187:5	adding	26:10,16
9:00	accurate	98:1 130:22	27:6 199:7
105:19	26:20 60:22	145:12 158:7	221:4
9:13	72:5 208:1,6	172:18,22	advice
9:17	achieve	186:21	199:24
	197:24	addition	200:23
A	205:25 216:9	80:19	
	achieved	additional	advised
a.m	216:5	22:18,19	198:15,24 200:8
9:17	acknowledged	83:7 114:14,	
a.m.	218:14	17 115:15	affiliation
65:22,25		140:18 183:3	35:10 41:25 176:9
122:5	Act	211:20	
abilities	31:23 51:8 99:10 112:23	additions	afraid
137:2	113:7 140:23	166:9	22:20
ability	141:2,5,16,	address	African
74:5	19 142:15,20	18:10,13	32:8 33:17
able	143:11	22:16 117:17	170:15
33:2 56:15	144:5,9,15	130:12,14	171:24 196:5
63:15 68:8	145:1,5	227:4,6	afternoon
76:18 82:19	149:12,25	addressed	15:11
85:11 95:17	165:4,12	189:22	197:21,22
96:9 207:25	166:13,17,21	addresses	age
above	167:9 189:3	19:6 117:14,	32:6,17
9:8 34:12	acting	16,20 150:15	33:18 34:3,
114:13	9:3	adjacent	8,15 35:10 44:7,10 49:7
140:17	action	40:23 85:17	74:10 83:2
Acadome	31:11	88:21 97:18	112:18,20
103:18	actual	103:15	117:6
accepted	66:15 84:9	104:6,9	119:12,22
131:20	125:24	107:17 115:8	135:7
accommodate	add	146:12	175:12,17
91:19	45:8 96:1	adjust	195:11,17
accommodation	117:12 128:7	207:1	216:23
117:23	145:20 146:2	adjusted	aggregate
	159:6 196:5	210:13	42:3,7
			12.5,7
1	•	•	•

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 236 of 283 Randy Hinaman

ago	115:6,21	amendments	answering
13:2 18:21,	116:6,7	180:18	12:2 13:18
22 23:10,20	120:1 147:5,	American	answers
24:7,8 31:3	13,14	22:23 32:8	208:1
33:12,22,24	153:10,18	170:15	
38:14 44:19	170:12	171:24	anybody
45:4,14	171:12 178:6		17:13 59:21
50:15 90:14		Americans	70:10 129:20
	201:1,5,21	196:5	184:16 186:6
162:18 200:6	202:19	amount	188:2 189:25
agree	203:2,10,22	80:25 81:19,	200:9,16,17
52:8 92:11	206:12 211:9	24 162:22	anymore
170:21	222:24	analysis	95:18 174:14
171:11 178:4	223:17,22	167:24	anyone
205:24 206:5	Alabama's	168:3,6,11,	15:18 17:5,
214:8	202:7	21 169:2	10 37:9 50:7
agreed	Alabamians	186:10	53:16 57:24
169:7,19,23,	53:3,8		
25	ALBC	191:22	83:13 87:16
		analyze	101:13,23
agreement	12:21 25:11	144:3	106:17,22
17:19 44:3	algorithm	ancestral	120:10,12
107:9 120:7	202:9	227:8	121:2,5,11
ahead	allow	and/or	138:19
37:22 38:9	70:10 197:10	146:12	143:4,9
50:23 51:2	224:1	annex	144:2,7
121:17	allowable		148:25 149:5
172:13	151:16	125:8,21,23	164:8,13
177:19 182:8		announced	168:20 169:1
209:24	allowed	67:19	177:13 178:1
Air	56:25 59:8	answer	204:21
115:22	131:2 133:7	13:10 31:4	221:10
	148:20 151:7	58:17,19	
Alabama	186:18	104:15 107:5	apart
9:2,3,16	209:22	108:8,12,17,	149:19
12:13,22	alter	23 109:17	apologize
18:11,14	44:23	131:20	46:8 62:12
22:16 23:6,	amazing	138:24	app
20 24:2,10,	13:14	148:10	213:23,24
18 25:11,16,	amenable	162:23 173:3	appears
17 26:9,14,	41:1	177:5,16,24	147:11
22 27:10		1	170:8,22
30:13,22	amend	178:10	171:13
33:12 53:15,	134:20	181:11 187:9	
23 58:15	amended	195:21 197:5	applied
59:21 60:8	140:24	202:22,24	150:25
67:21 69:6	165:13 221:9	204:25	applies
75:12 77:14	225:3	217:11	150:22
86:14 89:19	Amendment	answered	apply
	112:23 113:8	150:18	63:17 64:2
112:19	139:16		139:11
113:12	100.10		
	1	1	'

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 237 of 283

147:12,24	11 59:12	asserted	attended	
149:6	65:13,15	11:15	19:13	
apportioned	67:19 82:18	asserting	attention	
206:13	136:14	177:1	66:19	
approached	208:18	assess	attitudes	
51:15,21	224:16 228:4	35:9 176:8	34:22 175:19	
approaching	arrangement	asset	attorney	
121:15	18:2 216:16	176:23	10:25 15:19	
approval	array	assigned	17:15,17,20	
38:21	219:23 220:6	73:22	18:3 106:25	
approve	arrived	assigning	107:2	
36:10	82:17	32:10	attorney-	
approved	asked	assist	client	
36:8 136:4	23:15 30:20	101:23	108:4,6,18,	
140:17	31:12,15,19	assisted	22 109:14	
approximately	36:11,16	24:5 101:25	176:24 177:2	
23:9 179:9	46:24 51:24,	assisting	attorneys	
April	25 112:25	assisting 24:9	9:18 15:4	
58:19 59:6	113:6,11	Association	August	
63:17,20	117:13	22:23	57:2,4 64:24	
67:20	119:20		65:4 68:9	
area	134:24	assume	74:16,19	
89:15,23	135:6,8	12:12 13:10	75:15 76:2	
91:16 92:4	140:7 142:17	17:12 18:2 57:25 59:16	79:3,8,11	
97:4 115:14	149:3 168:18	80:19 104:25	80:1 82:18	
125:16	172:14,17	194:12 196:3	83:13 84:2	
127:15 154:3	174:17		85:7	
156:1,25	175:2,15	assumed 117:9 118:19	Autauga	
162:17	176:11	130:1	161:18	
186:2,14	177:18,20	assuming	authorized	
227:24	184:16,22	121:8	101:19	
areas	186:5,10		available	
32:7,19 33:3	188:2,7	assumption 39:25 40:2	41:12 48:20	
45:8,11 71:3	189:25 190:3 192:2 199:21	118:21	82:24 83:5	
75:9 89:15,	208:13		143:20,21,25	
19 102:13	227:3,4	ASU 116:7,8	206:22	
114:7,17	asking	1	avoided	
128:3 132:4	10:9 13:4,6	attempt 177:22	150:6	
152:13,16,18	19:24 36:9	207:19	aware	
162:11	69:13 101:20	207:19	50:11 130:23	
164:21	113:22	200:5,12	167:23	
212:14 224:2	118:17 149:4		182:10 187:4	
argument	171:8 196:24	attempted 209:2,12	189:24 203:2	
219:19	197:1 199:24		211:7,12,16	
around	209:17,20	attempting 210:23	217:17	
52:11 54:3	218:19 220:5		225:20,21	
55:16 57:10,		attend	227:13,16	
		88:10,17		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

	156:4 161:4	160:12	believed
	ball	179:21	108:21
В	113:1	bay	below
back	bar	153:6 156:5	195:10
18:6 24:17	192:15	Beach	belt
30:7 37:24	Barfoot	18:11 20:22	35:15
39:13 47:7,9	180:19	began	154:14,17,22
48:11 55:8	190:16,22	58:8 59:12	155:1,5,16
61:17 65:24	191:1,25	65:12 94:5	163:20
67:13 69:5	192:10	206:9	170:10
71:15,19	base	begin	209:13 210:6
76:16 82:3,	94:23 115:22	11:11 57:13,	Ben
12 84:5,14	125:4,24	17 60:11	22:21
88:23 96:19	126:2	63:15 64:20	best
98:6 102:11	based	beginning	13:17 127:11
103:14,21	38:17 40:19	9:12 60:17	137:1 181:20
115:2 118:4	60:18,20	64:16 79:17	better
122:7 123:12	64:25 66:12	114:24	125:10
126:12,20	68:6 70:25	212:23	171:23 172:5
127:15	73:16 84:12	beginnings	bill
129:17,22,25	97:25 100:9,	66:3	17:14,16,22
130:7 140:1	12,17,20	behalf	26:5 104:19
164:16	101:3 103:10	9:20 51:23	110:2 134:23
167:15 172:2	104:16	129:21	billed
179:5 185:21	105:5,11	228:15	17:13
186:3,16	106:10	behavior	Birmingham
195:1,2,24	131:22	42:15	9:2 69:20
196:1,7	145:14 146:3	belated	227:21,24
197:16	162:24 166:5	136:20	birth
198:13 205:3	208:5 213:1	believe	18:8
210:2 220:21	217:7 223:4	15:20 16:15	birthday
228:22	basically	22:5 26:6	136:18,20
background	54:19 79:14	28:20 29:12	bit
18:7 21:23	98:4 123:19	30:14 31:21	
107:24	148:1 159:1,	33:12 35:11	16:12 18:7 21:23 38:13
bad	12 174:14	38:8 42:1	44:24 45:16
61:3	180:24	50:10 51:8	70:11 92:15
Baggett	185:11	55:7 69:20	104:16
10:23,24	190:7,25	75:14 83:6	121:16
balance	194:24 195:3	101:16,19,22	124:9,14
124:9	206:1	140:21 143:3	128:5 130:11
balanced	basing	145:4 160:21	black
99:5	118:20	170:12 171:2	25:16 30:2,
balancing	basis	187:15	5,12 31:13
220:10	35:17 145:1,	192:19	32:3,6,13,
Baldwin	3 196:4	195:15	16,20 33:2,
89:20 91:16	Bates	206:23 218:9	18 34:3,7,
152:13 153:7	135:20		

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 239 of 283 Randy Hinaman

			1
11,15 35:10,	block	brief	130:25 132:9
15 36:6,12,	33:20,21	170:17	198:15
15,21,22	83:3 134:5	briefly	Callahan
37:7,12	138:16	16:7 21:7	23:25 24:19
42:20 43:7,	151:14	114:15 120:5	28:8 29:15
10 44:7,9,14	215:21	126:9 190:15	30:21 31:17
45:12 46:1	blocks	191:24	36:18
49:7,9,19	133:24	bring	Callahan's
50:3,8,13,20	151:21	220:23	28:16
74:9 111:25	226:16	broader	called
112:17,20	Blount	216:5	19:19,21
117:6,11	127:23,25	broke	55:20 61:15
118:19,23	130:7 195:2	122:9	77:14,16
119:12,21	214:12,20,21	broken	129:22,25
135:7 142:6	blue	33:19	204:18
154:14,17,	202:4	Brooks	calls
20,22 155:1,	board		54:9 83:21
5,16 163:20	52:6 54:12	68:23,24	84:6,13,15
170:10,11	56:18 64:13	70:17 84:23	85:1 102:25
172:12 174:9	65:5,7,12	128:22	103:3,5,11
175:12,17	75:2 80:12	129:1,20,21	105:5,9
176:18 177:9	81:8,16,23	134:17	195:19
178:2,5,19	139:10	buildings	campaign
188:17,20,22	Bob	70:9	
189:21	22:22	Bullock	20:2,6 21:12 22:22 23:1
193:4,6,10		155:4	
194:10	body	bureau	campaigning
195:11,16	17:1 190:2	56:20 58:19	228:4
196:25	bottom	59:4 60:7	campaigns
209:13 210:6	135:21	67:19 201:22	21:11 44:1
216:23	156:20	BVAP	candidate
blacks	166:23	74:6 112:12	112:14 142:9
210:24	bought	118:10	168:8,9
211:10	18:20	134:24 135:8	candidates
Blacksher	BRAC	216:23	21:13 210:24
10:8 16:11	125:4	BVAPS	211:11
197:19,20	brain	143:19	capable
198:7,11	46:17	190:10	101:18
201:4,8,11,	break		capital
18 203:8,12,	13:22,23		77:20
18 204:5	65:19	C	capsulize
208:17	121:17,25	Calhoun	122:25
209:20 210:1	122:2,6	162:4	capture
213:11 221:8	197:9		207:8
Blain	breakdown	call	captured
10:6	98:24	54:7 69:17,	207:4,15
blanking	breakdowns	19,21,23	
116:5	98:23	85:3,4 87:2	capturing
110.5	70.23	112:2 129:24	207:17

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 240 of 283 Randy Hinaman

	December		
cards	74:16 76:3	challenging	113:7,15,24
124:17	77:24 82:5	70:11	115:5,14,20
care	84:9 133:24	chance	116:15
131:24	138:16	170:19	118:16
Carl	151:14,21	192:18	124:12,13
111:6 122:20	177:11	change	128:21
134:16	201:22 202:6	23:3 38:5,7	130:19
192:20	203:4	39:11 41:17	142:18
cascade	206:17,21,25	72:3 73:18	145:12
115:5	Center	85:18 92:6,8	158:18
case	186:2	101:20	173:12,23
9:14 11:16	certain	104:15,20	175:2,4
23:15 54:25	20:14 34:2,7	105:2,21	181:3,7,10
85:23 87:2	100:17	110:21	183:7,12,14,
112:21	109:12 117:6	117:24	15,18 184:2,
139:24 142:2	119:4,6,9	123:18 156:6	13 186:4,11,
170:1 184:5	138:20 157:4	180:25 183:4	25 189:11,12
206:4,23	175:8	185:5,20	194:25 195:2
219:18	certainly	189:17	207:4 212:16
	47:5 58:2	190:24	214:15,16
205:14	59:4 74:4	191:2,3	220:13
205:14	79:19 89:10	192:10,12	224:20
	96:12,16	219:20	changing
Caster	100:24	220:6,16	146:17
10:12 228:11	101:18 109:5	221:1	219:23
Caucus	121:10	changed	characteristi
25:16	150:10,24	22:13,15,16	cs
caused	152:24	56:7,14 99:6	34:15 175:12
202:15	162:19	120:22	chart
CD	192:20 200:6	128:19	213:16
170:7 216:25	certificates	182:17	Cherokee
217:2	20:11	190:12	126:10 195:1
220:21,22	certify	changes	Chestnut
cell	9:4	28:15 29:12,	170:17
18:24	chain	19 38:17	chief
census	222:10	44:25 46:7	23:25 68:23
15:23 32:22,	chair	54:15 57:21	70:19 84:7
24 39:3,4	11:13 52:15,	71:6,7 84:18	129:5,19,22
55:11 56:9,	16 184:21	86:10 91:19	131:3,8,13,
14,16,20	188:6 190:3	99:2,4	14 134:11
57:1,3,5,15		100:4,5,8,9,	chiefs
58:18 59:4	chairperson	12,17,18,20,	121:9,11
60:6,19	188:9	22 101:3,9,	Chilton
63:16,22	chairs	11,14,21	124:12
64:5,8,23	9:25 52:14	103:4,9	
66:6 67:11,	54:10 74:21	104:25	126:24
16,19 68:7	86:17 204:22	105:4,6,11	130:17
71:8,17	223:23 225:2	110:1,6,9	Choctaw
			155:2 163:21

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 241 of 283 Randy Hinaman

		<u> </u>	
choice	171:8,15	come	223:4,23
86:6 112:15	173:15 220:3	25:1 29:16	225:3
142:9 145:2	clearly	49:9 71:17	committee's
168:8 210:24	167:8	75:9 88:23	107:2 203:21
211:11	clerk	92:12 129:3	committees
choose	10:25	134:17	90:24
104:8	clients	140:4,6	common
128:10,13	22:18,19	141:12	41:15
138:2	43:9,13	142:10	communicating
choosing	62:21,25	145:20	218:8
32:15	Cline	151:19	communication
132:21,22	22:21	153:20	108:6
133:4	close	157:24 158:6	communication
chose	50:5 66:14,	159:17 196:1	s
128:11 224:1	16 73:14	216:19	88:7 108:7
Chris	79:22 149:18	comes	communities
10:1 11:14	151:22 214:6	49:8 152:20	152:7,15,17,
chunk	closed	159:18,21	23 153:8,9,
127:23	70:9	188:1	13,18,19,23,
circumstances	closer	comfortable	25 154:5
157:4	73:23 114:8	29:20 118:15	155:10,12,
citizens	closing	125:2 130:18	21,24
53:2,7 73:7	125:4 126:3	178:15	156:12,15,
104:3 114:14	clue	227:23 228:1	17,22 157:3,
city	113:24	comment	10 165:24
227:21	co-chairs	37:11 118:15	community
Civil	59:23 86:16	181:21 188:7	35:13,15
9:5,14	88:22 99:12	comments	89:18,21
Clair	136:11	89:2,5	97:14 129:11
162:5 220:14	Colbert	Commerce	153:1,3
clarification	220:20	201:6	154:13 155:6
64:1 173:22	Coleman	commission	156:8,24
clarify	16:14 190:15	125:4	203:10,22
108:20	191:24	commissioner	compact
169:25	192:16,17	9:3	124:21
clarifying	Coleman's	committee	130:21
77:10	180:15	9:25 11:13	132:16 133:2
Clarke	colleagues	12:8 15:24	141:25
114:21 115:2	87:23	26:17 48:10	142:3,5
123:7,12,22	college	67:2 110:4,	146:8,20
221:19,23,24	125:17	5,7 120:16,	149:20
Clause	203:10,22	20 135:19	172:19
139:16	color	136:4 165:8	173:4,11
clear	144:21,23	167:11	174:2 193:9
51:1 56:5	combination	178:20	compactness
73:20 74:3	129:14	200:21	157:19
119:8 122:23	191:10	204:22 213:3 217:24	186:20
	T > T • T O	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	193:13,14,18

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 242 of 283 Randy Hinaman

companies	165:12	concern	200:18,25
21:16 114:11	complicated	189:4	206:3,12
company	161:15	concerned	224:2,23
20:21,23	complied	184:8,9	227:15,20
21:5 22:15	138:12	concerns/	congressional
23:2,3 52:23	143:10	discussion	23:21 26:10,
compare	145:23	58:15	12,13,15
219:13	150:13	concert	27:6,10,13
comparing	151:25	31:17	29:22 30:1,
205:7,12	complies		7,11,12
· ·	140:12	concluded	37:22 38:11
comparison	140:12	142:19	39:6 40:9
165:1 205:9	143:14	conditions	42:19 43:6
compelled		108:17	44:6 45:18
200:3	144:4,8,14 147:1 155:9	conducted	48:12,16,17,
compelling		168:11	24 49:19
165:10	comply	confident	51:6,12,16
compensated	99:9 112:22	119:5,7,8	52:2,5 54:11
17:10 18:1	113:7 139:15	confirm	56:25 57:14
compensation	140:16 141:1	92:9 117:10	58:13 59:18,
55:2 56:3	144:25 148:4	144:4	25 60:9,12,
57:23	150:20 152:3	confirming	24 61:6,19
compilation	158:4 164:24	41:21	63:16 66:5,
192:13	178:19	conflict	24 67:20,24
compilations	193:20 194:2	72:7 149:14,	68:5,15,16
191:4	component	22 152:20	69:2 75:3
complaint	171:16	155:20	80:25 81:9,
202:1 221:9	comported	157:25	25 83:16,20,
complaints	189:2	165:14,19	23,24 85:19,
16:1	composed	166:5,11,16,	21 86:9,15
	146:7	18 167:2	87:13,15,20
complete	comprehensibl	conflicts	88:11 90:3
50:15 61:7 104:14	е	86:25 165:23	91:13 92:25
113:18,21	172:20	166:4	93:4,15,19,
· ·	computer	confused	23,24 94:6,
completed	76:11,19,22	209:19	9,25 95:5,
19:12 60:24	77:12 78:1,	confusion	10,16 99:21
61:5 102:2,	10 79:10	90:21	100:6,9
19,24 104:12	82:13 98:1		105:17
105:8,14	101:11,14	congress 21:12 24:18	106:10,13,18
106:11	227:5		107:12
107:11	computers	40:10 43:14,	110:19 111:3
110:13	33:12 76:17	17 56:18	119:23 120:2
completely	78:12 80:4	58:16 59:7	121:2,7
60:22 72:5	concentration	64:6 65:8,14	123:3 128:1
214:3	32:8,20	66:21 67:7 80:11 84:7	132:3 134:12
compliance	conceptually		136:8 137:6,
140:23	113:14	103:6 107:16 120:6	15,22
143:23 152:9		120:0	139:11,24
			·

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 243 of 283 Randy Hinaman

142:2	125:5,15,21	140:19	consultant
145:21,23	126:5,7	147:8,16	21:8 24:1
147:12,17	127:4	148:13 153:9	consultation
148:19	128:15,22	155:5 161:6,	196:2
150:21	129:1,20,21	13,20 162:2,	
151:18	130:3 131:4,	14 163:17	consulting
155:17	8,16 132:12	164:3,7	20:19 21:9
	133:14	-	58:6
158:13		167:20	contained
160:14,15,22	134:10,22	174:17,20	37:12
167:18,21,25	180:25 181:4	176:11,14,	contend
169:3 170:8	182:24 183:9	17,22 177:6,	109:14
171:5,12	184:5 226:7,	8,13,18	content
172:13,25	16 227:20	204:15	15:3
174:16	congressmen	207:23	
176:19	23:22 58:21	212:8,9	Contests
179:2,11,23	80:18 92:11	216:11,16	150:6
180:3,23	105:18,23	considerable	contexts
181:6,24	121:11	58:14 97:4	202:11
184:11,17	122:11	161:25	contiguity
185:9,16	134:16	162:21 163:6	151:7,8,12
194:13,15			contiguous
-	congresspeopl	consideration	36:4 142:5
196:8,9,14,	e	100:23 165:9	
21 198:19	125:9	consideration	146:7,11
200:20	Congresswoman	s	147:25 174:3
202:7,17	43:8 45:7,25	144:21 146:2	contingent
204:9 206:10	69:5 101:1	160:4 187:5	56:4
209:8 218:14	103:12	considered	continuation
222:25	122:16	30:4 35:22	28:3
223:17 224:9	132:13	107:1	continue
225:18	210:10,12,	167:12,17	118:23 159:7
congressman	16,22 216:12	210:6 217:10	continued
22:21 23:25	217:12 218:8		I .
24:19 27:20		225:9,11	53:20
28:8,16	219:2 226:6,	226:23	continuing
29:15 30:21	18,23	considering	39:23
31:16 36:17	connected	132:24 133:4	continuity
	200:10	163:23 212:6	148:20
43:24 68:24	connecting	consistently	continuously
69:8,22,25	151:17	161:24	162:12
70:5,17	connects	constituents	contract
72:22,24	151:14	101:6	43:16,19,21
94:19			' '
104:17,18	consensus	Constitution	52:17,19,22
111:5,6	60:4 75:2,3	139:17 141:3	54:3,5,7,22
113:25	consider	147:6	55:3,6,8,18,
116:11 117:3	37:6,18	constrained	20 56:8 62:2
118:5	48:12,14,19	199:15	113:4
119:18,19	49:18 96:23,	consult	contracted
121:9 122:15	24 105:6	21:10 41:3	53:1,13
123:20,25	106:24 107:3		136:25
123.20,23			
	1	I	I

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 244 of 283 Randy Hinaman

control	163:2,3,13,	105:2 106:1	24 195:13
28:17	17,19,24	110:16,17	196:2
controlled	164:3,8,14,	118:6 123:6	198:21,23
27:19	19 211:22	139:12,13	199:12
conversation	212:19	140:3 147:15	202:18 203:1
108:21 120:4	222:4,18	150:23	204:22,25
143:14	cores	151:2,3	209:21
	39:15 93:25	160:24	counsel's
conversations	157:23	165:16,17	202:23
58:12	157:23	171:6 172:3	
104:16,17		174:25 175:1	counties
108:24	159:1,19		32:10 42:4,7
109:2,3	164:17	183:10,11,17	44:18 64:18
122:10	178:25	194:13	72:17 73:22
128:15,24	192:21	195:7,8	75:8 89:22
130:5 141:13	212:13	196:16	90:21,25
142:11 183:8	222:9,18	198:18 199:4	96:1 102:10
convinced	223:10	206:11,19,20	114:19
131:5,11	Cornell	207:1,2,3	146:11
cooperate	19:13,18	210:8,14	153:7,22
133:25	corner	211:20,21	154:18,21,25
coordination	160:13	216:13,14	157:5,15,21
	correct	217:22 218:2	158:1,12
68:12	13:2 16:21,	219:3,24	159:2,13
Coosa	22 18:17,18	220:11,24	161:4,9,20
124:12	22:9 26:4	221:2,22,23	162:6 163:20
126:19,21	30:9,13	222:5,6,15,	164:2 165:25
130:16	36:12,19	16 223:20,	178:14 179:2
copies	37:23 38:11,	21,24	183:20,24
14:8 110:24	22 39:4,5,14	225:10,13	206:22,25
169:10		226:1,21,25	208:4 213:10
сору	40:16 54:21	correctly	216:9 220:12
14:10,18	57:6 58:7	111:20	221:14
21:25 22:3,9	61:9,16	188:13	counting
26:4 54:22	63:21 65:16	191:18	12:20 147:20
92:21,25	67:8,22	correspond	
93:15,19	69:14 75:16,	120:12	county
102:21	17 76:3,4,9,	corresponding	33:19,21
120:18	22,25 78:2,	183:4 195:2	40:25 44:21
135:18 136:6	10,11 80:5,6		56:19 64:9,
169:6,8,10	81:6 82:6,20	215:16	16 65:9 66:7
201:5 208:17	85:21,22,23,	counsel	71:23 72:8
221:10	24 91:4	9:6 99:13,14	73:6 90:15,
core	93:4,18,24	106:21 139:8	20 91:1,7,23
159:9,11,20,	94:8,11,15	140:8 141:13	92:12,13
25 160:3	95:19,20	142:11,18	93:6,16
161:2,3,8,	96:5,6 98:16	143:7,8,14	94:12,21
13,14,21	99:23	149:3 164:11	95:2,5,8,10
162:2,4,7,	100:10,11,	168:22	96:4,5,8,9,
14,16,24	16,18,19	169:5,7,23,	24 97:10,13,
17,10,24			
	I	I	I

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 245 of 283 Randy Hinaman

	_	ı	ı
19,21 100:25	couple	crazy	24 42:13,16
103:13 112:1	46:14 48:13	63:8 146:15	48:22 49:6,
114:21 115:2	62:24 63:13	create	11,14,16
117:19	79:16 127:13	31:12 50:12,	56:9,14,16
123:8,12,22	129:25 133:8	20 142:1	57:1,4,15
124:3,4,16	145:18	172:12	60:19 64:8,
125:13	180:10,17	176:18 177:9	10,16,23
126:10,15,21	188:12	178:5,18	67:11,12,16
127:10,24	223:12	202:9 209:7	68:7,9 71:9,
129:13	course	created	17 74:9,16,
130:10	11:16 48:25	30:11 53:22	22 75:25
132:2,5,9,	87:22 105:20	96:21	76:3,6,11,14
17,19	123:8 174:13	creating	77:24 82:10
146:14,17,	205:3 214:8	50:8 178:2	83:10 84:5,9
23,25 152:21	215:3 223:11	183:9	97:20 99:20,
158:8 159:13	court		24 101:4,6
161:16 162:7	9:1,15 11:1,	criteria	102:3,24
170:11,14	16 13:13	41:4 137:8,	106:7,8
171:17		10 138:22	129:24 133:3
172:21,22	24:3 26:11	144:17,21,25	157:9 160:4
174:10,15	31:11,25	165:5,9,14	195:6,9
181:8 182:1,	79:1 112:21	166:24	202:8,9,20
25 183:3	113:15	167:13,15	202:0,3,20
184:7	131:5,12,16,	198:18	207:2 213:2,
185:22,24	19,22 199:6,	crystal	17
186:23	13 200:3	113:1	
	201:22 203:3	Cullman	date
188:15 191:3	210:2 219:17	162:17	9:4,16 18:8
193:16	220:5,22,25	current	22:11 25:15
204:7,8,9,	221:5	16:6 23:11	58:11 61:12
10,17 205:3,	court's	159:13,14	dated
6,10,16,21	202:12	cutoff	135:21
206:1,4	court-	138:21	Dave's
207:19	approved		213:23,24
208:9,16	199:14	cycle	214:16
211:14,24,25	court-ordered	196:11,19	Davin
212:1,2,3,19	199:15 200:4	Cyrus	10:17
213:4,7,8	220:7	226:20	Davis
214:2,4,5,	courts		9:22 15:6
23,25 215:4	113:13	D	50:22 169:22
216:19	202:10		170:23,25
217:13,14		Dallas	171:7 178:7
218:6 219:3,	cover	117:19 155:3	day
11,21 220:2,	47:19	226:24	79:19 180:19
8,21 221:20	covered	227:10	
225:17,24	108:25	data	days
226:8,14,17,	109:14 113:3	32:22,24	79:13
18,25 227:8,	148:1	33:15,18	DC
10,22	COVID	39:3,4 41:9,	59:14,16
	55:10 63:7	JJ.J, ± ±1.J,	67:7,24

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 246 of 283 Randy Hinaman

68:14 69:14,	45:23 46:2	details	different
16,18 73:17	58:13 201:1	120:8	33:3 68:19
deal	222:25	determination	77:14 80:15
157:25	223:18 224:9	35:18 142:23	94:2 95:22
dealing	democrat	143:6,13	96:1 103:15,
105:22	151:2	164:4,6	19 111:19,22
December	democratic	determine	127:13
9:7,16	28:13 39:24	35:13 144:8	128:18
decent	democrats	167:3	152:17,23
78:25	27:19	determined	154:5 155:12
decide	demographic	64:5	156:18,19
132:4	38:17	determining	175:16 191:4
decided	demographics	159:25	210:7 220:14
24:20 85:3	35:19	Deuel	differential
86:2 127:14	demonstration	10:15	202:8,16
129:1 131:17	202:8,16	developed	203:4
decision	Dental	26:14	difficult
199:13	22:23	deviation	70:8 227:17
decisions	Department	36:2 73:14	diluting
223:18	201:6	84:19 86:11	140:25
declaration	depend	102:9,16	142:25
25:12 26:4	113:15	128:18	dinner
declined	depending	132:11	109:4
68:18,21	124:7 154:6	133:13 134:8	direct
deemed	223:7	137:16,19	198:14
113:15	depends	138:1,3,12,	directly
	149:14	16,20 139:1	31:7 68:25
deep 191:22	deposed	140:2 182:18	219:8
Defendant	12:16	183:6	director
170:11	deposition	188:11,17	20:5 134:23
deferred	9:12 13:1	189:10,13,17	disallowed
224:8	14:10 15:5	190:8,9	178:25
defined	16:17,20	194:4 197:24	disclosing
156:25	17:4,8 22:4,	198:16,20	15:3
	5 45:14	199:3,16,18	discriminated
definition 32:11 153:1,	181:21 222:8	200:4,8,23	143:4
32:11 153:1,	229:3,6	201:2 202:10,19	discriminatin
159:22	described	202:10,19	g
definitive	87:1	210:13	141:10
153:17	describes	210:13	discriminatio
	189:9	216:10 223:9	n
delay 55:11	description	225:10,12,15	167:3
	26:20	difference	discuss
delayed 68:7	detail	47:14 210:19	50:7 70:20
	125:18	213:9 214:5	71:5 84:1,17
delegation	detailed	differences	85:14 86:14,
24:20 26:15 30:23 31:17	218:18,24	84:8 169:23	21 106:17
30:23 31:1/	210,10,21	04.0 109.23	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 247 of 283 Randy Hinaman

116.24 117 2	122.15 22	11 114 0 6	177.10
116:24 117:2	122:15,22	11 114:2,6	177:10
118:5 119:15	123:2,19,25	115:6,7,8,9,	178:2,5,19
122:17	124:25	11,17,21	179:2 183:2,
131:6,17	125:18 126:5	116:1,12,18,	21,23 184:9,
178:1 217:13	127:14 128:2	20 117:7,11,	11 185:7,9,
discussed	134:10,15,18	21 118:2,3,	25 186:1,3,
11:8 17:5,21	143:9	10,24 119:13	14,15,16
45:13 70:23	disputes	122:21	188:14,18,
71:10 73:17	72:14	123:9,20,22	20,22 189:21
84:10 103:6	distinction	124:1,4,21	192:19,22
106:16	143:3 180:8	125:9,19	193:4,6
115:24	district	126:1,7	194:5,10,17,
116:10	9:15,16	127:5,8	19 195:10
119:19	26:11 28:17	128:18,23	196:5,25
121:3,6,12	29:14,23	130:4,19,22	198:22
122:10	30:1,2,12,17	132:5,6,16	204:9,14
134:14	31:13 32:2,	134:23,25	206:2
140:9,20	3,5,15,16	135:9	207:11,13
143:25	33:23 34:11	137:15,24	209:8 210:7,
144:12 148:2	35:12,23	138:2,8	12,16
156:17	36:5,12,15,	142:2,3,7	211:20,22
164:7,10	21,23,25	144:19	212:18,20
165:19	37:1,17	145:20	213:4,7,10
166:12,25	41:17,22,23	146:13	214:3,4,15,
167:13 172:2	42:19,20	150:11,17	18,19,24
191:15	43:6,7,11	155:17 156:2	215:8,9
218:7,10	44:6,14,23	157:15	220:1,2
222:8 223:13	45:2,8,9,12,	158:11,12	223:7
discussing	18,20 46:1,7	159:4,10,11,	224:15,18,19
125:16	48:15,20,23	14,19,21	225:18
180:25	49:6,8,9,12	160:1 161:1,	227:6,18
discussion	50:3,9,13,20	2,3,11,12,	228:3
21:23 45:6	56:23,24	13,14,21,24	District's
98:8 120:8	59:10 70:24	162:1,3,4,8,	130:21
127:9 128:9	71:3 72:10,	12,13,15,16,	districting
129:9 131:9,	20,22,24	19,21 163:1,	144:20,24
22 133:7	73:7 85:18	5,9,11,13,	216:6
135:10	94:20,25	16,17,19	districts
164:12	95:3,4,9,17,	164:1,3,14,	29:13 30:5
168:25	24 96:3,10,	22 165:25	37:7,12,19
177:25	14,15,24	166:15 168:7	39:16 40:18,
218:11,16,25	97:14,15,16,	170:8,13,15,	24 42:8
discussions	21 100:4	22,25 171:9,	48:15 49:4,
15:4 61:22	101:2	12 172:1,5,	19,25 50:5
68:12 74:21,	103:20,23	9,12,14,18	53:19 54:20
24 86:24	103:20,23	173:1,24	58:23 60:1,7
97:24 108:14	104:3,22	174:2,8,18,	63:19,24
116:23	112:6,7,10,	21 175:2,3,	64:17,25
110:43	112.0,7,10,	4,13 176:18	01.17,25

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 248 of 283 Randy Hinaman

66:11,17	divisible	21,23 103:2,	drawing
67:21 73:23	137:25	10 104:12	24:14 26:9
75:7 80:20	Dixon	105:8,13	27:6,16
82:1,25	30:24	106:8,9	28:11 29:22
85:16,17		107:11 108:2	31:13 33:1,
89:7 94:1,	document	109:22	7,16,23,25
13,17 95:22	22:6 25:19		35:23 37:6,
98:2,3,6	135:25 136:2	drafted	_
	160:11,13,18	27:14 30:15,	18 38:15,23,
99:6,7,21	169:16,18	17 136:7	24 40:1,9
101:7 102:14	179:20,23,25	drafting	41:4,7,20
103:19	201:20	26:8,21	42:13 45:17
104:23	documents	30:25 31:7	48:14,23
107:15,18	14:18 15:21	42:18 43:5	49:18 51:16
111:25	213:12	44:5 93:23	52:1 53:11,
112:2,3,4	doing	111:3	19,22 58:8,
117:15 128:1	13:14 21:14	drafts	10 60:9,23
137:22,23	61:8 62:4,	106:5,13,15	61:1,19
138:13,17	13,18 65:7	110:18,25	62:18 64:3,
139:10	74:14 78:24	dramatically	20 65:3,12
140:22	80:3 82:15	44:22	66:3,5,23
143:17	87:22 91:24	draw	67:4 77:2
145:12,16,23	92:1,2 97:22	23:23 24:2,	80:20,21
146:3,6,19	101:18 132:1	17 25:2	81:23,25
147:24,25	140:10	27:7,15	83:15 84:2
149:19 152:6	145:17 166:8	28:19 30:5,	87:20 88:25
157:7,23	187:18	8,20 32:2	94:5 99:21
158:10,23	209:18,24	33:9,13	100:16
159:2,3	216:22	36:11,20,22,	101:7,23,25
160:3,15,23	Donna	25 37:1,4,9	105:25 123:3
164:8,18,20	101:16	39:19 43:15,	134:11
165:7,12	107:23	23 47:5	136:5,25
167:24	Dorman	49:3,24 50:2	137:6 147:9,
176:12,15	9:24 12:7	52:8 54:11	17 148:14
178:25	14:24 15:6	62:3 73:21	149:6 155:8
183:25	88:23 99:14	80:8 81:19	156:10 166:5
190:11	107:22	87:9,15	167:17,21
192:21	121:14	114:2	170:15
193:10	136:11 139:8	116:11,25	172:25
194:23	177:20	121:1 137:1	173:21,24
198:19,22	197:23	168:19	174:16,18,21
202:7,17	198:25 199:2	170:13 172:8	175:13
207:6,8,16		177:22	176:12,15,19
209:7 210:23	213:2	196:6,8,18,	187:5
211:10	Dothan	24 208:9	194:16,22
212:13	161:16	210:23	195:6 196:14
214:17	draft	210:23	207:19 214:7
219:23 220:9	26:24 27:1	211:10	215:19
222:10,11,12	29:11 54:4	223:19	219:17 220:6
223:10	102:2,5,19,		222:22

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 249 of 283 Randy Hinaman

	December	<u> </u>	
225:11	126:20	either	214:8
drawn	130:24	17:1 48:9	219:14,22
24:24 27:24	134:14	51:11 88:22	220:9,15
29:10,20	150:18	96:8 123:16	222:3,22
39:21 46:23	152:12	125:18	end
47:1 51:3	154:15	178:24	15:1 51:17
52:3 110:1	163:20 179:6	192:25	55:12,17
120:17,22	180:8 212:6	elect	57:2,4,11
121:7 140:23	222:8	142:9 210:24	60:17 64:23
142:3 144:19	early	211:11	66:13 79:18,
191:12	67:6 68:1	elected	19 82:18
199:17 216:1	177:12	21:13 214:19	85:2 96:19
dream	earnest	227:17	102:20
20:3	57:18,19	electing	119:21
drew	58:9	168:8	124:18,24
23:19,20	earth	election	125:2 127:9
25:3 27:2,21	19:23	35:9 41:6	128:16,21
34:6,16	eastern	42:2,3 62:23	145:14
35:12 37:23	205:16	75:18 112:14	186:2,15
38:1,10		176:8	214:16
39:24 40:3,6	Ebenstein		218:10
49:19 77:25	10:19,20	elections	endeavor
84:14 91:6,	economic	27:10	24:23
9,13 95:11	153:4 154:7	electorally	ended
160:23	157:2	41:23	73:4 207:12
172:1,4	education	Eli	214:7,20,24
183:16 187:3	19:11 52:6	9:20 201:4	229:6
194:11,15	54:12 56:18	203:8 213:12	ends
196:15 197:1	65:5,7,13	eliminate	229:2
199:5 214:10	139:10	97:11 132:17	Enfinger
221:4,13,14,	educational	eliminated	28:20 29:7,8
18 222:2,4,	20:11 35:5	186:19	ensure
23	176:2	Elizabeth	173:24
due	effect	10:24	
165:8	140:25	Elmore	entails
duly	142:24 158:6	124:9 161:18	168:3
11:4	220:17	214:25	entire
Dutton	effective	email	45:23 63:3
203:25	64:14 65:1	19:2,6 88:4,	155:15
203.23	effort	7 120:12	Entirely
	24:16 73:23	employees	78:14
E	efforts	21:4	envision
	24:6	employment	71:1,3
E-S-R-I	eight	35:1 175:23	equal
77:20	13:2 45:14	enacted	127:22
earlier	80:12 81:14,	51:7 110:15	139:16,23
114:10	17,18	196:10,16	165:3 202:12
115:24		205:15 206:7	

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 250 of 283 Randy Hinaman

		•	
equality	72:4 73:12	exceedingly	exists
165:11,20	74:11 84:8	227:17	145:3
220:24	102:6,12,17	exchange	expand
equalize	114:5 207:4,	104:5	47:9
137:15	7,10 208:2	excited	expect
equalized	et al	125:1	17:25 112:24
204:12	9:13,14	excuse	experience
equally	ethnic	20:10 26:12	23:17 26:8,
150:22,25	157:1,8	48:12 77:7	21 27:5
equitable	Etowah	122:16	expert
185:8	162:20	156:23 177:7	168:5 171:15
errors	214:12	183:13 224:6	explain
202:15	evaluations	exhibit	21:7 44:24
Escambia	185:12	14:7,13,15	45:16 49:2
72:25 104:21	Evan	21:19,24	148:25 149:5
111:6	9:13	25:6,9,10	explored
123:17,18	eventually	92:17,20	73:19
124:15,19	91:22	93:10,14,18	extending
158:8 181:1	everybody	110:15,16	186:22
183:1 184:7	41:2 59:15	135:14,18	extends
205:15,17	80:15 85:4	147:22	172:5 212:3
206:4	134:22	160:7,11	227:22
ESRI	152:22 154:8	167:10,16	extension
77:6,15,17,	everyone	179:16,19	172:9 212:18
22 78:3	14:9 229:1	189:9 201:15	extensively
essentially	evidence	203:12,15	189:16
28:3 38:16	145:2,3	208:21,23	extent
123:4 182:24	196:4	219:16	14:9 44:2
191:11	exact	Exhibits	54:13 74:7
192:11	45:3 47:2	14:3 213:19	86:25 108:4
193:10	212:24	exist	146:16
established	215:23	23:12 110:23	148:18
153:13	exactly	153:15	152:8,18
establishing	37:3 57:12	217:19,20	196:22
165:7	85:13 109:8	existed	extraneous
estimate	132:3,25	196:4 212:22	181:21
72:11 102:4	169:24 172:7	217:16	
111:14 113:9	214:1	existence	F
estimated	examination	187:15	
72:2 206:17,	9:8 11:18	existing	face
21	197:20	39:3,15	132:8
estimates	198:14 212:6	93:25 100:3 157:23	facilities
56:19 57:5	examined	157:23	104:1 114:11
59:9 60:18,	11:4	150:23	fact
21 63:17,22	examples	25 211:21,22	68:6 137:5
64:25 66:7,	206:6	212:12	171:8 224:5
12,13 70:25		2 - 2 • - 2	226:15 228:2
	I	I	I

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 251 of 283 Randy Hinaman

factor	185:16,23	file	firm
35:22,24,25	186:25	24:21 78:6	144:3,10
104:10,11	187:3,6	179:22	first
150:22	190:25	filed	24:16,23
factors	191:3,11	9:15 24:22	27:4 29:14
34:20	192:9	25:15 169:7	30:12 51:15
100:13,21	Faulks	201:21	67:10 91:6
132:24 133:3	10:21	filling	93:17 102:2
147:8,16	Favorite	97:23	106:6 129:5,
154:6	176:4	final	16 148:25
167:16,20	feature	84:16 85:2,	150:4 182:1
175:16 187:1	89:11	3,4 128:20	184:4 188:6
facts	features	131:1 134:21	217:23,25
169:6,17,18	91:20	177:11	218:1 219:25
failed	federal	206:25	fit
24:17	9:4 21:11,16	finalized	32:11 96:19
fair	24:3 26:11	109:25	five
13:11,25	36:10 201:22	217:20	15:15 18:20
17:24 25:4	203:3	finally	68:17 69:3
38:25 39:1,	feedback	127:14 130:3	79:13 85:1
25 40:2	29:22 87:8	163:16	154:5 165:19
48:13 51:9	89:14 90:1,	financial	166:11
53:2,3,7,8	4,16 92:5	94:23	flavor
63:25 80:22,	103:10	find	207:4
23 119:9	105:12	47:1 112:22	flawed
154:1,2	106:10	203:8 215:11	202:20
183:19	107:25	fine	flew
197:11,12	116:11 121:5	68:10 78:24	68:14
205:8	187:21,24	123:17	flip
fairness	192:7	126:21	25:21 146:5
184:9	feel	130:15	flipping
fall	66:10 67:16	148:12	161:5,12
61:15	102:13 107:6	169:14	163:1,16
familiar	178:15	finger	floor
27:23 111:17	227:23,25	170:9,13	17:1 48:9
141:4,6,15	felt	171:16,23	120:4
154:14	104:1 156:9	172:5,8	184:19,20
181:4,10	193:19 194:1	174:9,14	188:6 191:19
205:2 213:24	199:15 200:3	186:23	192:3,5
far	fewest	finish	224:14,21,22
20:7 70:17	157:20	49:3 78:22	Florence
147:18,19	field	79:1 81:22	91:24
148:22	20:5	197:8	fluid
fast-growing	fifth	finished	154:1
162:6	158:21	98:12 225:7	flying
Faulkner	fighting	Finishing	67:23
181:6,12,14	125:9	98:14	
182:20			

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 252 of 283 Randy Hinaman

focus	forma	79:25 84:22	226:16
29:13	120:8	105:24	gather
focused	formal	122:24 123:1	32:21 90:11
225:22	20:25	196:12	gave
Focusing	formally	Franklin	22:4 82:11
83:24	87:25	220:21	135:1,5,9
folks	formed	frankly	199:23
98:1,2 127:8	23:6	218:12 226:2	210:17 225:1
159:6,7	formulating	frequently	227:6
171:22,24	60:3	204:13	gears
197:10 206:8	forth	Friday	210:9
210:18	49:7 54:15	15:1 61:10,	general
follow	55:11 59:11	12 169:8	34:6 38:14
100:7 109:19	81:15 94:24	front	39:2 154:24
137:1,5	114:12	21:10 33:16	general's
202:23	129:13	52:20	17:15,17,20
follow-up	211:15	full	18:3
130:25	223:14	60:21 63:2	generally
follow-ups	forward	64:17 180:17	38:23 46:6
81:15	50:6 59:25	full-time	73:16
followed	75:1	18:13	geographic
15:8 193:12	found	fully	153:4 157:2
208:15	34:7 202:11	56:1 73:3	geographical
following	206:11,14	fun	128:6 186:20
9:9 110:4	226:15	59:1	geographicall
follows	four	function	У
11:5	15:15 23:19	32:19	97:3,18
football	41:11 46:13,	further-away	124:20 128:8
football 154:8 176:4	25 52:1,4,8	further-away 132:18	130:20
	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14	_	130:20 132:7,22
154:8 176:4	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21	132:18	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3,	132:18 furtherance	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13,	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force 115:22	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11 future	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force 115:22 foregoing	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3 84:25 116:18	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11 future 113:2	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8 geography
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force 115:22 foregoing 9:5 form 16:18 33:5	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3 84:25 116:18 136:5,25	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11 future	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8 geography 32:19 35:19
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force 115:22 foregoing 9:5 form 16:18 33:5 37:15 50:22	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3 84:25 116:18	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11 future 113:2	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8 geography 32:19 35:19 36:2 99:25
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force 115:22 foregoing 9:5 form 16:18 33:5 37:15 50:22 138:23	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3 84:25 116:18 136:5,25 154:4 168:19	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11 future 113:2	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8 geography 32:19 35:19 36:2 99:25 128:11,12
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force 115:22 foregoing 9:5 form 16:18 33:5 37:15 50:22 138:23 146:13	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3 84:25 116:18 136:5,25 154:4 168:19 181:17	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11 future 113:2 G gain	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8 geography 32:19 35:19 36:2 99:25 128:11,12 146:2,8
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force 115:22 foregoing 9:5 form 16:18 33:5 37:15 50:22 138:23 146:13 168:24	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3 84:25 116:18 136:5,25 154:4 168:19 181:17 183:24	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11 future 113:2 G gain 40:19,20	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8 geography 32:19 35:19 36:2 99:25 128:11,12 146:2,8 153:6 159:12
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force 115:22 foregoing 9:5 form 16:18 33:5 37:15 50:22 138:23 146:13 168:24 170:23,24	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3 84:25 116:18 136:5,25 154:4 168:19 181:17 183:24 186:1,14	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11 future 113:2 G gain 40:19,20 72:24 95:3 210:18 212:14,15	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8 geography 32:19 35:19 36:2 99:25 128:11,12 146:2,8 153:6 159:12 202:6 223:8
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force 115:22 foregoing 9:5 form 16:18 33:5 37:15 50:22 138:23 146:13 168:24 170:23,24 178:7,12	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3 84:25 116:18 136:5,25 154:4 168:19 181:17 183:24 186:1,14 207:5	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11 future 113:2 G gain 40:19,20 72:24 95:3 210:18 212:14,15 224:3	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8 geography 32:19 35:19 36:2 99:25 128:11,12 146:2,8 153:6 159:12
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force 115:22 foregoing 9:5 form 16:18 33:5 37:15 50:22 138:23 146:13 168:24 170:23,24 178:7,12 187:8 195:19	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3 84:25 116:18 136:5,25 154:4 168:19 181:17 183:24 186:1,14 207:5 fourth	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11 future 113:2 G gain 40:19,20 72:24 95:3 210:18 212:14,15 224:3 gaining	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8 geography 32:19 35:19 36:2 99:25 128:11,12 146:2,8 153:6 159:12 202:6 223:8 gerrymandered
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force 115:22 foregoing 9:5 form 16:18 33:5 37:15 50:22 138:23 146:13 168:24 170:23,24 178:7,12 187:8 195:19 198:1,10	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3 84:25 116:18 136:5,25 154:4 168:19 181:17 183:24 186:1,14 207:5 fourth 157:12	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11 future 113:2 G gain 40:19,20 72:24 95:3 210:18 212:14,15 224:3 gaining 172:22 184:6	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8 geography 32:19 35:19 36:2 99:25 128:11,12 146:2,8 153:6 159:12 202:6 223:8 gerrymandered 170:9,22
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force 115:22 foregoing 9:5 form 16:18 33:5 37:15 50:22 138:23 146:13 168:24 170:23,24 178:7,12 187:8 195:19 198:1,10 202:21 210:4	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3 84:25 116:18 136:5,25 154:4 168:19 181:17 183:24 186:1,14 207:5 fourth 157:12 frame	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11 future 113:2 G gain 40:19,20 72:24 95:3 210:18 212:14,15 224:3 gaining 172:22 184:6 Gary	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8 geography 32:19 35:19 36:2 99:25 128:11,12 146:2,8 153:6 159:12 202:6 223:8 gerrymandered 170:9,22 171:13
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force 115:22 foregoing 9:5 form 16:18 33:5 37:15 50:22 138:23 146:13 168:24 170:23,24 178:7,12 187:8 195:19 198:1,10	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3 84:25 116:18 136:5,25 154:4 168:19 181:17 183:24 186:1,14 207:5 fourth 157:12 frame 56:6 62:9	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11 future 113:2 G gain 40:19,20 72:24 95:3 210:18 212:14,15 224:3 gaining 172:22 184:6	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8 geography 32:19 35:19 36:2 99:25 128:11,12 146:2,8 153:6 159:12 202:6 223:8 gerrymandered 170:9,22 171:13 172:16
154:8 176:4 footprint 130:21 Force 115:22 foregoing 9:5 form 16:18 33:5 37:15 50:22 138:23 146:13 168:24 170:23,24 178:7,12 187:8 195:19 198:1,10 202:21 210:4	25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3 84:25 116:18 136:5,25 154:4 168:19 181:17 183:24 186:1,14 207:5 fourth 157:12 frame 56:6 62:9 67:1,5 74:19	132:18 furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11 future 113:2 G gain 40:19,20 72:24 95:3 210:18 212:14,15 224:3 gaining 172:22 184:6 Gary	130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8 geography 32:19 35:19 36:2 99:25 128:11,12 146:2,8 153:6 159:12 202:6 223:8 gerrymandered 170:9,22 171:13 172:16

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 253 of 283 Randy Hinaman

get all	118:4 121:15	greater	135:19
216:19	124:25 127:7	150:1 199:18	136:3,7,23,
getting	129:3 130:2	Greene	24 137:6
124:4 126:14	131:5,12,16,	155:2	151:16
Gingles	19 134:6	grew	167:12
142:4	147:6 155:13	227:9,11	178:20 179:3
	164:2 168:25	•	188:12
give	176:23 184:6	group	193:12,21
27:22 40:25	191:6 196:20	53:9,13	194:2 196:3
41:24 88:2	197:18	133:10	206:15
104:14 117:5	198:3,13	144:22,24	208:14
165:8 200:22	202:23	154:18	223:3,9
201:1	205:20 208:6	grow	224:3 225:14
given	209:6	158:13	226:12
76:11,14	213:11,15	growing	220.12
81:25 87:14	215:8,9,23	163:4	
165:10 167:5	216:2,12	guess	H
223:3	219:20	15:15 17:22	7
giving	good	21:2 24:16	Hale
130:7	10:19,21,23	25:3 31:14	155:3 210:5
goal	11:19,20	40:2 45:2,5	hand
29:25 36:20,	34:9 38:6	50:17 56:20	25:9 93:13
24 37:1	43:1 81:4	61:2,4 64:8	handed
42:18 43:5	124:5 129:14	68:20 74:2	44:19 136:12
44:5 59:14	133:10 145:3	84:16 86:5	handing
92:10 172:19	184:3 185:5	101:20	14:6 92:20
216:5 224:11	186:19	113:14	135:17
goes	192:10,12	118:15,17	160:10
70:17 132:17	197:21,22	129:17	happen
140:1 171:16	207:17	141:23	38:3,5 56:10
212:18	214:14	142:4,19	115:5
going	227:18	153:22 155:4	224:24,25
25:9 28:25		161:15 166:1	happened
31:4 41:22	Goodlatte 22:22	181:18	38:18 109:23
45:2,5 48:11		185:22 188:5	113:23 207:5
49:5,6 50:6	government	191:21	224:12
51:5 55:10	19:20,22	198:15 210:5	happening
57:10 58:23	governments	221:2 222:10	87:3 88:13,
59:1 60:7,22	158:14	228:25	16 91:21
61:17 62:3	governor	guessing	118:1
65:18 68:6	41:16 225:5	62:11	happy
72:3,4,20,21	grabbing	guideline	41:2 116:21
73:18 74:13	170:10	39:16 90:24	121:19,22,25
82:3,15	graduate	223:1	136:20 219:2
88:19 89:10	19:16,25	guidelines	
93:13 102:17	granular	15:24,25	hard
105:15	125:17	26:16 67:2	31:4 47:8,9
108:3,12	grass	68:1 74:20	97:5,10
114:6,23	211:8	99:9 120:23	202:5 221:1
	1	I	I

		09, 2021	
Hare	help	Holmes	13 81:7
9:20 201:7,	13:19 92:15	180:23	147:14
10,13 203:11	175:14	182:19,21	184:20 186:8
208:20	179:14	183:14	188:5 224:22
213:15	helped	184:13,17,23	Houston
Harris	24:2,22	190:23 191:1	161:16
104:19	helpful	192:11	hungry
134:23	66:19 125:6	home	121:21
Hatcher	Henry	117:14,17,	Hunt
16:15 190:15	10:13	18,19 130:12	199:7 200:1
191:16,22,25	high	150:15 206:1	221:5
193:2,3,20	32:7	227:8	Huntsville
Hatcher's		Homewood	129:13
180:16	higher	116:17,18	
hazard	195:17 202:6	133:7,8	hypothetical
45:2	highest	185:21,25	113:22
	19:11	186:13	220:25
HB-1	highlighted	honest	
51:8 196:9	201:8,25	33:14 45:21	I
217:21 219:14	203:23,24	91:10 151:12	
	204:2,3,5	honestly	idea
head	highlighting	17:22	38:3 61:2
34:25 47:9	202:4	hooked	62:5 91:8
53:6	Hinaman		131:21
heads	9:7,12 11:3,	146:12	ideal
101:17	22,23 20:17,	hope	45:9 73:24
healthy	24 21:1,3	113:19	98:6 114:9
218:15,17	23:3 52:24	hoping	158:2 225:18
hear	66:1 122:9	55:9 134:2	identical
90:6 187:24	197:21	172:19	110:14 225:4
211:23	201:19	host	identificatio
heard	202:24	153:7,8,24	n
112:5 181:21	203:19 209:1	hour	14:4 21:20
213:25	213:14,22	13:23 17:18	25:7 92:18
218:19	221:11,12	65:18 121:15	93:11 135:15
hearing	228:8,25	197:7	160:8 179:17
90:12 187:14	229:3	hours	201:16
198:3 203:9,	hire	15:15,17	203:16
21 217:24	86:2	48:8 60:25	208:24
218:1,3	hired	61:18,19,24	213:20
hearings	28:23 38:19	62:1,4,10	identified
88:11 89:14	85:20 86:3	house	207:7
90:2,6,16	historical	47:18 52:5,	identify
91:12 92:6	157:3	15 54:10,12	157:9
182:3 187:22	history	64:15,17,21,	identities
211:14	30:13	22 65:11	157:3
held	hit	70:9 75:4	II
211:14	134:4 155:17	78:17 80:10,	137:10,14
			107,10714

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 255 of 283 Randy Hinaman

		•	
139:9,14	121:8 157:1	initial	121:1 200:23
140:10,15,22	223:23	102:2,5	201:2
143:10	Income	114:4 127:9	intact
144:18	35:3 175:25	130:24 166:9	89:16
147:5,17,21	incorporate	182:17	intending
148:6,7	184:13	187:12	196:23
151:4 152:6	increase	188:10 194:3	intent
156:20	211:19	211:13	32:2 141:10
157:13	incumbent	217:16	intents
158:21 165:6	172:11	224:17	79:15
167:13,16	222:24	initially	
imagine	incumbents	56:7 97:23	interacting 27:20
52:21 155:16	40:12 54:19	117:13	
171:21		123:16 124:7	interest
immunity	148:18	125:2 130:9,	35:13,16
11:15	149:17,21	13	39:7 54:15
impact	150:6,11 151:1 159:5	Injunction	89:18,21
67:4 91:12	178:25	169:17	97:14 129:3,
92:6 112:14	188:13	inordinate	11 152:7,15,
imply	189:19	179:1	17,24 153:2,
27:2,3	190:10	input	3,8,10,13,
importance	192:19 193:7	29:9 223:18,	18,20,23,25
150:1	194:4,6	24,25	154:5,13
	223:14	inside	155:6,10,12,
important 13:16 73:6	indicated	117:15,21	21,24 156:13,15,
136:19	202:8	146:17	17,22,24
		161:24	157:4,10
importantly 136:17	indicating 108:18	164:22	165:24
		171:22 227:6	interested
imported	individual	instance	51:25 68:19
78:3,6	42:4 43:24	34:19 39:12,	84:24
imposed	individually	17 59:21	114:10,13
31:11	52:22	111:17 167:2	115:22 130:1
in-person	influence	instances	interests
70:4,7	112:2,4,6,10	145:19	34:24 157:1
inaudible	206:3	instruct	165:11
214:9	info	108:16,23	175:21
include	75:22	100:16,23	interface
27:6 128:3,4	information	177:23	
133:5 157:4	32:21 33:6	202:22	29:6
included	41:13,24	instruction	interfaced
32:7 35:14	48:20 71:15,	109:19 167:5	28:23
109:6 221:13	19,20 72:1	177:15	interfere
includes	73:8 82:5,	197:3,4	215:3
96:4	19,23 83:4,8	-	internals
including	87:6 91:11	instructions	74:5
26:9 61:21	informed	31:24 86:8	interpreted
80:9 97:20	59:5	87:14 114:1	199:23
		116:10 117:5	
	I	I	I

	1 400 5	1	
interrupted	130:9,13,19,		50:4,19
191:14	21,22 132:2,	K	53:25 55:10,
interruption	5,8,9,17		13 57:9,12
42:21	146:18,23	keep	58:14 59:24
introduced	163:12,22	91:24 129:14	61:25 62:6
179:23 180:3	170:11,14	152:15,18,25	63:13,23
involve	171:16	155:25	71:1 74:11
108:14	172:21,22	157:20	77:19 80:11
	174:10 181:8	157:20	81:5 83:2
involved	185:22,24		84:10,25
19:8 24:14	186:22,23	159:3,7	86:2,6 87:3
62:7	191:3 209:9	209:12	91:1 94:4,16
irrelevant	214:5	210:12	95:2,7,14
62:4 72:10	221:20,25	keeping	96:12,18
issue	225:17,24	39:8 91:16,	99:2,6,8
156:3 184:10	1	17 129:6,10	101:21
188:19 211:1	226:7,14,17, 20 227:7	158:1 211:21	
issues		kept	103:22
126:3	Jim	44:6 89:16,	105:17,20
155:18,19	9:22,25 10:8	20 91:21	107:5 109:8,
193:22,23	11:13 15:6	115:20	11 113:19
iterations	198:6 199:21	164:21 166:8	114:23,24
	209:16	key	118:21,25
110:22	213:17	12:25 159:13	119:4 125:25
	job	162:19	129:23
J	13:14 20:3	kind	131:13
	78:25 143:3	73:25 74:1	136:20
j(i)	207:17	105:1 122:18	143:1,2
166:25	John	132:22	144:7
j(ii)	9:13		147:18,19
151:5	joint	knew	148:22
j(iii,)	169:6,16	58:17,22	149:21
152:6 156:21	judges	60:1 68:3	151:5,11
j(iv.)	36:10 38:5,6	73:3 74:12	153:17
157:13	Julie	114:23,24,25	154:21
	10:19	115:6 138:4	155:15 158:9
j(v) 158:21		184:22	159:18
	July	194:19	168:10
j(vi)	55:9,14	know	170:19 173:3
165:6	56:10 60:16	13:8,24	174:8 179:5
Jackson	June	26:23 27:3	180:11
127:10	22:4,9 25:15	28:15,19,23	181:11,13,
204:7,8,10	55:14 60:16,	29:25 30:4	15,19 187:2,
205:3,10,21	20	31:9,19	18,21 196:11
Jefferson	jurisprudence	33:14 37:5	198:2
96:5,8,12,	202:12	38:4 40:21	204:14,19
17,22 97:8,9		41:15,16	212:24
100:25		44:17 45:1,	217:9,11
115:14		7,11,13,22	219:1,4,6
116:15		48:19 49:6	221:9,15,16
			-

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 257 of 283

December 09, 2021			
227:8,25	Larry	leading	157:14
228:2	30:24	209:20,23	158:22 167:3
knowing	late	League	168:19
60:21 72:3	103:1	182:11	199:17
73:17 102:16	180:11,16	217:13,25	211:10
117:16 208:5	latest	218:6	219:22
knowledge	41:11	Leann	222:3,11
82:15 93:20	Lauderdale	9:1	224:7
165:18	91:23 92:4	leave	legislature's
166:10	104:16	96:9	223:22
169:15 183:6	127:11,16	leaves	length
186:24	128:17 156:2	221:21	55:6
189:13,14,16	205:6 215:4	Lee	letters
211:1,4	220:20	162:5,7	77:21 200:12
219:7	law	leeway	letting
known	10:25 170:12	199:18	136:20
153:15	225:4	left	level
210:14	lawsuit	20:4,8 66:1	19:11 21:11,
	12:22 16:2	215:6	16 35:5
L	17:5 24:21,	legacy	56:25 64:15
	23 25:12,17	207:2	83:3 138:16
labeled	38:2 111:18,	legal	166:1 176:2
213:13	25 135:20	99:12,14	202:10 203:6
Labor	160:12	106:21 139:8	215:21
79:19	179:21	140:8 141:13	225:17
lack	lawsuits	142:18	levels
171:23 172:4	19:9 111:19,	143:7,8,14	64:15
189:20	22,24 169:19	149:3 164:10	likes
Lake	lawyer	195:13 196:2	147:21
226:20	12:5,10,14 61:3 81:4	legislative	Limestone
Lali	141:6,17	11:15 23:21	163:4
10:11 228:10	143:1 171:14	25:16 47:2	limited
lands	189:1	86:4 139:10	157:1
157:6	lawyers	165:7 179:12	line
language	198:25 200:9	legislators	42:25 122:19
202:14	layman's	28:7 31:6	132:4 133:9
language-	141:24 168:6	120:1	137:9
minority	lead	legislature	147:21,22 148:6 150:5
144:22,23	24:9	16:8 24:17	151:5 215:2
large	leader	27:1,18 29:3	226:17,20
9:3 63:4	24:6	31:9 38:21 39:24 47:4	lined
97:4 162:20	leaders	48:5 50:12	105:18
210:8	26:25 28:13	52:6 53:16	lines
larger	leadership	59:22 75:13	34:6 100:4
80:8 206:7	51:23 53:18	86:14 110:10	124:22
208:4	54:10 86:1	113:12	152:21
	31.10 00.1		

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 258 of 283

204 2 6	122 14	L FC 00 74 0	100 01
204:3,6	133:14	56:22 74:8,	190:21
list	203:25 220:2	12 75:7	192:25 206:5
147:19	226:16,18,19	84:15 97:3,	215:16
153:14,17	227:21	20,25 98:18,	221:3,8
154:23 180:2	living	20,22,24	looks
listed	20:18	99:20,24	39:22 85:12
137:9	Livingston	133:1 137:8	93:17 95:15
153:11,21	204:18	138:5,6	96:3 139:9
167:1 181:12	LLC	145:15,19	140:15
lists	20:24 21:1,3	160:20 170:19 175:7	147:21 191:7
147:5 148:7	23:5,7	170:19 173:7	214:6
literally	loaded	180:21 182:5	loose
48:8 138:25	76:17 79:9	187:19	130:16
191:20	82:13 83:1	189:15	lose
litigate	lobbying	192:2,17,18	40:19,22
72:8	20:19 21:14,	195:5 206:18	71:22,23
litigation	15	208:16	72:22,23
170:6	lobbyist	212:24 215:6	94:22 127:20
little	21:8	219:10,21	184:6 224:3
16:12 18:7	local	220:8 228:6	losing
21:23 38:13	158:14	looked	71:4
44:24 45:16	Loftin	16:5,7,25	lost
104:16,23	101:17	47:12,19	25:3 214:19
121:15,16	107:23	48:1 49:13	lot
124:9,14,21	logical	71:16 108:9	13:19 59:1
125:12	115:1	150:15	62:25 63:8
126:10,23	long	171:21 172:6	80:14,17,20
127:23 128:5	13:24 15:13	174:12	89:18,21
130:11,17	48:10 62:6	180:7,20	90:3 127:8
144:18	63:12 111:14	187:17	158:13
161:14	113:9 164:1	189:15	192:24
187:18 197:7	172:8 180:20	190:14	193:17
198:4	218:18	191:19,24	212:23 216:8
204:10,17	long-lasso	196:3 212:13	lots
212:17	151:8,11	looking	152:17
214:24	longer	34:16 39:10	156:18
live	80:14,17	45:10 47:14,	louder
129:12	81:14 121:16	17 82:18	198:4
149:17	132:18	93:16 94:12	Lowndes
204:13 220:1	144:18	98:5 132:7,	97:19 155:3
lived	225:19	10 147:11	163:21
18:16 79:14,	look	154:6 156:20	lumped
15 100:23	16:9 26:7	158:15 161:1	208:3
130:9,13	34:19,22	164:16	lumping
134:2 172:11	39:22 42:9,	166:16,23	207:24
226:7,14	12,15 47:6,	167:15	lunch
lives	22 49:5	172:18 180:9	15:16 109:4
132:13			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
		·	

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 259 of 283 Randy Hinaman

	1	1	1 -
121:16,24,25	magnitude	45:11 58:22	makes
122:2,6,9	207:8	61:2 81:21	28:18 97:14
	main	86:10 96:1	202:5 227:18
36	35:22 167:16	97:6 100:5,	makeup
M	193:22	12,20,22	44:23 99:7
	maintain	101:2,10	143:17 175:7
Macedonia		103:3,9	
204:18	43:10	110:21	making
machinations	maintaining	112:25 113:7	44:13,25
33:25	160:3		61:12 81:3
Macon	major	115:19	96:24 124:2,
155:4	22:25 35:24	116:20	21 127:24
Madduri	73:1 75:9	118:16	130:11 133:1
	189:3	120:15,20	143:13 174:1
10:11 228:10	majority	124:11	177:6 190:23
made	24:5 30:2,5,	125:22	205:11
28:16 46:7		127:21	211:24
64:17 74:7	12 31:12	130:20	213:10
84:19 91:19	32:3,6,16	132:16	214:15
92:6 99:5	34:11 36:5,	133:23	226:23
100:8,17	12,15,21,22	138:11	
101:9,14,21	37:6,12,16	140:11	managing
102:5 105:5,	42:20 43:7,	142:13,17,23	192:4
11 106:6,8,	10 44:14	143:2,3,5,22	manipulate
13 110:1,6,9	45:12 46:1		76:19
115:20	49:9,18	144:13	manner
	50:2,8,12,20	145:22	144:20
117:14,22	80:16 81:7	146:18,19	map
123:18	111:24	147:1	16:6,14,15
124:12	112:12	148:17,24	23:23 24:2,
128:21	117:10	149:19	1
145:11,25	118:19,23	150:12,19	18,22,24
150:14,16		151:24 152:2	25:2 27:7,9,
155:16 156:9	142:6 154:19	155:9,22,23	12,13,15,16,
164:4,6	159:3	158:3,18	21,24 28:4,
169:10 179:1	161:10,22	159:2,13	11,14,19
180:8 185:21	162:10	164:18,23	29:7,10,22
189:19,22	171:22,24	166:4 169:20	30:1,8,11,
191:2 210:18	172:12 174:9	171:7 172:19	15,20,25
211:18	176:18 177:9	173:4,6,10,	31:8,10,11,
212:15 214:2	178:2,5,19		13 33:7,9,
215:4 220:22	181:9 183:20	12,23 175:2,	13,16 34:1,
222:12	188:17,20,22	4 176:21	16 36:11,14
	189:21 194:9	183:4,16,18	37:23 38:1,
223:19	196:24	184:1,5	2,11,15,17,
224:20	make	190:20	20,21 39:3,
Madison		195:16	
89:17 91:17	18:19 29:13	198:10 209:9	6,12,13,14,
129:7,10,13	30:1 32:3,5	210:19	16,17,18,19,
146:14	40:24 41:17	215:18 220:7	21,23,24
152:14 163:3	42:5,19 43:6	225:7,24	40:1,3,4,6,
	44:6,16,22	227:5	7,9 41:2,4,
	1	1	1

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 260 of 283 Randy Hinaman

7,21 42:13,	118:2,3	23:19,21	mark
19 43:6,9,	120:7,9,17,	24:14 27:2	201:11
15,23 44:6,	18,22 121:2,	37:12,17	203:12
19 45:18	7 123:3	38:23 39:6	208:17
47:18 48:12,	131:1,15	46:9,11,23	213:12,15
17,24 49:4,	132:3 134:12	47:3,8,10,24	marked
20 50:2,6	136:8 137:6	48:4,8 49:24	14:4,6 21:20
51:6,12,16	138:6,12	50:15 51:2	25:7,10
53:11 57:14,	139:12	52:1,2	92:18 93:11,
17 58:9,22,	144:1,3,7	54:11,14,20	14 110:15
25 60:5,9,	147:9,13,17	55:15 56:15	135:15,17
12,20,24	148:14,20	58:10 62:3,	160:8,10
61:1,5,19	149:6	19 64:2,11,	179:17
63:16 64:22	150:20,21	19,21 65:3	201:16
65:6,9,10,	151:18 152:3	67:4 73:21	203:16
11,13 66:3,	155:8,23	74:23 77:2	208:24
5,24 71:16	156:10,12	79:24,25	213:20
72:3 73:10	158:4	80:3,7	marking
75:4,6 77:25	160:15,23	83:11,14	179:19
78:19 80:13	166:6	86:4,15	
81:1,8,12,	167:18,21,25	87:20 88:12	marks 9:11
23,25 83:16,	169:3 171:5,	90:4,24	
24 84:2,3,14	13 172:14,25	98:19,21,23	Maroney
85:11,15,20	173:21,24	103:3 105:25	9:1
87:10,16	174:16	111:13	Marshall
89:11 91:6,	176:19	112:22 120:2	162:20
9,13,19 92:7	183:9,16	126:20	material
93:1,4,15,	184:14	136:5,25	79:9
19,23,24	185:5,13,19,	145:18,19	materials
94:2,6,10,25	24 194:12,16	156:14	74:18
95:6,10,13,	195:3,6	160:22	math
16 96:20	196:15	168:19 180:6	129:24
98:13,14	206:5,7	196:6,9,14,	matter
99:22 100:6,	210:20	18 197:2	9:13 48:25
9,15,16,17	212:15	215:19	69:6,17
101:5,7,10,	213:16 214:7	Maptitude	146:19
15,20,24,25	215:14	73:12 76:12,	188:23
102:2,12	217:10	14,15,21	219:23
106:13,18	219:11,14	77:13,23	220:10
107:12,16,	221:3,5	80:5 82:4,	matters
19,21 108:2,	222:14	11,18,24	188:25
10 109:11,	224:17,22,25	83:5,8	Maxwell
13,23 110:6,	225:1	102:13	114:12
9,12,14,19,	map-drawing	110:20 208:1	115:22
22 111:3	76:24	March	124:25
112:1 113:6	maps	56:9	125:7,8,11,
114:22	16:4,7,9,14,	Marengo	12,20
116:16,25	16,17,20,24	155:3	
117:24			
-			

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 261 of 283 Randy Hinaman

Mcclendon	166:7,8	3,4,7 71:21	133:12
9:25 11:14	168:17 178:9	73:9 80:9,11	146:22
51:22 52:16	182:16 187:2	81:15 84:17,	149:24
75:11 86:19,	192:8 198:23	21 85:6,10	154:15
22 87:4,19	207:24	87:4,23	190:14
106:20	212:7,17,23	102:12 114:4	218:15
107:22 108:1	214:18	130:25	Merrill
109:22	218:17	211:13	9:14,23
119:24	219:6,25	217:16	'
Mcgriff	221:16	226:3,4,5	170:3,7,17, 21 171:11
203:25	meaning	meets	
	53:14 73:22		Merrill's
205:20		142:4	170:16
mean	126:20 131:1	member	met
19:10 24:5	132:16 139:1	41:15 68:21	15:6,13
26:23 27:7,	207:5	96:22 117:14	45:22 59:23
17 32:18,19	means	206:3 222:24	67:2,7
36:17 37:20	48:19 73:11	223:22	68:17,23
38:16 39:22	199:3	members	69:1,4,6
43:13 45:22	meant	24:20 29:2	70:12,14,18,
46:8 48:18,	130:1	30:22 38:20	19,21 83:17,
25 51:6 56:7	meantime	40:10 43:14,	19 108:1
57:19,25	130:8	17 57:1,20	113:25
58:12 66:25	meet	58:13,16	210:10,15
70:7 72:19	15:9,18	59:7,18 60:3	method
73:10,13,21	54:13,16	64:5,13	38:14
74:6 75:6	59:14,20,21	65:17 66:21	metric
78:22 79:22	60:3 67:24	67:7,24	159:24
81:6,7 82:8	68:15,16,18,	68:15,16,18	metropolitan
87:21,24	22,24 69:8,	69:2 70:14	75:9
91:5 95:23	14 75:12	72:7 75:2,3,	Microsoft
97:17 99:3	80:15 83:13	12 80:10	
101:16 102:3	84:1 86:13	81:13,17,18	70:2
103:24	87:18,25	84:6 86:13,	mid
109:3,25	106:17	24 100:23	103:1 104:13
111:4	129:1,5,20	107:14,16	105:9 106:8
113:11,14	188:11	110:3 120:6	131:10
118:14		200:18,25	middle
124:24	meeting	223:17	20:1 95:1
126:17 128:5	45:22 56:25	224:1,14,22,	Mike
133:6 134:20	57:20 65:16	23 227:14	10:4 94:19
137:20,21	70:10 71:13	membership	188:16 194:7
143:18 149:1	80:14,17,19	144:22,23	mile
153:22,23	89:6 106:2	•	226:18
155:11,13	129:16	memory	military
156:14,18	meetings	92:21 133:19	114:12
157:24	59:8,12,17	mentioned	Milligan
159:16,22	60:13 61:21	16:19 29:23	9:13 10:5,7,
165:22	66:20 68:8	63:14 90:15	15,18,20,22,
	69:24 70:1,	115:16	10,10,40,44,
	•	•	

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 262 of 283 Randy Hinaman

24 170:2	Mo	97:13,21	mother's
mind	68:23 84:23	103:13	117:19
19:24 34:2,	Mobile	115:23,25	motivations
10,13 91:21	26:11 89:20	116:4 124:3,	187:3
92:1 114:18	91:16 146:14	4,10,22	move
115:1 133:1	152:13 153:7	125:13,24	18:19 59:25
153:20 159:9	156:3 161:4	126:15	101:1 105:21
163:13 166:8	192:22 193:9	146:18,23,	132:5,23
188:1	model	24,25 154:4	192:15
mindful	86:5	155:4 161:17	moved
100:24	modification	183:4 209:9	18:21 22:15
158:15,17	156:7	211:25	23:5,6
mine	modifications	212:1,3,19	130:10
86:7	112:25	213:4,14	138:15
minimal	150:19 152:2	214:2,23	186:13
137:16,19	155:23 156:9	216:20	194:24
198:20 199:3	158:4 164:24	221:20,25	215:20
minimize	166:4 195:16	Montgomery's	224:16 226:9
157:14	modified	201:22	moving
minimum	56:12 112:24	month	22:17 51:1
158:2	modify	113:18	96:23 100:24
minor	111:13,16	months	128:22
104:23,25	113:6 172:25	46:14 55:5	144:17 147:4
105:11	modifying	62:7 79:16	157:12 162:1
218:11	100:3	Moore	172:13
223:12	moment	111:5 123:25	185:16
minorities	116:6	125:5,22 126:5 134:16	220:21
112:13,16	Monday	180:25 181:4	municipalitie
minority	15:10,11,16	182:24	s
141:1,11	17:4 105:19	183:9,12	
142:1,25	110:4	184:5 190:24	Muscle
168:8	Monroe	191:2 192:20	89:24 91:24 92:4 127:15
minorly	72:23 104:20	194:6 223:13	156:1,8
72:16	111:7 123:16	Moore's	'
103:12,16	124:15 181:1	104:18	mute 42:24
minus	183:2	134:22	
138:13	Montgomery	Morgan	Myron
minute	79:15,16	89:17 91:17	10:2
90:14 187:20	88:14 89:6,8	129:6,10,12	
210:9	90:15,19	152:14 163:3	N
minutes	91:1,7,15	220:21	
180:13	92:10,12,13	morning	name
191:20	93:6,16	10:19,21,23	11:21 20:23
228:18	94:12,20,21	11:19,20	22:14 23:2
missed	95:1,5,8,10,	15:10,11	25:24 53:9
222:7	17,25 96:9, 15,20,24	105:19 179:6	104:7 116:3
	13,40,44		179:22
	1	1	1

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 263 of 283 Randy Hinaman

		·	
names	neighborhoods	104:23	218:22
9:19 115:17	152:7 175:8	129:11 134:3	objection
133:16	never	135:1,9,20	16:18 33:5
narrow	47:21 168:4	139:25	37:15 50:23
190:7,8	213:25 218:7	145:17	138:23
national	225:11	152:22	168:24
20:5 200:20	night	157:14,20	177:14,16,23
nature	191:18	158:2,12	178:8,11
40:18 70:23	nongovernment	160:12 173:8	187:8 198:11
207:16	al	179:1,21	199:19
	211:8	181:17	202:21
necessarily 97:17		187:13	204:24
	nonsubstantiv	205:11	209:15 210:4
necessary	e	207:11	216:17
157:21	109:3	212:24	objections
220:23	north	215:12,16,	123:21
need	89:19 115:6	23,24	198:10
13:23 59:2	186:23 212:2	numbered	
71:22 111:9	Northeast	181:13	objective
124:8 131:6	203:9,22	numbers	216:10
149:18 228:6	northern	15:7,24 37:3	objectives
needed	9:16 125:12	45:4 47:20	217:8
24:21 40:18	129:12	55:11 56:21	observation
52:2 62:25	132:18	57:10 65:9	188:21
84:11,19	162:17	66:15 72:9	observations
95:21 102:13	186:2,15	73:4,18,25	90:13
107:6 111:13	213:8	75:4,16,25	189:18,21
112:23	Notary	123:11 124:8	190:6,20
124:6,18	9:2	138:7	obvious
126:23 127:1	note	143:20,24	128:8
140:18 183:5	136:19	143:20,24	obviously
195:16	notes		22:16,17
198:16	111:2,9,11	208:5,7,9	23:19 34:10
212:13	228:6	numerically	36:3 38:1
220:12	notice	133:1	39:5 43:8
225:19		numerous	45:10 47:21
needless	14:10,13	127:6 224:20	48:19 49:4,
126:12	November		10 56:10
needs	62:23 202:7	0	58:24 62:21,
16:11	NRCC		22 64:4,18
negotiate	31:18 131:15	oath	65:9 66:14,
41:1	200:17,19	11:24	25 67:3
negotiating	NRRC	object	68:2,4 74:20
73:3	200:12	50:22 108:3	75:4 80:9,13
	number	170:23,24	81:13 89:18
negotiations	9:14 18:23,	178:7 195:19	90:23 94:18
72:13	25 34:13	196:22	95:23 97:17
neighborhood	47:2 82:1	198:1,9	99:1 100:23
33:20	96:1 97:4	199:25	107:14,22
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 264 of 283 Randy Hinaman

114:6 126:22	186:8 187:13	213:5 214:1	3,6,15,18,19
127:7,19	188:4,5,7	217:12 221:8	140:12
129:12	190:3	225:6 227:13	142:17
146:11	191:12,18,21	228:5	144:18
152:16,20	218:6 225:2	once	149:11,15,
159:5 162:5			18,20,25
163:11	offering	12:19 74:22	150:1 151:15
165:22	218:1	75:8 76:5	1
	office	79:9,11,22	152:5 154:3,
171:22	17:15,17,20	80:17 82:17,	23 155:18
180:18 192:8	18:3 24:9	21 83:1 84:5	157:22
193:3	33:13 68:20	105:8 107:11	160:14 161:1
211:13,15	70:9 73:13	109:22,25	165:3,4,23,
212:22,24	78:16 79:5,	129:23	24 166:2
214:11	6,8 94:20	142:16 175:1	167:8 168:4,
216:18	101:17	206:14	13 169:8,12,
220:13 222:7	107:9,23	211:13	23 175:14
227:7	129:21	one	181:12,14,
occasionally	158:14 182:4	13:17 14:9,	17,18 182:1,
28:14 88:15,	officeholders	12 22:25	5,6,7,9,10,
21,22 90:9		24:1 30:8	22 183:23,25
149:14	39:7 54:14,	36:22 37:1,	184:10 185:8
151:13	17 83:18	24 38:13	187:12,13
	offices		189:19
October	21:13 68:4,5	43:9,12,14	191:8,9,10
51:18 52:12,	70:16	48:11 51:7	193:10 196:4
21 54:4 55:8	official	53:5 64:5	198:22
61:11 62:15,	28:12 57:1,	68:17,18	
16,17 79:18,	3,14 74:16	69:3,4,21,23	199:22,23
20 80:1	76:3 102:24	72:18 78:6	203:25
105:16	106:8 117:17	85:1 86:6,25	208:13
106:12 123:5	officially	96:10 97:11	211:24 214:9
131:2,10	52:10,13	99:9 101:10	218:20
odd	88:17	103:25 106:6	224:15 228:6
207:11	offset	112:24	ones
211:19		115:10	11:7 46:16,
off-campus	183:5	116:20	24 56:18
70:15	offshoots	117:13,16,	81:1 132:18
off-year	182:17	18,21 119:20	153:21
62:21 63:1	okay	121:10	187:20 190:7
	13:4,8 14:14	123:11	192:2
offer	27:4 47:17	125:10,22,23	ongoing
210:25 211:3	51:13 56:23	126:3	62:24
212:4	123:4,7	128:19,20	operable
offered	133:21	132:11,12,13	84:11
16:8,25 17:2	170:20	133:9,14,22,	
20:2 47:21,	198:13 199:5	23 134:1	opinion
22,25 48:4,9	200:1,19,22		50:25 131:25
50:16,17,18	201:11	137:23	199:6,7
179:9	202:23 206:9	138:1,3,13,	200:2 202:20
184:18,19,22	208:8 211:17	17,18 139:2,	210:18
, ,	200.0 211.17		

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 265 of 283 Randy Hinaman

011 1 2			
211:1,3	overpopulated 66:12 72:21	paragraphs 152:9 166:25	passed 16:6 36:6,7
			67:2 68:1
opportunity	overpopulatio	paramount 149:10	76:8 120:24
112:6,7,10 142:8 180:22	n 67:17		136:15
181:5 182:14	0/:1/	part	206:15
		27:4 28:4	208:18 224:4
opposed 53:15 210:23	P	39:8 41:8	225:4
		54:16 56:3 82:9 94:20	past
option	p.m.	125:6,7,8,12	126:21 169:7
211:24	122:8	127:7 130:17	
212:6,8,9	197:14,17	132:18	pay 17:23 43:23
216:11,16	228:20,23	146:14	56:13 66:19
options	229:4,6	154:4,19	paying
114:19	page	156:16	12:9,13
115:10	25:21 137:9	161:23	49:24 50:1
151:22 210:17	146:5 147:22	168:18	
	160:14	174:15	payment 56:6
oral 9:8	161:1,12	182:18 197:5	
	162:1,13	201:25 202:2	payments 55:4,5,20,21
Orange	163:1,9,16 171:9 203:23	210:6 212:19	56:12 58:3
18:11 20:22		213:8 222:20	
order	paid	223:2 227:22	pending 13:25
39:14 66:15	43:15 53:10	partially	
145:4 167:1	56:1 57:24 205:5	92:13 172:10	Penn
194:22 215:20 216:9		participate	10:2
	pair	84:25	people
organization	194:4	participation	13:6 49:23 50:1 73:5
53:17,21,25 200:11	paired	75:25	80:16 89:16,
	58:25 178:25	particular	19 96:14
organizations 21:17 211:8,	188:12,15 189:20 190:9	32:12 53:13	109:9 123:15
9	192:19 193:7	87:9 97:12	124:6,18
	Palmer	153:12	126:24
original	85:2 130:4	158:12	129:12
172:1,4	131:17	188:23	139:2,22,25
originally	134:17	parties	152:23
24:15 91:2,3	188:16 194:6	150:23	153:5,6
outcomes	226:7,9,16,	169:19	156:3,19
99:2	19 227:21	party	158:7 183:5
outside	Palmer's	35:9 41:25	184:4 185:21
24:10 171:25	131:8	52:25 176:8	203:6 204:13
227:18	paper	200:10	205:7,10,12,
over/under 207:15 223:7	111:5	pass	18,19,25
	paragraph	31:10 55:15	212:23
overage	26:7 169:15	56:9 197:19	215:7,20
123:14	170:4 201:25	228:15	percent
overly		passage	34:12 44:7
121:22		201:5 203:9	119:9 138:19

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 266 of 283 Randy Hinaman

	1 -	1	1
139:1	phone	203:15	194:1,3,13
195:10,18	18:24 85:1	208:20,23	196:9,21
196:2 216:24	88:4	213:16,17,19	198:16
	phrase	plaintiffs	199:5,8,14,
percentage	_		1
32:12 34:7	210:15,21	9:21 10:3,5,	15,17 200:4,
44:10 117:7	227:1,9	7,9,12,14,	14,15
119:12	phrasing	16,18,20,22,	205:14,15
195:17	209:16	24 12:12	206:10,18
percentages		170:3	207:20,23
-	physically	228:11,15	208:10
80:24	78:15,20	·	209:3,7,10,
perfect	101:10,13	plan	1
96:20,21	pick	17:14,16	14 211:14
199:16	114:8,17	26:10,12,13,	213:13
perfectly	115:10,15	14 27:6 56:9	216:12
228:1	124:6 126:17	59:24 60:3	217:13,14,20
		124:23	218:1,6,14,
perform	127:7 132:21	139:14	23 219:3,11,
41:22 168:7	134:2 182:25		14,15,18,20,
performance	183:3	140:12,16,24	21 220:2,7,
168:7	picked	141:9	
performed	124:16	142:14,24	8,9,15
41:23	Pickens	143:10,15	221:3,13,14,
	221:19,21	144:14 147:1	18,21 222:2,
period	· ·	151:25	4,15,17,18,
63:6 161:25	picking	155:9,13	19,22,23
163:6 164:1	71:1 104:1	164:19 166:9	223:19,24
permit	114:13	177:22	224:1,10
170:12	115:22		225:11
	124:18	180:15,16,23	
Perry	126:21	181:3,6,9,	planning
155:3 210:5	132:15	10,12,14	17:13 55:9
person		182:1,2,6,7,	plans
40:24,25	piece	9,13,14,17,	26:9,21,25
68:17 69:3	126:10	20,21 183:14	27:1 46:9,11
70:10,12	pieces	184:13,17,23	47:4 178:24
88:5,6	47:8	185:17	179:4,9,23
139:3,6,15,	pigs	186:6,10,25	180:3,10,14,
18 140:12	181:17		17 181:24
		187:3,6,10,	
149:11,25	place	11,13,22,25	182:13
155:18	71:24 97:7	188:3,10,11,	189:6,15
165:3,23,24	115:7 150:1	13 189:6,12,	191:8,23,25
167:8	plaintiff's	19,23 190:1,	193:1
personal	14:3 21:19	15,16,18,22,	218:10,19
63:5 69:6,17	25:6,10	23,25	225:9
personally	92:17 93:10,	191:11,15,	play
69:8 90:5,7,	14,17 110:16	16,22,24,25	132:24
12 107:4,7	135:14,18	192:1,9,10,	145:21
142:23	160:7,11	11,16,17	151:19
	179:16,19	193:2,3,4,6,	
perspective	201:15	16,20,25	playing
82:17	201.15	10,20,23	73:25
	1	I	I

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 267 of 283 Randy Hinaman

plays	policies	126:22,25	possibility
162:19	148:7,14	127:21	50:8 115:23
please	149:1,6,9	128:12 135:7	178:1
9:18 11:2,21	150:2 165:2,	137:16,19,24	possible
16:12 18:8	19 166:11,25	140:1,11,16	37:4 50:20,
201:24	policy	141:11	25 54:13
203:13	143:16,23	142:1,5	56:22 89:16
204:1,6	145:24 147:2	145:13,14	91:25 96:11,
210:2 219:11	148:4 150:5	154:20 158:2	17 127:16
point	151:4,10,25	161:10,23	146:16
23:4 24:1	152:3,5,11	162:11,21,	148:18
27:13,22	155:10	24,25 163:5,	150:7,10
28:9 31:2	156:21	12,15,25	152:18,24
38:6 39:18	157:12,13,17	165:11,21	157:25
40:4,7 56:1	158:5,21,25	166:15	176:18 177:9
67:1 72:13	164:16	170:11	178:4,13,18
73:3,15 74:8	165:1,6	172:18,22	223:11
76:20 93:23	166:22 167:6	175:13,17	226:12
94:1,3 98:4,	political	189:10	potential
7,10,22	19:19,23	195:11,17 197:24	57:21 71:7
104:24 107:7	20:19 21:8,	197:24	73:19 115:13
109:24	9,10 152:8	202:10,12	potentially
110:22	157:5	202:10,12	71:4 112:14
118:7,8	pop	204:12	143:8 144:9
123:2 143:19	33:17 97:25	210:13	practicable
144:4 151:15	98:5,6,9	211:20	152:8
172:11 175:5	99:25 146:1	212:1,2	practical
179:10 186:2	populated	213:3,6	54:13
195:14	71:2	214:19,20	practically
205:24	population	216:23	204:8
206:11	28:5 32:6,	220:23	practice
207:20 209:3 211:16	17,25 34:3,	223:5,8	24:3
211:16	8,15 35:10	225:17	prank
215:18	36:6 40:19	populations	181:16
218:12,20,21	44:7,10 45:9	134:3 220:11	precedence
219:12,20,21	64:8 71:2,6,	portion	167:1
222:14	7 73:24	80:8 95:1,4,	precinct
point-to-	74:4,10 83:2	9 96:4,14,16	33:20,21
point	84:19 86:10	97:13,21	100:25
151:7,13	95:3 96:2	213:6	103:13,15,
pointed	97:6 98:7	portions	17,18 104:4,
97:7	99:5 100:4,	163:22	7,8 115:25
	10,18 101:4	position	128:17,19
polarization 42:12 167:23	112:13,18,20	20:2 58:21	133:24
168:3,10,21	114:8,18 115:3,15	20:2 36:21	134:1,7
168:3,10,21	117:6 118:19	positive	151:14
109:4	119:12,22	179:3 228:3	152:21
		177.5 220.5	

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 268 of 283 Randy Hinaman

		,	
precinct-	111:24 112:1	87:5,7	95:5 99:18
based	124:16	119:5,7,8	100:17 118:4
42:6	128:20	157:16	priority
precinct-by-	preliminary	162:12	46:1,3 149:9
precinct	74:1 169:17	165:15 214:6	165:10
105:1	preparation	223:21	167:4,6
precinct-wise	15:22 16:20	prevent	225:23
44:22	17:3 58:10	12:1	privacy
	66:2 87:20	previous	202:9,16
precincts	88:11	41:23 110:22	202:9,10
32:10 42:4			
90:21,25	prepare	previously	privilege
116:17,18	15:5 17:7	18:16 77:2	11:15 108:4,
127:20	prepared	price	18,22,25
128:6,7,10	110:2	205:5	109:10,15
132:10,14,	preparing	primarily	176:24 177:2
15,21 133:5,	16:17 83:11	49:23 112:19	privileged
8,13,15	present	125:3 163:15	177:24
138:15	9:18 108:5,	181:8 184:3	pro
146:12	7,15,19	primary	120:5,8
151:20	114:19	29:6,13	probably
153:22 157:6	presented	75:24 184:15	18:21 31:1,
173:7,8,11	110:2	223:1,3	4,17 40:1
174:5 178:15	presenting	principle	44:19 45:20
185:25	192:3	139:15,19	51:17 55:13
186:1,15	preserve	140:13	57:18 60:19
193:17,19	158:22	principles	61:10,22
208:5 215:22	222:10	103:25 146:1	72:23 79:10
216:1,2,9	preserved	Pringle	80:13,20
predict	45:12 164:19	10:1 11:14	89:8 94:22
66:16 113:2	222:11,18	51:23 52:15	103:1 120:4
predominant	preserves	75:11 86:20,	125:5 134:25
35:25 161:9	222:4,9	22 87:5,19	136:11
170:14		106:20	171:23,25
predominate	preserving 39:15 45:25	107:21 108:2	172:10 186:9
144:24		109:23	200:5 212:21
prefer	157:23	119:25	224:21
50:2 89:8	164:17	181:10 186:9	problem
117:20	223:9,14	193:16	43:4 217:6
118:23	president	194:13 196:9	220:20
121:18	21:2 41:18	print	Procedure
preferable	presidential	110:24 201:4	9:5
127:17	20:4	printed	proceed
preference	presumption	203:23	74:22
159:5	129:2	prior	proceedings
preferred	pretrial	22:4 39:3	9:9 169:17
49:24 50:5	170:17	64:8 66:4,22	process
86:5 89:9	pretty	67:14 91:7	15:8 28:18
104:20	29:19 78:24	0,.14 01.1	

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 269 of 283 Randy Hinaman

		<u> </u>	
39:2,10	145:1 190:6	188:14 191:1	quick
45:17 55:12,	213:3	205:3,16	57:23 88:3
16 80:4 89:4	providing	206:2 214:3	186:10
97:11 103:25	87:6 120:17	218:16 227:4	quickly
119:21	public	putting	182:16
120:13	9:2 88:10	91:15 92:9	Quillen
132:20	89:14 90:2,	123:12,13,21	10:13
141:14	16 91:12	126:12	quite
145:15	92:5 182:3	127:15 130:7	149:19 182:4
180:12	187:14,22,24	184:10 195:1	187:15
196:15 225:3	203:9 211:14	220:20	
226:13	217:24	PX	quote
produce	217.24	201:12,13	180:12
23:14 24:22	publicly	PX3	186:22
54:24	218:13	22:5	
produced		22:5	R
135:20	pull		
160:12	33:2	Q	race
179:20	purpose		20:4 32:25
	53:19,22	quantify	35:20,21,22
program 76:16	140:25	81:10	36:1 37:18
	141:10	question	41:18 48:12,
progress	142:24	13:7,10,25	14 49:7 83:3
86:23	170:10,14	29:1 31:3	97:25 98:8,
project	173:12	33:8 36:9	18,22 101:4
56:6	226:24	41:15 46:20	117:2 118:5
pronouncing	purposes	48:11 58:20	119:15,19
151:6	79:15 133:13	108:8,23	134:17 135:4
proper	pursuant	149:2 162:2,	142:17
31:22 208:15	9:4 17:19	14 163:2,10	143:18,19
properly	purview	166:10	144:21,23
207:7	168:16	169:12	145:4,13,15,
property	put	177:3,4,7	20 146:4
18:20	11:12 21:12	178:12,14	166:16
proposed	24:3 28:24	189:1 195:23	174:17,20
68:6 84:14	43:22,25	199:22 200:2	175:1
Protection	76:15 80:24	202:24 205:1	176:12,14
139:16	81:7,8,11	210:2 217:11	race-based
protrusion	103:14,21	220:4	145:2
132:8	115:2,3,9	questions	race-neutral
provide	126:25	10:9 12:2	144:20,24
29:9,21	148:18	13:4,6,18	races
107:13	149:21	48:13	41:10,12
185:12	150:11	108:13,17	228:2
	180:11	140:7 197:9,	racial
provided	182:23	11,19	33:15 42:12
14:23 90:16	183:20	209:16,21,23	48:22 97:20
109:22	185:6,8,20,	228:12,14	98:22,24
136:6,10,23	25 186:3		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
T. Control of the con	•	•	•

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 270 of 283

99:7,20	195:24,25	216:21	recommend
143:16 153:5	199:6 200:1,	reasons	29:19
154:7 157:2,	5 201:24	126:23	recommendatio
8 160:4	202:3,5	163:7,14,23	ns
167:23	203:24	184:12	29:9 72:15
168:3,10,21	204:1,4	194:1,8	120:15,21
169:2 171:15	210:1,3	recall	185:13 190:5
175:7 187:1,	reading	38:14 53:5	record
4 195:6,9	199:20	89:1 90:2	11:13,21
racially	Reagan	122:11,22	22:2 65:21,
170:8,22	20:2,6	123:25 126:4	25 122:4,8
171:13	real	127:2	169:5,13
172:15	57:23 60:19	128:14,23	170:1 173:14
173:1,25	71:8,17 72:9	130:4 133:17	195:25
ran	73:3,18 75:5	134:9 137:3	197:14,17
41:18	84:5 102:3	190:5 192:6	202:3 204:1
random	116:14	199:11,20	210:3
104:2 132:22	123:11	200:2 221:12	228:20,23
Randy	129:24	receive	redistricting
9:7,12 11:3,	208:7,8	31:24 57:7	23:18 24:7,
22 16:11	realize	86:8 87:2,8	11 26:9,14,
25:2 201:20	33:22	107:25 114:1	16,21 39:10
203:18 229:3	realized	116:10	41:4 53:22
rank	226:4	120:25 121:5	58:1 103:25
165:1	reapportionme	received	120:13
rapidly	nt	57:14 58:2	126:11
163:4	12:7 26:17	60:6 63:16,	135:19 136:7
Rarely	33:13 67:1	19 72:15	137:11
79:22	73:12 78:16	74:16 76:2,	140:24 146:1
RC	79:5,6,8	5,7 89:14	148:7,13
135:21	101:17 107:2	91:12 92:5	167:11
160:13	110:3,7	103:10	178:20 194:2
179:21	120:16,20	receiving	198:19
re-election	135:19 136:4	90:2	204:16
184:6	165:8 167:11	recent	213:23,24
reach	178:20	38:13 51:2	226:24
60:4 138:21	203:21	170:6	redrawing
158:2 223:8	204:21 213:2	recess	38:24 60:11
reached	217:24	65:23 197:15	63:15 78:19
95:9 219:19	223:4,23	228:21	106:18 224:9
	225:2	recognize	reduces
reaches	reason	22:6 25:19	216:23
95:1	19:25 26:6	93:1 135:25	refer
reaching	95:21 133:22	recognized	51:5,6,11
95:4	145:4 183:16	156:25	89:23
read	184:1,15	recollection	reference
141:18 147:6	188:24	50:15 164:11	93:14 171:3
169:13 170:5	193:19		173:15

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 271 of 283 Randy Hinaman

referenced		100.20	
158:20	remember 12:19 29:3	106:20 107:21 108:2	requests 50:11 72:15
164:21 179:5		107:21 108:2	
	31:6 33:11, 25 37:3,16	119:25	86:9 87:9,15
referencing	41:10 44:18	122:20	117:6
164:17	45:3,21 46:6	185:23 186:9	120:21,25
referred	63:9 78:25	190:24	require
51:7 128:18	89:13 90:17	223:13	143:16 156:5
referring	91:9 95:8,9,	226:6,8	160:4
59:17 61:14	12 111:10		required
89:24 105:2	116:3 138:10	representativ	127:19
112:17,20	149:4 190:10	es 70 01 71 14	138:20
173:20 191:8	191:18	70:21 71:14	197:24 223:8
refuse	199:10 200:6	73:9 83:20	225:14
108:12	224:13	84:18,22	requirement
regarding		85:7,15,21	202:19
82:24 120:13	remembering	86:9 87:14 97:9 103:7	227:14
165:20	188:13	105:10	requirements
185:13	remind	106:3,19	140:17 142:4
189:19	194:16	119:16,24	147:5
region	199:12	122:18	165:13,20
161:17	replace	125:19	166:12
registration	22:22	139:23	requires
75:21	repopulate		141:22
reiterate	223:6	representativ	145:9,11
84:9	reporter	106:10	146:10 150:9
related	9:1 11:1,6		151:10
32:24 144:1	13:14 77:16	represented 12:5 94:21	152:11,12
relationship	79:1 112:3	96:22 159:6	157:18
62:24	166:18		158:25
relative	176:25 193:5	representing 27:19 125:6	requiring
57:25	210:2 217:1	139:24 159:8	165:11
relayed	represent	227:23	214:16
90:14	9:19 107:3		reservations
relevant	179:22	represents	157:7
132:1	215:25	125:8	reside
reliable	representatio	reproduce 209:2	228:3
203:5	n		residence
remained	53:3,4,7,8	republican	20:22 226:24
30:2 42:20	107:6 139:23	23:22 27:20	227:3,4
43:7 44:14	representativ	28:7 51:23	residency
	e	200:10,20	227:14
remaining	10:1 11:14	republicans	resolve
remedial	51:22 52:15	151:1 184:7	149:23
219:18	72:18 85:2	request	respect
	86:19,22	117:21	152:7 155:13
remedy	87:5,19	requested	192:20
24:21	90:22	54:25	199:18
	104:18,19		

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 272 of 283 Randy Hinaman

		•	
respected	157:8 180:6,	Rights	129:23
155:10	12,22 181:5,		roughed-out
respecting	23 182:15,22	112:23 113:7	102:9
155:24	184:16	140:23	round
respond	185:19 186:6	141:2,4,16,	60:13 66:20
198:8	187:11 188:2	19 142:14,20	68:8 84:13,
response	189:25	143:11	15 102:25
108:11 177:2	190:21 195:9	144:5,9,15	103:2,5
192:1 198:5	reviewed	145:1,5	116:14,23
responsibilit	15:7,23	149:12,25	ruled
_	16:16,19,23	165:4,12	11:16
Y 20:5 82:10	47:10 67:3	166:13,17,20	rules
	74:20 109:13	167:8 189:3	9:5 12:25
rest	144:7 182:23	ring	
107:16,18	186:4	26:5	run
149:11,13	reviewing	ripple	166:3,7
result	47:15,16	220:16	224:15
205:25	66:6,9 180:9	River	running
results	revised	18:11	68:19 129:2
35:9 57:8	84:12	RNC	runs
176:8 177:11	right	200:10,17	107:23
resume	11:24 12:24	Road	rural
22:3,9,11	13:20 15:2	18:11	64:24 75:7
23:12	18:6 62:5		154:18
retained	79:25 94:7,	Rogers	Russell
52:10,13	14 97:10	92:11 94:19	162:6 193:9
57:24	120:3 121:13	126:7 134:16	
retention	120:3 121:13	188:16 194:7	S
107:8	130:12,14	role	
retired	134:2 142:8	28:10,12	sacred
22:22	147:14	162:19	216:10
retrieved	153:20 154:3	room	sake
150:14	170:4 171:4	78:17 88:15,	219:18
returns	172:2 191:6,	19,21 90:10	sat
41:6 42:2,3	17 197:25	108:5 109:9	101:13
75:18	198:17	roots	211:18
revealed	201:13	154:8 211:8	satisfied
143:25	203:11	Rosborough	
review	206:3,22	10:17	222:23
15:21 16:1,4	209:4,21	Ross	satisfies
37:11 41:6	214:22,25	10:15	142:19
47:12,20,24	215:10,21	rough	satisfy
	216:2,6,16	72:2 80:24	138:21 145:5
$A \otimes A = A \otimes A = A \otimes A \otimes A = A \otimes A \otimes A = A \otimes A \otimes$			155:21
48:4,7,10,22		206:18	
49:11 67:15	217:21		save
49:11 67:15 74:18 75:18,	217:21 220:17,19	roughed	102:21
49:11 67:15 74:18 75:18, 21,24 83:10	217:21 220:17,19 223:1 224:10	roughed 60:20 64:24	
49:11 67:15 74:18 75:18, 21,24 83:10 101:6	217:21 220:17,19 223:1 224:10 226:20	roughed 60:20 64:24 73:10,13,16,	102:21
49:11 67:15 74:18 75:18, 21,24 83:10	217:21 220:17,19 223:1 224:10	roughed 60:20 64:24	102:21 110:18
49:11 67:15 74:18 75:18, 21,24 83:10 101:6	217:21 220:17,19 223:1 224:10 226:20	roughed 60:20 64:24 73:10,13,16,	102:21 110:18 saved

Caroc	Secretary	self-	sentiment
saves	_	explanatory	205:20
110:21,22	9:22 170:7, 16,21 171:11	157:16	
saying 25:1 63:23	section	Selma	separate 55:21
109:6 200:3	137:10	227:11	
205:23	137:10		separated
211:17	140:15,19,22	semifinal	206:1
222:23	140:15,19,22	84:16	separating
225:25	22 142:14,19	senate	203:5
	143:10	24:6 47:18	September
says 23:2 25:15	144:5,8,14,	52:5,16	51:18 52:21
137:10	17,25 145:9,	54:11,12	54:4 55:8
137:10	10 146:6,10	64:14,21,24 75:6 81:11	79:17 102:25
156:22,24	147:4,17	129:2 147:13	103:1 104:13
157:22 167:7	148:6,7	188:5,6	105:9 106:9,
SB-10	150:5 151:4	190:23	16 177:12
182:7,9	152:6 156:20	190:23	203:21 217:23
schedule	157:13	224:13,14,21	
105:20	158:21 165:6	senator	seriously 47:22 65:3
schedules	166:23,24	9:25 11:13	
	167:7,13,16	28:20 29:7,8	serve
105:17,21	189:2 201:9	30:24 51:22	27:12
scheme	204:18	52:16 86:19,	session
73:6 216:6	Sections	21 87:4,19	47:3 52:3
school	137:14	106:19	55:9,14
64:13 75:2	140:10	107:22 108:1	61:11,13,15
80:12 81:8,	see	109:22	62:15 79:14,
16,23 157:7	13:13 17:23	119:24	23 83:15 84:4 85:8
181:16	22:23 25:14,	180:15	98:15,19,25
science	17,21 26:18	191:12,13	99:19
19:19,23	33:2,6 71:17	204:18	106:12,17
scope	82:19 99:1,4	senators	107:13
178:16	107:15,18	106:3	110:13
scrap	131:6 135:23	send	174:25 175:9
111:4	137:9,17	17:22	179:24 180:4
scratch	145:6 148:8	sense	195:7
38:24 94:6	154:12	28:18 42:5	set
screen	160:16 196:4	58:22 74:8	15:2 53:18
85:11	201:7,21	116:20	59:8 68:8
seats	203:20	148:24	169:23,24
206:12	215:7,15	169:20	216:5
second	217:3 224:5	210:18	seven
50:2,8,12,20	seeing	222:12	40:12 49:4
151:4 176:18	37:16 74:1	sentence	58:16 60:7
177:9 178:2,	132:23,25	26:24	67:20 68:3,
5,18	segments	sentences	16 80:11,18,
secondhand	206:8	78:23	21 81:14
82:14			83:19 85:20

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 274 of 283 Randy Hinaman

			1
105:18	Sharh1@	side	19,22 190:1
106:19	comcast.net.	21:14 133:9	193:25
122:11	19:5	191:21	194:1,3
137:25	sheet	226:20	singularly
138:15	47:19 213:17	sign	88:2
151:20	215:12,17	52:17,19	sir
160:14,21,22	Shelby	signature	11:19 12:23
206:12,14	_	25:22 26:1	13:3,9 14:22
seven-page	130:10,15		,
	163:11	signed	18:15 26:2
160:13	188:15	25:12 54:3	27:11 30:10,
seventh	226:10,17	55:7,18 56:7	19 32:1
138:18	227:22	225:5	33:21 38:12
several	shift	significant	40:5,8,11
13:6 16:19	28:5	74:13 88:25	51:4,10,14
29:2 147:5	shifts	105:6	52:7 55:23,
199:22	223:5	significantly	25 57:16
214:17	Shoals	80:8,10	59:15 68:13
Sewell	89:15,23,24	similar	76:1 77:3
43:8 45:7	91:16,25	49:25 77:23	78:5,8 105:3
69:5,9,22,25	92:4 127:15	152:16 157:9	106:4 110:20
70:5 101:1			112:8 121:21
103:12	156:1,8	175:15 186:5	137:12
113:25	shock	190:11 192:1	142:12
116:11 117:3	89:4	similarities	160:25
118:6 119:18	shooting	156:25	169:16
122:15,16	32:13 34:3	similarly	175:18,20
125:15,16	44:11	17:25 41:22	176:7 182:7,
	short	149:5	12 190:19
132:12,13 133:14	48:7	simply	194:14
	short-circuit	207:1 211:18	196:17
210:10,12,	21:22	219:22	200:24 201:3
16,22 211:18	shortening	220:10 224:8	209:5 215:1
216:12 217:7,13	130:22	simultaneousl	216:3 217:5
217:7,13	show	У	219:5,12,16
220:1 226:6,	85:11 114:5	65:8 88:14	220:18 222:1
18,23	161:2 169:13	singer	223:21
	170:5,18	42:23	227:12
Sewell's 45:25	201:6,18	single-member	sit
	203:18	147:25	90:5
shakes	204:22	Singleton	sitting
34:25	213:13,14	9:21 10:2,9,	154:3
shape	221:10	14 16:10,14	situation
171:19 173:5	shown	111:25	24:21 96:21
178:16	22:3 195:10	181:23	113:8 134:4
share	221:6,7	182:20	
85:11 156:5	shows	187:10,13,	situations
205:20	202:15	22,25 188:3,	149:15
		10 189:5,12,	151:21
		10 100.0,12,	

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 275 of 283 Randy Hinaman

six-person	119:11 120:7	specializatio	splint
58:25	128:8 142:4	ns	128:17
size	153:12	20:14	split
171:19	157:19	specific	89:6,9,10
178:16	159:24	31:3 33:15	90:17,20,21,
	162:16,20	34:13 44:9	25 91:2,3,7,
skewed	166:5 190:22	72:17 85:16,	9,22 92:1,2
202:9	191:4 206:18	17 89:13	
Skipping	sounds	90:1 97:13	93:7 94:13,
37:22	42:23 153:25		16 95:18,19,
slice		114:1 122:25 128:3 145:23	22 103:13,
205:16	165:15		14,15,19
slightly	sources	152:15	104:6,15
84:11 134:20	142:11	153:19	111:6,7
sliver	south	155:22 156:9	114:20,22
204:10,17	226:17	158:3,18	115:24 116:1
small	southern	164:11,23	123:8 124:3,
215:23	204:10	181:3	8 126:10,15
smaller	southwestern	specifically	128:19
225:18	174:14	32:23 48:18	132:2,10,11,
	space	49:15 91:18	14 133:8,13,
snippets	151:15	94:18 109:13	23 134:7
90:8	spaced	112:17 114:3	138:15
social	55:4,5	126:4 134:9	149:19
154:8 157:2	speak	156:11	151:20
socioeconomic	13:17 16:11	171:21	156:2,12,15
34:20 175:16	40:10,14	172:24	158:8 173:7,
software	70:15 119:25	173:23	8,17 174:5
33:9,14	120:10 198:4	187:23 217:9	183:2,20,24
76:22,24	200:16	specifics	185:7 193:17
77:1,14,24		27:25 57:22	207:25 208:4
78:1,10,13	speaker	122:14,17,21	213:10
82:3,4,12,	120:5	123:24	214:11,12,25
19,24	speaking	128:14	215:4 216:2,
sole	109:7 194:11	speculation	9 221:19,20,
170:10	special	_ 195:20	25 225:19
solely	55:9,14	spell	splits
96:10,24	61:14 62:14	77:18	158:11 179:1
133:23	79:14 83:15	spend	184:10 185:8
solutions	84:3 85:7	60:25 192:24	193:15,16
123:11	98:15,19,25	spending	205:25 206:7
somebody's	99:19	62:9	220:23
90:22	106:11,16		splitting
sort	107:12	spent	91:15 92:10
	110:13	61:18,23	124:10
20:3 29:9,21	174:24 175:8	80:25 81:2	127:25
44:9 46:6	179:10,24	90:12	173:11
71:20 82:23	180:4 195:7	spill	178:15 183:1
89:1 107:8,		194:19	193:18
25 112:1			

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 276 of 283 Randy Hinaman

214:21	101:5 122:20	140:15,22	study
220:11	137:9 148:6	141:3 144:19	19:18
spoke	150:5 151:5	146:6 150:6	styled
29:2,3,8	182:21 209:3	151:5 152:6	201:23
40:11,17	222:14	157:13	subdivisions
spot	state	158:21 165:6	152:8 157:5
227:19	9:3,19 11:21	166:24 170:6	subjectively
spread	12:13,22	statewide	153:15
146:20	18:8 22:2	64:19 65:9	submit
spring	25:17 52:5	statistical	38:20,21
59:22	53:15,23	217:3	168:19
St	54:11,12	stay	submitted
	56:18 59:22	152:14	
162:5 220:14	60:1 64:13,	step	38:2,7 47:4,
staff	20 65:11	18:6 67:13,	11 48:8
24:1 68:24	73:12 75:2	23 196:7	83:14 84:3
70:18,19	76:7,10,16,		98:21 101:5
84:7 120:1	17 77:6,13	steps 195:14 222:7	110:1,10 174:24
121:9,11	78:4,17		174:24
129:6,19,22	81:8,16,23	sticking	1/8:24 1/9:5
131:3,8,14	82:10,13	170:9 174:9	-
134:11,18	86:14 90:4	stipulated	submitting
staffs	113:12	169:6,16,18	98:18
200:18	116:6,7	stipulation	subordinates
stand	137:14	9:6	144:20
200:19	165:10	stipulations	subpoena
standpoint	170:16	11:6 170:1,3	14:11,16
74:2	194:25	stood	subsequent
start	201:5,21	30:17	189:5 223:5
45:18,20,23	202:15,18	stop	subsequently
56:25 60:3	203:2	191:6	224:21 226:4
64:12,22	state's	straight	subset
65:3 94:6	41:3 78:12	132:4	121:9
194:16 209:8	80:4 201:25	straws	substantial
started	state-wide	25:3	26:8 112:13
55:12,16	41:12 56:17	strength	substantive
60:23 65:16	stated	141:1 142:25	29:18 108:10
66:20 94:9	38:10 58:8	strong	substantively
97:22,23	85:19 166:14	145:1,3	57:21
194:18	170:7 189:8	strongly	subtract
206:15	195:5	104:1	146:2
212:12	statement	structured	subtracted
226:2,3,5,13	118:4 203:24	87:25	102:14
starting	204:3		subtracting
27:12 39:18	states	stuck	98:2 145:12
40:4,7 66:2	9:15 24:12	46:16	
79:3 80:1	26:8 59:6	studies	subtractions
93:23 94:1,3	139:17	20:8	166:9

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 277 of 283 Randy Hinaman

successful	141:20	47:16 63:5,	123:7,9
44:13	142:13	10,12 65:19	124:2,14,20
sufficient	143:22	67:13 81:18,	125:21
141:25	144:13	24 95:17	126:9,12,14,
suggest	145:23,25	97:5 104:4	16 127:6,18,
37:9 168:13	147:1 148:17	111:15 113:9	24 128:5,7
	149:2	121:25 122:1	130:6,8,11,
suggested	150:12,14,16	123:16	16,18
185:15	151:11,25	127:10 137:8	142:17,18
211:23	154:23	148:3 160:20	163:20
212:14 214:2	155:9,23	167:6	168:22
suggestions	•		
29:16 75:10	156:14,19	175:11,16	195:13 219:7
211:18	164:18 171:7	177:20	talking
sum	172:6	195:14 196:7	18:7 29:12
222:21	184:19,22	197:9 208:16	40:15 48:2,3
summer	199:9,10	209:6	57:20 66:2
106:7	204:19	215:11,12	83:22 92:14
	205:5,8	218:4 219:10	93:6 98:11
Sumpter	209:15	223:6	100:14
155:2	211:12 216:7	taken	109:8,10
support	221:15,16	65:23 96:7	122:25
94:23 145:2	225:7,21	122:6 187:5	134:23 158:7
suppose	227:1,5	197:15	173:18
154:9 189:1	surprisingly	215:10	196:12 198:6
supposed	125:1	228:21	200:13
56:11 178:10	surrounding	takes	204:19
supreme	214:17	80:20 81:14	208:19
202:11	swapping	158:14 167:4	talks
sure	211:25	taking	116:14
16:13 21:9		18:6 41:1	tangibly
23:13 30:1	swear 11:2	talk	92:6
32:5 33:8			
37:2,3,4,25	Switching	28:15 59:9,	team
40:24 42:19	210:9	24 84:7	21:12 154:9
43:6 44:6,	sworn	88:16 90:9	176:4
13,16,22	11:4	105:18 114:7	Teams
45:11 48:18	system	116:15 125:3	70:2
63:11 65:20	180:11	129:6 131:4	technically
81:21 95:12		137:13 143:7	18:21 22:14
		147:13	70:2 132:12
100:7 111:4		168:20 169:1	telephone
115:19	table	214:15 224:2	18:23
117:14	194:12	talked	tell
119:10	202:14 215:6	15:8 29:17	44:19 49:14
125:22		68:3,4 90:4	95:13 118:11
130:12	217:4	104:24	122:21
136:12	take	114:14	123:24
138:11	13:22 20:4	115:4,11,13	134:24
140:7,11	26:7 34:14	116:2,22	138:19
			100.10
	I	I	I

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 278 of 283 Randy Hinaman

177:17	test	153:11,24	192:10,12,21
184:22,24,25	92:21 133:19	156:18	193:3,6,7,9,
185:3,10		157:24	11,15,17,24
· ·	testified		
186:12 188:9	11:4	190:13 191:5	196:1 197:8,
199:12	testify	221:1 224:16	9 204:14
202:18	18:1	think	205:19
204:22		13:1 21:22	207:10,25
210:22	testifying	29:18,19	208:18 209:1
213:1,22	11:24	_	210:6 212:22
-	testimony	31:16 32:18	
218:22	98:17 99:19	35:14 36:20	217:10,15,
telling	204:23 205:2	42:1 43:1	16,17
209:17 217:7	210:11	47:2 52:11	218:12,20
218:5		58:18 59:6	225:7 228:5,
	222:9,21	60:17 62:1	13
tem	223:16 225:8		
120:5	thank	63:22 65:16	third
ten	11:17 63:25	70:6 77:9,14	152:5 156:21
23:20 24:7	136:19,21	90:19 94:4	Thompson
38:14,18	-	96:13 97:2	10:6 11:9,18
39:9 44:18	171:10	103:20 104:7	14:8,13,15
	173:19,21	107:20	21:25 46:22
45:4 46:9,	202:13	108:24	
12,23 47:7,9	204:20		109:1,16
50:15 162:18	215:15	109:2,6	121:14,21
180:13	228:7,9,25	111:23,24	122:1 169:5,
191:20 207:5		113:17,20	11 170:2
223:6	Thanks	116:17	171:2,5,10
	14:17	117:8,9	173:19
ten-minute	theory	118:22,25	177:4,15
90:8	55:13 56:8	119:2 120:4	·
tens	92:9		195:23
102:18	thing	121:14 125:3	197:18
205:12		126:24	228:13
term	31:22 67:10,	131:15,25	thought
	15 68:2	134:14,21,	31:21 34:8
156:22 157:3	115:1 117:13	22,25 138:5,	88:24 89:17
terms	124:15	9 139:11,20	116:19 125:5
34:12 55:2	125:21	148:5,21	
60:25 61:18	157:20 179:3	· ·	129:14
62:1 71:16	181:1 186:19	149:10	130:9,13
74:22 80:14	208:19	157:16 160:5	131:18
		166:22 168:4	133:10 185:1
108:10	things	171:15,19	202:15,19
126:17	36:3 62:13,	173:8 177:17	226:5,11,13
151:17 168:7	22 72:25	178:13,21	· · · · ·
172:5,20	73:23 89:12,	180:1,16	thoughts
193:12	22 91:14	181:20	84:12
200:13	104:2,24		thousands
204:12 216:6	-	183:19,23	102:17,18
	105:22 111:8	184:3 185:5,	205:12
Terri	114:13	7 186:7,8,18	three
125:6 217:7	116:2,9,22	188:12,14	
220:1	146:17 148:1	190:9,12	15:16 18:22
	152:21		23:9,19 36:9
	132:21	191:/ <i>.</i> 4	-
	152:21	191:7,14	·

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 279 of 283 Randy Hinaman

		1	
41:11 66:16	121:16	told	trial
84:25 89:7,	122:5,8,24	58:18 87:12	12:20 18:1
10 91:15	123:1 129:5	118:12	tribal
92:10 93:8	136:14	131:3,7,15	157:2,6,9
94:13,17	145:18	136:22,24	trip
95:18 105:25	161:25	138:25 139:7	69:12,23
111:19,21	162:22 163:6	164:5,13	70:13
116:17	164:1 182:5	185:4 186:13	trips
126:16 154:4	187:19	197:23	63:5
169:19	192:4,25	198:21 199:2	
181:24	196:11	203:1 204:25	trouble
182:14	197:14,17,18	210:16	151:6 198:2
185:24	218:12,21	Toni	true
189:6,12,23	226:3,5,11	203:25	22:8 26:3
190:1,12	228:14,16,	205:20	39:18 93:3,
191:9,10	20,23 229:3	top	18 215:19
192:13 207:6	timeline	25:14 36:3	222:17
226:16	51:1 56:11,	49:6 53:6	225:22
three-judge	14 68:6	130:23	trump
26:10 199:13	98:11 109:21	161:18	149:15
221:4	timelines		trust
tied	71:8	total	74:5
146:14		33:17,18 55:22 74:4	trusted
	times		74:6
ties	12:18 65:14	83:2 97:25	truthfully
97:15	87:18 109:7,	98:5,6,9	12:3
time	12 127:6	99:25 137:15	try
9:17 13:17	129:25	145:14 146:1	45:14 78:25
17:17 27:18	215:22	town	90:24 157:14
31:21 37:13	timing	70:13 87:22	158:22
47:17 52:11	74:25	traced	196:13 209:9
55:10 56:6	tiny	39:13	225:24
60:23,25	204:10,17	trade-offs	
61:17 62:8,9	205:24	155:14	trying 27:22 62:5
63:3,6,8,10	Tish	traditional	66:10 91:24
65:22,25	10:21	146:1	130:20
67:1,5 70:6,	title	transcript	130:20
11 74:19	21:1	203:20	132:14,15
75:14,15,19,	titled	translated	146:16,18
22,25 78:18	169:16	82:12	148:21
79:25 80:8,	today	travel	157:20
21,25 81:2,	12:3,6,10,14	63:8	157:20
7,11,19,24	13:5 14:19	tread	173:10
83:14 84:22	15:5,22	45:15	186:21
87:22 90:11	17:8,11,14,		204:11
94:17 105:24	17 170:13	tremendous	204:11
106:24	180:8 199:10	89:4	
110:21	200:7 221:6,	tremendously	Tuesday
116:13	7	29:18	15:10,11,17
	I	I	1

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 280 of 283 Randy Hinaman

17:4 87:25	37:5,6,12,16	122:11 201:6	understood
110:5	49:18 52:14	Uh-huh	13:11 28:25
tune	54:9 59:23	148:9	31:5 42:11
68:10	72:7 74:21	ultimate	82:11 109:16
tuned	85:1,5 86:16	207:17	139:5 179:14
123:17	89:11 95:19,	ultimately	181:22 220:4
turn	22 97:9	31:10 51:8	225:16
66:14 98:22	99:12 103:19	76:8 110:15	226:22
145:15	106:15	196:10,16	unhappy
	111:23,24		185:22
turned	112:2 117:16	undergraduate 19:14	United
36:14,17 73:4 76:15	125:9,19		9:15 139:17
	126:2,15	underneath	141:2
102:18	127:25	224:3	universities
123:15,17 124:10 135:4	128:13	underpopulate	104:2 114:11
	132:12	d	115:17,18
142:16	133:12,15	45:3,6 66:11	1
143:19 175:1	136:11	70:25 95:24	university
226:8	149:10,17,	114:7	19:13 103:19
Turning	21,24 150:16	underpopulati	115:21,25
162:13 163:9	161:6,9	on	116:4
turnout	181:6,13,17	67:17	unofficial
35:7 176:6	182:13,14	understand	57:5,8 64:7,
turns	185:17	11:23 13:7	10 67:16
72:10 216:22	189:5,6,12,	33:8 111:20	106:7
Turrill	23 190:1	139:18	unquote
10:4 42:24	191:5,7	204:11	180:12
Tuscaloosa	192:13,19	209:23	186:22
96:4,8,13,16	193:4,5,6	210:10 219:9	update
115:11,20	194:5 196:24	225:8	38:20 39:4
127:20,21	198:18	understanding	86:23 88:3,
128:3 162:18	210:23	12:2 28:2	23
163:22	211:10	39:20 53:12,	updated
192:23 195:3	213:9,12	24 76:12	28:4 57:10
209:9 211:24	type	111:21 112:9	87:2,23
212:1,2	35:1 65:10	139:21	updates
213:7,8,13	79:1 105:1	140:4,6	88:4
214:4 216:19	175:23	141:8,12,21,	updating
220:14	types	23,24 142:10	38:17
221:19,24	78:6	145:8,10	upload
224:18,19	typing	146:9 149:8	83:7
TV	13:14,16	150:8 151:9	uploaded
227:19		152:10	82:5,20,22
twice		157:17	urging
79:11	U	158:24	211:9
two	TI C	159:17,18,20	usable
20:9 23:21	U.S.	168:2,5,6	65:10
26:7 30:5	87:13 103:6	208:14	
	119:23	225:13,14	

useless	97:15 124:22	33:2 39:8	waited
64:15	170:3 181:1	73:7 97:4	71:16 208:6
Usual	201:5 221:5	114:14	waiting
11:6	vi	170:15	90:9
	167:1	182:11	walk
Δ	vice	217:25 218:7	76:21 88:15
	149:16	Voters'	109:21
vacation	VIDEOGRAPHER	217:14	148:23 150:4
63:6	9:11 11:1	votes	182:19
vaguely	65:21,24	227:7	walked
27:25 141:17	122:4,7	voting	88:18,21
valuable	197:13,16	31:22 32:6,	Walker
64:18,19	228:19,22	17 33:18	9:24 11:7,
-	229:2	34:3,8,15	10,12 12:7,
varied	view	35:10 44:7,	9,13 14:12,
84:23	27:22 159:12	10 49:7	14,17,24
various	views	74:10 83:2	15:6 16:18
21:12,16	152:23	99:10 105:21	19:21 22:1
29:13 44:18 47:3 54:20	violate	112:17,20,22	33:5 37:15
	202:11	113:7 117:6	46:20 48:2,
55:4 73:22 86:24 98:23	Virginia	119:12,22	16 50:23
102:14	18:16 22:15	135:7 140:23	77:10,20
102:14	23:6,23	141:1,2,4,	88:23 99:16
143:25	24:6,10	16,19	106:25
145:15	virtually	142:14,20,25	107:9,22
149:9,15	10:10 64:15	143:11	108:3,15,16
vast	83:17 187:14	144:5,9,14,	109:5,18
80:16 161:10	visitors	25 145:5	113:13 116:7
162:10 181:8	70:10	149:12,25	121:19,24
verbal	voices	157:5 165:4,	122:3 136:12
43:21 44:2	126:2	12 166:12,	138:23 139:8
	vote	17,20 167:8	144:3,10
<pre>verbally 43:18 148:10</pre>	139:15,19	175:12,17 185:14 189:3	162:17
	140:13	195:14 189:3	168:24
versa 149:16	149:11,25	216:23	169:1,9,14
	155:18	210:23	170:24 171:4
version	165:4,23,24		173:14
23:11 84:16 110:12,14	167:8 185:15	W	176:23 177:1,5,14,
173:9,15,16	204:15		17,20,23,25
190:23	voted	Waggoner	178:8,11
191:11	110:4	180:19	181:20
versions	voter	190:15	184:25 185:3
191:4	35:7 42:15	191:8,25	187:8 195:19
	75:21,24	192:8,13	196:20,22
<pre>versus 9:13 12:21</pre>	176:6	Wagner	197:3,23
25:11 81:1,	voters	190:25	198:1,6,9,
13 84:9	32:8,13,20	wait	12,25
13 04.9		13:17 60:1	, -

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 57-1 Filed 12/15/21 Page 282 of 283 Randy Hinaman

199:19,21	223:25	weekends	Wiregrass
202:21	224:2,9,15	79:21	161:17
202:21		weeks	
209:15,22	wanting 93:7		witness
210:4 213:2		63:2,13	9:7 11:2
210:4 213:2	Washington	105:20	16:13 19:10,
210:17,25	60:2,14	went	22 34:25
228:17,24	200:9,17	31:10 33:25	77:5 121:22
-	water	45:23 59:14,	169:13
want	45:15 148:20	16 61:11,13	177:24 178:9
11:10 25:2	151:7	69:14 70:8	185:1 198:7
27:2 50:16	way	84:5,14	202:22 218:1
61:4 65:19	18:1 24:16	107:20	228:15
72:6,8 89:6 90:17 92:20	28:24 62:11	114:16 120:3	witness'
	81:9 91:12	122:14	173:15
94:22 99:8 103:14	95:25 104:20	134:21	woman
103:14	110:20	192:22 193:9	43:25
121:20	111:16 121:6	214:23	Women
	127:11,17	222:22	182:11
131:3,4 132:9 137:13	132:2 179:5	west	217:14,25
169:9,25	182:3 199:23	125:24	218:6
171:7	209:16	172:22 199:7	wonder
182:19,25	210:13,15	200:1 221:5	216:21
183:15 185:1	218:16 222:7	western	wonderful
204:4 209:25	227:2,9	130:22	105:22
215:18 225:7	ways	186:21	word
wanted	89:10 91:15	whatnot	60:6 151:6
	92:10 93:8	125:17	171:23
29:12 34:11 40:24 43:10	95:18,19	whatsoever	215:12 218:4
45:11 72:8,	126:16	28:10	words
18,23,24	128:13	Whichever	159:23
78:18 89:15,	199:22 216:8	53:8 188:6	222:13
17,20 99:1,	week	white	work
4,11 103:20,	15:1,12	33:2	20:3,20,21
22 115:16,	61:10 76:18	Whitfield	26:11,12,15,
18,19 116:1	79:10,11,12,	104:7	25 30:24
117:7,10,20	13 82:5 84:3	wholesale	40:23 54:9
118:19	87:24 98:14,	39:10	56:15 58:22
119:13	21,25 99:18	whomever	59:9 62:25
125:22,25	101:5 103:4	41:18	78:19 79:21
130:23	105:16	widen	129:13
148:17	106:11	132:7,16	132:20 134:6
152:13 156:4	107:12,20	Wilcox	153:5 158:15
183:1 197:8	110:13	155:3	206:16,24
210:12	113:21 118:9		work-wise
219:17,20	131:1 135:3	Winston	62:13,18
220:5,7,22	174:24 175:8	162:17	workable
222:25	195:7	Wire	76:15
,		161:15	
	•	· 	•

worked	81:13,20	138:12,16,	
23:22,24	82:7,21	19,25 139:1	
24:11 26:15	83:21 87:7	183:6	
27:16 31:7	89:3 95:23	188:11,16	
92:11 195:3	96:11 104:14	190:9 194:4	
210:20	109:18 116:5	197:24	
212:15	119:2 123:7	198:16,21	
224:23	127:6 135:8	199:3,16	
working	143:18	200:4,8,23	
23:17 24:18	146:11	201:2 202:19	
28:6,8,13,14	154:7,24	203:5 205:25	
29:14 30:21	157:19	215:5,20	
38:19 52:14	162:25 172:6	216:10 223:9	
57:13,17	173:18	225:10,12,15	
58:24 62:22	180:24 182:7	zoom	
63:2 64:12	188:21 189:7	42:21 68:17	
79:25 89:12	190:17 192:8	l l	
206:10	194:3 205:18	17,19 70:1,2	
works	214:11,13	83:21 84:6,	
74:1 110:20	215:11,15,22	13,15 85:8	
132:23,25	220:13,25		
169:12	226:21		
worth	year		
73:2	51:20 171:1		
worthy	years		
92:10	13:2 18:20,		
	22 20:9		
writing	23:9,20		
153:16	24:7,8 31:2		
written	33:11,22,24		
43:19	38:14,18		
wrong	39:9 44:18		
38:22 193:8	45:4,14		
	46:10,12,23		
Y	47:7,9 50:15		
	162:18 200:6		
y'all	207:5 223:6		
121:20 171:9	226:9		
yeah	İ	1	
1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Youth		
16:5 20:1	Youth 20:6		
_			
16:5 20:1	20:6		
16:5 20:1 23:5,13			
16:5 20:1 23:5,13 31:14 35:14,	20:6 		
16:5 20:1 23:5,13 31:14 35:14, 16 38:16	20:6		
16:5 20:1 23:5,13 31:14 35:14, 16 38:16 39:15 42:6	20:6 Z zero 73:14 84:24		
16:5 20:1 23:5,13 31:14 35:14, 16 38:16 39:15 42:6 43:8 48:25	Z zero 73:14 84:24 102:9 128:17		
16:5 20:1 23:5,13 31:14 35:14, 16 38:16 39:15 42:6 43:8 48:25 56:17 61:22	Z Zero 73:14 84:24 102:9 128:17 134:7		
16:5 20:1 23:5,13 31:14 35:14, 16 38:16 39:15 42:6 43:8 48:25 56:17 61:22 72:16,19	Z zero 73:14 84:24 102:9 128:17		